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Climate change: global challenges for the chiropractic profession

Richard Brown, DC, LL.M, FRCC*

Introduction
History affords us numerous examples of circumstances where those fighting on the same side have conspired to harm each other, even to inflict mortal damage. This tendency has displayed itself throughout the history of the chiropractic profession, with disagreements over philosophy and nomenclature dominating the agenda\(^1\) whilst external detractors have looked on with the smug satisfaction of knowing that internal tensions are perpetuating division and stunting growth\(^2\).

In an era of health system transformation it is clear that the climate is changing.\(^3\) Just as western society has gradually realised the impact of global ecological change and has adapted its behaviour accordingly, so must we as chiropractors realise that the healthcare environment is changing. While this presents challenges, it also gifts us unprecedented opportunities to evolve. What is essential is that we accept that climate change is happening and commit ourselves to bravely tackling the issues before us.

Identity
I have consistently argued that for the profession to progress and be a key player in modern healthcare systems, it must jettison some of the historical baggage that has weighed it down over decades and commit itself to modern, evidence-based, research driven healthcare.\(^4\)

This does not of course mean that chiropractic should suddenly turn its back on the philosophies and practice that has shaped its development and assured its survival since 1895. The power of the spinal adjustment, the consideration that the integrity of the spine has a direct impact on human health and the view that as living organisms we are more than simply the sum of our parts have all been guiding principles. However, the language in which we cloak our profession often suggests an archaic mindset and a refusal to move away from pseudo-religious concepts often embraced by complementary and alternative medical practices.

It is therefore of paramount importance that the chiro-
practic profession agrees a universal identity which is consistent around the world. Back in 2005, the WFC published the results of its identity consultation. At this time, it was decided that the most appropriate public identity for the chiropractic profession was that they should be the spinal healthcare experts in the healthcare system. This was the result of two years of intensive work including a grass roots electronic survey of chiropractors worldwide.

It is widely considered that are seven pillars upon which chiropractic’s identity should be grounded.

1. An ability to improve function in the neuro-musculoskeletal system and have a distinct impact on the overall health, wellbeing and quality of life.
2. A specialised approach to examination, diagnosis and treatment which must be based on the best available research and clinical evidence, with a particular emphasis on the relationship between the spine and the nervous system.
3. A tradition of effectiveness and patient satisfaction.
4. An avoidance of drugs or surgery, enabling patients to avoid these where possible.
5. Expertly qualified providers of spinal adjustment, manipulation and other manual treatments, exercise instruction and patient education.
6. Collaboration with other health professionals
7. A patient-centred and biopsychosocial approach, emphasising the mind-body relationship in health, the self-healing powers of the body and individuals’ responsibility for health and encouraging patient interdependence.

Late in 2012, Palmer College released its own identity statement. This had many similarities with the WFC 2005 statement, positioning chiropractors as the primary health care professionals for spinal health and well being.

The synchronisation of identity statements from two of the world’s leading organisations must surely gift us the opportunity to create a global message for the consumers and potential consumers of spinal health care services. Now is the time to bring together educators, academics, researchers and clinicians to finally agree how chiropractic should be portrayed to the world.

**Education**

While we gladly accept that education in any discipline may be delivered in a range of formats, with differing emphases on individual components, there are inevitable boundaries. Inspectorates of schools, prisons and other state institutions ensure that minimum standards of education are attained, which correspond to nationally agreed frameworks. In medicine and dentistry, despite different emphasis on delivery (traditional didactic learning versus problem-based learning, for example) there is a broad consensus when it comes to required levels of competency and professional standards.

However, the evolution of the chiropractic profession, historically characterised by private educational institutions, has meant that a largely self-regulating mechanism has prevailed. The various Councils on Chiropractic Education have set their own accreditation criteria, but flexibility of interpretation has meant that the delivery of chiropractic education between institutions remains startlingly different. While some focus on a strictly science-based and biomedical model, others steer their students towards more philosophical tenets.

Within the chiropractic profession many celebrate the diversity of educational approaches in chiropractic, lauding it as being symbolic of the various strands of development that have woven their way into the fabric of our profession. At the same time, others bemoan the fact the disparity and differences between programmes of study have created an indistinct image of chiropractic education, one where examples of excellence are interspersed with less robust programmes of study. The long term survival of chiropractic education outside the mainstream university network appears in some regions uncertain and this has been reflected in declining student numbers.

There may be a number of factors at play. The costs of education and training are such that in a global recession, they may have become prohibitively expensive. Opportunities for graduates may be more limited. Adverse media coverage and tarnished reputations of the profession in some areas may have reduced the demand for chiropractic. But perhaps one of the biggest challenges to the profession is that other manual therapy professions have realised the market for skilled spine care services and have focused their attention on what is seen as a vast untapped market.

The harmonisation of education throughout the world
must surely, therefore, be one of the goals of the chiropractic profession. As the world demands evidence-based, research driven healthcare, there can no longer be a place where historical concepts are portrayed as fact and where students are expected to swear an undying allegiance to the theories of yesteryear.

I am not calling for every chiropractic centre of learning to become clones of one another; it is crucially important that schools, colleges and universities providing chiropractic education retain their own identity. However, in order to facilitate and foster information exchange, harmonisation of procedures and policies and attainment of comparable qualifications, there must be a robust review of the acceptability of programmes in the context of modern educational frameworks if they are to be deemed acceptable within the wider healthcare community.

In tandem with this, we must also see an expansion of the numbers of available programmes of study. The growth of the chiropractic profession materially depends upon the number of its practitioners being represented in adequate numbers over the face of the globe. We must not, therefore, be afraid of adopting new approaches to growing chiropractic in areas that are under-represented.

**Research**

It is often said that research is the currency of the profession. For the profession to compete and attain the cultural authority it strives to achieve, it is essential that there is an international commitment to research. This should first examine what we do primarily as chiropractors – spine-based care using manipulation – as well as the other components that make up a modern chiropractor’s package of care: supervised and home based exercise, spinal stabilization programmes, medical acupuncture and soft tissue techniques.

We must become the default spinal care experts and to be so we must be active – and be seen to be active – in the field of spinal research. Canada is one of the world leaders in grasping the nettle of spinal research and committing funds to it. The production of clinical guidelines, the funding of Research Chairs and the willingness to collaborate with other stakeholders, both within the outside the profession, demonstrate clear leadership. World class chiropractic researchers are being heard and respected within forums that our ancestors would never have considered possible.

Following leads set by Canada and Denmark, other chiropractic research organisations are now appearing in Europe. The UK, Norway, Sweden and France have all now set up their own national research organisations, but in every nation where chiropractic exists we need to be sure that data is collected and the knowledge base is widened. We need to know how chiropractic care may impact on Africans, on Asians and Middle Eastern populations as well as in our own well-developed North American and European regions.

In a challenging environment where funds for chiropractic are limited, priorities need to be identified to ensure maximum effectiveness and utilisation of outcomes. Collaborations may provide an excellent platform for developing international research and ongoing work is being done to develop the North Atlantic Research Collaboration. This is an affiliation of the national associations of the UK, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Switzerland and Sweden and it is hoped that a shared research agenda between the parties will facilitate knowledge that will enhance service delivery and mainstream integration.

With enormous costs associated with sickness absence, demonstrating chiropractic’s role in the prevention of occupational injuries and disability carries huge potential societal and economic advantage. Research directed at preventative care for specific and general populations also feeds into the health policies of many developed and developing nations.

Cost effectiveness will undoubtedly be the driver in terms of chiropractic’s role in health systems. Politicians can make all the right noises about how concerned they are about clinical effectiveness and life saving care, but the bottom line is how much it will all cost and where the best deals are to be had. Anything that shows that chiropractic is cheaper than the competition will attract the attention of key decision-makers. Reduced costs, reduced waiting times, and the satisfaction of patients, who of course are those who elect politicians to office, will get chiropractic noticed in the healthcare marketplace.

**Widening access**

In developing the chiropractic profession, we must constantly endeavour to widen access to care beyond those who can afford it. If we are to become the spinal health and wellbeing experts, we must strive to ensure that the services that we offer are available to everyone in society.
Widening access to care will increase the exposure of chiropractic to groups otherwise unable to benefit from what we as healthcare professionals can offer. This is relevant not only here in Canada on a socioeconomic level, but also internationally, and initiatives by Scott Haldeman and World Spine Care in Botswana and India as well as humanitarian missions to disaster zones and other developing areas of the world have helped to illustrate the compassionate nature of chiropractors in the delivery of healthcare.

On a local level, the involvement of chiropractic organisations in public health must be prioritised. As neuromusculoskeletal experts, governments, organisations and society expect chiropractors to be active in the field of public health. Here, there is of course no instantaneous reward; no queues of patients starting to form in an orderly fashion outside chiropractors’ offices and no dramatic upturn in terms of universal acceptance. However, what engagement in public health initiatives achieves is authority through altruism.

The identification of back pain as the single leading cause of disability worldwide, with MSK disorders as a group being the second greatest cause after cardiovascular conditions, should propel us all to become involved in health promotion. The Lancet’s December report, supported by the WHO, should be a clarion call to the chiropractic profession to take ownership of the responsibility to educate populations in the area of spinal health.

Whether it be safer lifting campaigns, perfect posture initiatives or spinal exercise drives, chiropractors should be at the forefront of any and all spinal health education. If we are to satisfy the weight of expectation that society will place on us as experts, we must address that expectation, not just by the effectiveness of our adjustments or diagnostic techniques, but by showing that we have a concern for health prevention strategies. Again, this is something that should be the product of effective leadership and strong collaboration. The Straighten Up and Just Keep Walking campaigns are examples of excellent work but we must dedicate funds and energy to ensuring that with the opportunities before us chiropractic is positioned as a public health leader in enhancing and improving the health of our nations.

Policy input and research
As a profession, it is imperative that we not only reach the spines of the public, but also the minds of our politicians. Engagement in health policy and involvement in helping to steer the direction of health transformation is vital if we are to secure recognition and credibility. In the changing climate of health systems, the challenge for the chiropractic profession is to have a voice on matters of policy and decision making, particularly in the field of neuromusculoskeletal care.

This is largely a factor of resource allocation and political knowledge. The lack of formal regulation in many nations makes Government links difficult to forge and the relative immaturity of the profession in certain regions makes inroads a distinct challenge. With opportunities opening up in the brave new world of modern healthcare, it may therefore be relevant for future international congresses to consider sharing knowledge and skills in the fields of lobbying and political networking.

Developing leadership skills
The outdated view of leadership are that leaders are marked out for greatness from an early age and that if you are not a born leader, there is little that you can do to become one. The persistence of this view in some quarters is a great pity, but the modern view, and one that I wholeheartedly support, is that with perseverance, determination, passion and hard work, anyone can become an effective leader.

But leadership in chiropractic seems to be something that, certainly when I was a student, was missing from the curriculum. We were taught to assess, diagnose, adjust, x-ray and write reports, all essential attributes in clinical practice, but we were not given any advice on how to be leaders.

Of course, it might be argued that to teach leadership is not the responsibility of the educational institutions, and they may be right. Their role is to produce safe, competent, confident graduates. So whose role is it to ensure that there is a legacy of effective and strong leadership that is passed on to future generations of chiropractors?

The truth is that probably we all have a duty to communicate leadership. We must display strength during periods of adversity and humility during periods of celebration. We must have a vision for the future and turn that vision into a reality. And we must have a passion for what we do such that we may get up early and go to bed late, we stay excited about what we do, we see potential in others and be thrilled when they succeed.
I believe that there is scope for a leadership programme in chiropractic. This can be organised on a regional, national or global level but its essence must be that we can be assured of strong, committed leadership to develop the profession worldwide. This calls for investment, both financially and in time, but such an investment will be rewarded many times over. Guidance, mentoring and stewardship should be as much a feature of graduate development as practice management. We are not all destined to become leaders of national or Provincial organisations, but every graduate chiropractor will need leadership skills as they navigate their way through the intricate windings of their careers as clinicians, clinic owners and beyond.

**Strategy**

In light of the changing climate in healthcare what should be our key strategies to overcoming the challenges that lay before us? Strategy is all about shaping the future and for us as chiropractors we must develop a strategy that is future focused and looks at the unmet needs of patients and society. The area of spinal health is a vast, largely untapped and currently mismanaged global burden but for chiropractic to seize opportunities it must carefully plan its strategy.

In an era of climate change, our profession must be prepared for all weathers and must plan accordingly. We must continually monitor the external environment and however cosy we might feel in our own cocooned environment, we must be mindful of the conditions outside.

**Conclusion**

We must consider a strategy that best serves the political, economic and healthcare climate of our times. We have important choices to make. Darwin or Dogma? Progression or regression? Survival or submission?

Events around the globe are conspiring to make this one of the most dynamic periods of chiropractic’s history. Great strides have been made. Regulation, education and research have flourished. Yet divisions persist. For chiropractic’s sake, let us meet our global challenges with fortitude, ensure sound professional governance and be ready to adapt as the healthcare climate changes.
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Overview
This two-part commentary aims to provide a basic understanding of knowledge translation (KT), how KT is currently integrated in the chiropractic community and our view of how to improve KT in our profession. Part 1 presents an overview of KT and discusses some of the common barriers to successful KT within the chiropractic profession. Part 2 will suggest strategies to mitigate these barriers and reduce the evidence-practice gap for both the profession at large and for practicing clinicians.

Introduction
New knowledge is created at such a rapid pace that health care professionals find it difficult, if not impossible, to keep up to date. In a single day alone, 75 clinical trials and 11 systematic reviews are published. As a result, it is incredibly difficult to keep up to date with the literature in order to implement new knowledge that may optimize patient care, increase benefits, or reduce harm. In an effort to promote evidence-based practice, many researchers and funding agencies are now focusing on processes to deliver emerging evidence successfully to clinicians and other stakeholders; this process has been termed KT.

What is KT?
KT is defined by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) as ‘a dynamic and iterative process that includes synthesis, dissemination, exchange and ethically...’
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sound application of knowledge to improve the health of Canadians, provide more effective health services and products, and strengthen the health care system. In other words, it is the ongoing process of effectively translating current and up-to-date research into practice and policy.

What KT is not...
A commonly held misconception is that the process of KT is a pipeline that transports information generated by academics to awaiting clinicians. Knowledge translation does not involve just two parties, or the simple exchange of information. In reality, KT (and knowledge exchange) is a roadmap of two-way streets that creates a system of interconnections between researchers and clinicians, patients, government, policy makers, regulators, payers, guideline developers, and other stakeholders. Through these interconnections, it is hoped that all involved will use shared knowledge to improve health care delivery in a measurable way (e.g. effectiveness, cost, access to care, etc.).

As such, creating structured two-way avenues for collaboration between chiropractic clinicians, scientists, policy-makers, and others is a vital element in the facilitation of effective and efficient KT in the chiropractic profession.

In contrast, activities such as technology integration, commercialization of a product, and continuing education (i.e. professional development) may not necessarily constitute KT if they do not engage the appropriate stakeholders and/or do not result in improved outcomes.

Why is KT important?
In 2000 and 2001, two landmark reports were published that clearly defined the importance of KT. Published by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), ‘To Err is Human’ and ‘Crossing the Quality Chasm’ drew attention to the gap between what clinicians know as opposed to what clinicians actually do. This gap was seen as an important cause of overuse, misuse, and underuse of health care services. In addition to defining the impacts arising from this gap, the second IOM report proposed a reason for the existing gap, namely that health care delivery has fallen well short in its ability to translate research into practice and policy, and to apply new technology safely and appropriately.

A major implication arising from this observation is that patients don’t always receive safe and effective health care and, if they do, it may not be delivered in a timely manner. As a result, many feel that much of society’s investment to date in biomedical, clinical, and health research has had limited impact. To better understand the extent of how the evidence-practice gap contributes to adverse effects on population health, social welfare, and economic productivity, various global organizations are now cataloguing gaps in primary care, specialty care, and in-patient care provided by most health disciplines. Studies in industrialized countries including North America, Australia, and Europe have identified that up to 30 to 40% of patients do not receive treatments of proven effectiveness, while approximately 25% receive care that is unnecessary or potentially more harmful. While little is known regarding similar gaps for chiropractic care, there are no compelling reasons to suggest that the magnitude of these gaps are any different within the chiropractic profession. In fact, the management of back and neck pain is recognized as having poor adherence to, and wide variations in, established best practices.

Understanding KT
The CIHR Knowledge-to-Action model helps conceptualize ways in which evidence-practice gaps can be addressed toward changing professional behavior with the ultimate outcome of improving health (Figure 1). Recom-
mended steps to identifying and addressing knowledge-practice gaps include problem identification, selection of best practices relevant to the problem, and assessing barriers to practice change. Together, these steps suggest strategies that can potentially narrow research-practice gaps (Part 2). In practice, the Knowledge-to-Action model identifies selected strategies which are then disseminated or implemented using various means; changes in practice and other trends can then be monitored. The impact of these strategies are then evaluated and additional strategies are implemented to help improved practices become sustained.\textsuperscript{14,15} This process is not foolproof, and adapting the model to specific situations can be a difficult task before success is realized.

The size of the evidence-practice gap in chiropractic
Narrowing the evidence-practice gap is an ongoing exercise for any health profession. While there are several approaches to reducing a profession’s evidence-practice gap (Part 2), it is critical to assess the size of the gap to determine what strategies to use and whether current KT strategies are effective.

Several different approaches exist with respect to measuring the size of the gap in the chiropractic profession. While it is not our intent to provide an exhaustive list, some approaches are easier to appreciate than others. For example, one simple way of assessing the size of the evidence-practice gap in chiropractic is to ask yourself: “What has changed in practice since I graduated?” Alternatively, another simple approach is to contrast what is done in your practice to what recent clinical practice guidelines recommend.

No matter how the gap is measured, it would appear to be significant.

Addressing our own evidence-practice gap
While the profession may not presently have an informed, planned, and measurable approach to reducing the evidence-practice gap, there are examples where our ability as a profession to generate new, meaningful knowledge and our willingness to incorporate it into our clinical practice has succeeded. For example, it can be argued that chiropractic clinicians’ understanding of the relation between manipulation and adverse events is current and informed by research. Unfortunately, there are many other examples where meaningful knowledge has been generated in our profession, but has not been adopted into practice. Specifically, the Neck Disability Index was created by chiropractic researchers Sil Mior and Howard Vernon in 1991. This simple tool has become the international standard for measuring neck function. Yet, a recent survey suggests that many chiropractors do not use this tool in daily practice.\textsuperscript{16} Additionally, investigations related to the prediction of short-term and long-term outcomes in back pain patients receiving chiropractic care have shown that early improvement in the course of treatment appears to be a crucial factor,\textsuperscript{17-22} and is a strong predictor of outcome at 3 and 12 months.\textsuperscript{23-25} Despite this body of evidence, we suspect that many chiropractors do not use this criteria (i.e. lack of improvement within the first few treatments) as an indication that the patient may best be served through an alternative treatment strategy. As a result, there is an increased probability that a single patient may receive very different care, with different results, if they were to see a number of different chiropractors\textsuperscript{26} (a phenomenon present in many other clinical disciplines). Understanding the reasons why practice variations exist and the barriers to optimizing care are extremely important in regard to effectively reducing practice gaps.

Barriers to KT in the chiropractic community
A systematic approach to KT can help understand why a specific gap persists between what is known to be effective, what is done in chiropractic practice and how this gap might be reduced.

Several studies have itemized the primary barriers to implementing evidence in clinical practice in general. These include a lack of time and the lack of skills to navigate and appraise literature. While these are two important barriers, over 250 others have been identified with respect to specific KT activities involving physicians alone.\textsuperscript{27} Quite often, these barriers are easy to appreciate if they are grouped into those affected by individual clinicians (e.g. lack of awareness, lack of familiarity, lack of agreement, lack of self-efficacy, lack of outcome expectancy, and inertia of previous practice), and those external to clinicians (e.g. patients, guidelines, and practice environment).\textsuperscript{28}

While these general barriers are common in many health professions, there are additional barriers which (although not specific to the chiropractic profession) are certainly well-known characteristics of our profession.
On the supply side, there is limited research capacity to generate knowledge within the profession, with approximately 1% of the chiropractic profession conducting research in Canada. While this figure is nearly twice as much among physician-scientists in the US, the numbers of full time MD researchers has remained rather stable in the past decade.

Another barrier for our profession is the suggestion that when compared to other professions, the chiropractic profession places a lower value on scientific knowledge as compared to individual expertise. Chiropractic is also characterized by a large percentage of clinicians practicing in solo practice, which can limit opportunities to interact with colleagues and other professions.

Additionally, the profession tends to have a short history with decision support systems (e.g. clinical decision rules, guidelines, etc), and may trivialize their use. A limited number of chiropractic guidelines have been published since the Mercy Guideline in 1993, and divergent recommendations among available guidelines may only serve to confuse clinicians.

Finally, like most other professions, chiropractic has yet to develop coordinated efforts to address KT issues between researchers, practitioners, and stakeholders while ongoing debates, legislation, and internal evolution about the chiropractic profession’s own identity result in low coherence of beliefs and evidence-based practices.

Summary
In this commentary, we described the process of KT and its importance in optimizing health care delivery through the effective and efficient integration of available and new knowledge into practice and policy. In addition, we highlighted several barriers to KT, including those that are common to most health care disciplines, and those that are of particular relevance to the chiropractic profession (e.g. limited research capacity, a greater emphasis on individual expertise than scientific knowledge). In Part 2, we will suggest strategies to narrow the evidence-practice gap in our profession, as well as some tools that may provide early success in this regard.

Next issue:
Knowledge Transfer within the Canadian Chiropractic Community.
Part 2: Narrowing Evidence-Practice Gaps.
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Transient osteoporosis of the hip: A case report

Katherine Van Wagenen, BS
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We report a case of transient osteoporosis of the hip (TOH) in a 59-year-old man including the clinical presentation, diagnostic studies, management and clinical progress. TOH is a rare self-limiting condition that typically affects middle-aged men or, less frequently, women in the third trimester of pregnancy. Affected individuals present clinically with acute hip pain, limping gait, and limited ranges of hip motion. TOH may begin spontaneously or after a minor trauma. Radiographs are typically unremarkable but MR imaging studies yield findings consistent with bone marrow edema. TOH is referred to as regional migratory osteoporosis if it travels to other joints or the contralateral hip. TOH often resembles osteonecrosis but the two conditions must be differentiated due to different prognoses and management approaches. The term TOH is often used interchangeably and synonymously with transient bone marrow edema.
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Nous rapportons le cas d’une ostéoporose transitoire de la hanche (OTH) d’un homme de 59 ans avec une présentation clinique, des études diagnostiques, la gestion et les progrès cliniques. L’OTH est une maladie à résolution spontanée rare qui atteint généralement les hommes d’un certain âge ou, moins fréquemment, les femmes lors du troisième trimestre de la grossesse. Les personnes touchées présentent des douleurs aiguës de la hanche, marchent en boitant, et ont une amplitude des mouvements de la hanche limités. L’OTH peut se déclencher spontanément ou après un traumatisme mineur. Les radiographies sont généralement ordinaires mais les études menées sur l’IRM fournissent des résultats compatibles avec un adème de la moelle osseuse. L’OTH est qualifié d’ostéoporose migratoire régionale si elle se déplace vers d’autres articulations ou vers la hanche contralatérale. L’OTH ressemble à l’ostéonécrose mais les deux conditions doivent être différenciées en raison de pronostics et d’approches de gestion différentes. Le terme OTH est souvent synonyme d’adème de la moelle osseuse transitoire, et ces deux termes sont souvent employés de manière interchangeable.
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Introduction

Transient osteoporosis of the hip (TOH) is a rare self-limiting condition that typically affects middle-aged men or, less commonly, women in the third trimester of pregnancy. These individuals present without a history of major trauma but usually developed an acute onset of hip pain accompanied by decreased ranges of motion, and a limping gait.\textsuperscript{1,6} Radiographs of TOH are frequently unremarkable, but may display diffuse osteopenia of the femoral head and neck. The affected area appears “warm” on bone scans; however, this test is seldom used due to its low specificity for TOH.\textsuperscript{1} Computed tomography findings include reduced bone density of the affected area and loss of thickness with continuity of vertical primary compressive trabeculae.\textsuperscript{2} Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging yields findings consistent with bone marrow edema – low signal intensity of bone marrow on T1-weighted sequences and high signal intensity of bone marrow on fluid-sensitive (T2-weighted and fat-suppressed) sequences.\textsuperscript{3} The edema affects the subchondral bone of the femoral head and can extend toward the neck and intertrochanteric region. Unlike osteonecrosis, TOH does not result in collapse, fragmentation, or remodeling of the cortical bone of the femoral head nor does it progress to arthritis.\textsuperscript{1}

Cases of TOH have been reported with and without the co-existent presence of subchondral stress fractures of the femoral head.\textsuperscript{1,4} The susceptibility to stress fractures may be partly due to diminished bone density secondary to increased osteoclastic activity.\textsuperscript{2} There has been debate within the literature whether TOH is a consequence of a stress fracture or vice versa, since not every patient with TOH also has subchondral stress fractures.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Although the specific risk factors for TOH are poorly understood, it is generally agreed that they differ from the risk factors associated with osteonecrosis.\textsuperscript{1,3,7} For example, it is postulated that there may be a relationship between TOH and reflex sympathetic dystrophy, also known as complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS).\textsuperscript{3,5,6} The two conditions share similar signs and symptoms of pain, edema, and inflammatory soft tissue changes; however, the hypersensitivity, vasomotor dysfunction, temperature and skin changes typically observed in CRPS are not characteristic of transient osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{3,5} A small retrospective study\textsuperscript{6} (n=17) reported that physicians had a higher incidence of TOH compared to osteonecrosis or transient bone marrow edema syndrome and postulated that this may be due to long hours of standing.

TOH may eventually migrate to other joints, especially the contralateral hip, a condition referred to as regional migratory osteoporosis (RMO).\textsuperscript{3} The signs and symptoms of RMO are identical to TOH and both eventually resolve without treatment, suggesting that these are variations of the same or a similar condition.\textsuperscript{8}

TOH is also similar to a condition termed transient bone marrow edema (TBME) syndrome. Within the literature, authors may either use the terms interchangeably\textsuperscript{3} or choose to differentiate the two conditions. According to some authors\textsuperscript{5,7}, TBME syndrome should be reserved for cases where radiographs do not show osteopenic changes of the femoral head and neck, whereas the term TOH is typically reserved for cases where radiographs do demonstrate osteopenia. Aside from this minor distinction the clinical presentation and MR findings of TBME syndrome and TOH are equivalent.\textsuperscript{5}

Case History

A 59-year-old male presented to a chiropractic clinic with a chief complaint of acute left hip pain after building a dock. The pain began two to three days after the building project during which he repeatedly carried 16-foot long, two inch by six inch planks of lumber on his left hip down a steep incline to the beach. The pain was a constant ache with sharp, stabbing pain when moving from sitting to standing and after prolonged walking, sitting or lying supine. Following prolonged standing or walking, the severity of the patient’s pain prevented him from lying in a neutral left hip, knee extended posture. In order to avoid pain he would assume a supine lying position of 45° hip and knee flexion. At night he would frequently wake up to “walk off the pain”.

Physical Examination

Upon examination, the patient had difficulty arising from sitting and ambulated with an antalgic gait and limp favoring his left hip. His left groin and hip region were tender to palpation and demonstrated painful limitation of passive ranges of internal rotation, external rotation, flexion, and extension. Log roll, FABER Patrick, and FADIR (hip impingement) tests were all exquisitely painful with soft end feel restriction associated with muscular guarding rather than with a hard end feel restriction suggestive
Table 1
Relevant Orthopedic Examinations\textsuperscript{14}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthopedic Exam</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log Roll</td>
<td>Patient is supine, the examiner places both hands on the patient’s mid-thigh and passively externally rotates each hip maximally.</td>
<td>Test is positive for intra-articular pathology if greater external rotation is noted on the affected side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABER Patrick</td>
<td>Patient is supine, the examiner flexes, abducts, and externally rotates the affected hip so that the ipsilateral ankle is placed just proximal to the unaffected knee. While stabilizing the anterior superior iliac spine, the affected leg is lowered toward the table.</td>
<td>Test is positive for intra-articular hip pain if the maneuver reproduces the patient’s symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FADIR Hip Impingement</td>
<td>Patient is supine, the examiner flexes the hip and knee to 90°, then adducts and internally rotates the affected hip in the flexed position.</td>
<td>Test is positive for intra-articular hip pathology if the patient’s symptoms are reproduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ober’s test</td>
<td>Patient is side-lying with the affected side up, the examiner flexes the patient’s knee to 90° and abducts and extends the hip. The examiner allows gravity to adduct the hip as much as possible.</td>
<td>Test is positive if the hip is unable to adduct to the neutral position. Positive test is indicative of iliotibial band pathology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trendelenberg</td>
<td>Patient is standing and lifts one foot off the ground.</td>
<td>Test is positive if the non-stance hip drops. Positive test is indicative of gluteus medius weakness on the stance side.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Anteroposterior (A) and frog-leg lateral (B) radiographs reveal normal bone density, trabeculae and only minor hip joint space narrowing.
of joint pathology. Ober test and Trendelenberg tests were negative (refer to Table 1 for a summary of the relevant orthopedic examinations). Conventional AP (Figure 1A) and frog-leg lateral (Figure 1B) radiographs of the left hip were interpreted as normal.

**Initial Diagnosis and Management**

The initial clinical impression was of an acute capsular lesion of the hip. The patient’s primary care clinician prescribed analgesic and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication for six weeks which provided only minimal relief. A brief three visit course of conservative physical therapy triggered acute exacerbations of pain lasting 48 to 72 hours following each therapy session and was therefore discontinued. Despite the patient’s optimistic expectation of a gradual self-resolution of the complaint, at six weeks post-injury his pain and disability appeared to be increasing. As a result, the patient was referred for MR imaging with intra-articular gadolinium (MR arthrogram) to assess the hip joint and intra-articular structures.

**MR Imaging and Final Diagnosis**

MR imaging was ordered to rule out internal derangement or osseous pathology (i.e. labral tear, osteoarthrosis or osteonecrosis respectively). The MR images revealed extensive edema within the medullary bone of the head and neck of the left femur with an associated joint effusion. No findings suggestive of avascular necrosis, labral tear or intra-articular lesion were identified. Further T1-weighted MR images were obtained after intravenous injection of gadolinium, revealing minor signal enhancement of the femoral head. The radiologist’s report concluded that these findings were most consistent with transient osteoporosis of the hip (Figure 2A).

The cornerstone of management involved education of the patient to the benign, self-limiting nature of the condition. This included a cautionary warning regarding the heightened risk for fracture of the femoral neck secondary to transient osteoporosis and the risk of migration of the condition to the contralateral hip. Pain reduction strategies included utilization of analgesics on an as-needed...
basis and the adoption of hip sparing postures and activities to decrease loading.

The radiologist requested follow-up MR imaging to confirm that the edema had resolved satisfactorily, to exclude the presence of subchondral stress fracture, and to ensure that the contralateral femoral head and neck remained normal. MR imaging obtained four months post-diagnosis demonstrated complete resolution of the findings of bone marrow edema and joint effusion. There was no evidence of contralateral hip involvement or complications such as fracture (Figure 2B). The patient reported a full resolution of his symptoms at the follow-up appointment just prior to his second MRI. Regular and moderate manual labor provoked no symptomatic flair or recurrence.

Discussion
TOH is a self-limiting condition which develops spontaneously or may be triggered by minor trauma and is characterized clinically by acute hip pain, limping gait, and limited range of motion.1,2 It is three times as likely to affect males5 who are typically between the ages of 32 and 55.1 A subset less frequently affected by TOH are women in the third trimester of pregnancy.1,2,5 Most cases of TOH are spontaneous3,5 while others occur following minor trauma1,6 such as a “groin pull” or a slip. It is thought that minor trauma may cause a transient ischemic period which triggers an episode of TOH.6 In the current case it can be postulated that the repetitive compressive loading of the left hip may have been sufficient trauma to result in transient ischemia which progressed to TOH.

Conventional radiographic studies are generally insensitive to TOH and contribute little value to the diagnosis.1,3 The radiographs in the current case were unremarkable, exhibiting no degenerative (osteoarthritic) changes of either hip, despite the patient’s age of 59 years. Some cases of TOH report diffuse osteopenia of the femoral head and neck, however, this finding may not be detected radiographically until up to eight weeks post-injury.1,5 Our patient underwent radiographic examination within four weeks of the onset of acute hip pain, perhaps explaining the absence of osteopenia on his images.

MR imaging findings of bone marrow edema are the diagnostic hallmark of TOH – low signal intensity of bone marrow on T1-weighted images and high signal on STIR and fat saturated T2-weighted, fluid sensitive sequences.3 Hip joint effusion (seen in this study) is a consistent finding in many cases of TOH.1,3,5 Although not present in the current case, another finding frequently associated with TOH is a subchondral stress fracture.3,4 The absence of subchondral stress fractures in some cases may suggest that TOH is a cause rather than a consequence of such fractures.

TOH often presents clinically in a pattern similar to early stage osteonecrosis.1,3,7 Osteonecrosis is an irreversible condition that can be categorized as primary or secondary.3 Secondary osteonecrosis is caused by an ischemic lesion and is associated with trauma, alcoholism, corticosteroid use, and hematological disorders. Primary (or spontaneous) osteonecrosis is idiopathic and therefore not associated with the aforementioned risk factors.3 Early osteonecrosis of the femoral head will display the radiographic signs of subchondral sclerosis of the rim of the femoral head which is known as the crescent or half-moon sign.3,9 MR imaging of early osteonecrosis typically reveals a low signal intensity serpentine band surrounding a normal appearing epiphyseal area on T1 weighted images; while advanced cases reveal epiphyseal collapse, subchondral fractures, and subchondral cysts.9

TOH spontaneously resolves within a four to nine month period with conservative treatment which focuses on hip sparing activities and postures which decrease loading on the hip joint.4 Surgical decompression is occasionally performed to shorten the healing period but is unnecessary.3 Ringe et al10 found that administering an intravenous dose (four mg initial dose with an optional second two mg dose at three months) of ibandronate, a potent nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate, decreased visual analogue pain scales by an average of 94.3% with a decrease of at least 75% in all patients (N=12). Furthermore, the bone mineral density between the affected and unaffected femoral neck regions (N=7) decreased from 10.1 to 2.6% at the six month follow-up. TOH does not result in osteoarthritic changes to the bone or joint1 and does not progress to osteonecrosis3. It is therefore imperative that TOH be differentiated from osteonecrosis in the early stages as the prognosis and treatment of these two conditions differs substantially (refer to Table 2 for differential diagnoses).1

The most common treatment options for osteonecrosis are rotational osteotomy, core decompression, and free vascularized fibular grafting.11 Outcomes are af-
fected by the patient’s age, etiology, stage of osteonecro-
sis, size, and location of the necrotic lesion. Conserva-
tive treatment (e.g. partial weight bearing) of early stage
osteonecrosis has been proven ineffective in 80 to 90% of patients. Osteotomies attempt to realign the femoral articulating surface to reduce the weight-bearing zone of the necrotic area. Hasegawa et al reported five and ten year transtrochanteric rotational osteotomy survival rates without failure as 71% and 61%, respectively. Core decompression is an alternative treatment option that is one of the least invasive surgical procedures to manage osteonecrosis. Malizos et al reported further surgical intervention was necessary in 16%, 37%, and 71% after core decompression of osteonecrosis stages I, II, and III, respectively. Decompression procedures have higher success rates with segmental lesions prior to articular collapse. Free vascularized fibular grafting is a procedure that has a survival of 61%–96% at mid-term (4–7 years) follow-up. Yoo et al followed 110 patients (124 hips) who underwent vascularized fibular grafting for a min-
imum of 10 years. The average Harris hip score improved from 72 to 88 while 13 patients (13 hips) failed treatment and had to undergo total hip arthroplasty. The ultimate purpose of osteotomies, decompression procedures, and grafts is to prevent or delay total hip arthroplasty.

### Table 2

**Differential Diagnosis of Adult Hip Disorders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs, Symptoms, and Physical Exam</th>
<th>Transient Osteoporosis</th>
<th>Osteonecrosis</th>
<th>Stress Fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute onset of hip pain</td>
<td>Acute onset of hip pain</td>
<td>Acute onset of hip pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limping gait</td>
<td>Limping gait</td>
<td>Limping gait</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased ROM</td>
<td>Decreased ROM</td>
<td>Decreased ROM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Etiology                          | Unknown                | Ischemic trauma | Mechanical trauma |
|                                   | Possible association with CRPS | Risk factors: fracture, steroid use, alcohol, etc | Fatigue (healthy bone) |
|                                   |                        |                | Risk factors: overuse, repetitive running |
|                                   |                        |                | Stress (weak bone) |
|                                   |                        |                | Risk factors: osteoporosis |

| Imaging Findings                  | Bone edema             | Bone edema     | Bone edema           |
|                                   | Joint effusion          | Subchondral collapse | XR: fracture line |
|                                   | ± Stress fracture       | Subchondral cysts  | MR: low intensity line on T1 |
|                                   |                        | ± Subchondral fracture|               |
|                                   |                        | Osteoarthritic changes |               |
|                                   |                        | XR: crescent sign |               |
|                                   |                        | MR: low intensity serpentine band surrounding normal tissue on T1 |               |

| Progression                       | Spontaneous resolution | Irreversible     | Reversible |
|                                   | Possible migration      |                | Possible progression to osteonecrosis |

| Treatment                         | No weight bearing activities | Surgery      | No weight bearing activities |
|                                   | Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) | | Possible surgery |
|                                   | Analgesics               |               |                           |
|                                   | Corticosteroids          |               |                           |
|                                   | Possible ibandronate     |               |                           |

### Conclusion

TOH should be considered in the differential diagnosis of middle-aged males presenting with acute hip pain, limping gait, and limited ranges of hip motion. Early radiographic findings of TOH are usually unremarkable, but may show diffuse osteopenia of the femoral head and neck beyond six to eight weeks post-onset of pain. Symptom progression following treatment may require advanced...
imaging to differentiate TOH from other conditions such as osteonecrosis. TOH differs from osteonecrosis in its risk factors; its absence of radiographic findings of subchondral or focal lesions; and its self-limiting resolution with conservative management.
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Insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau after anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgery: a case report and review of the literature

Jessica J. Wong1, BSc, DC, FCCS(C)
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Peri-articular fractures after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructive surgery are rare. To our knowledge, this case documents the first insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau after ACL reconstruction, which presented three weeks after the procedure. A 25-year-old female recreational soccer player suffered an insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau, extending 1.5 mm into the anterior wall of tibial tunnel and medial compartment under the anterior horn of medial meniscus, which presented as a diagnostic challenge. Clinically, the fracture mimicked a low-grade infection of the surgical site, while radiographically, the fracture resembled an avulsion fracture, later confirmed as a tibial tunnel fracture with computed tomography. With the ACL graft integrity not in jeopardy, four weeks of non-weightbearing and a delayed post-operative rehabilitation program was effective in allowing the fracture to heal. Good functional outcome was achieved after conservative management, with minimal loss of terminal knee extension and minimal pain at 22-month follow-up.

Key words: tibial plateau, fracture, ACL reconstruction, complication, conservative management

Les fractures péri-articulaires après une chirurgie reconstructive du ligament croisé antérieur (LCA) sont rares. À notre connaissance, ce cas représente la première fracture par insuffisance du plateau tibial après une chirurgie reconstructive du LCA, qui s’est présentée trois semaines après la procédure. Une joueuse amateur de soccer de 25 ans a subi une fracture par insuffisance du plateau tibial, se prolongeant 1,5 mm dans la paroi antérieure du tunnel tibial et dans le compartiment médian sous la corne antérieure du ménisque interne, ce qui présentait une difficulté de diagnostic. Du point de vue clinique, la fracture ressemblait à une infection légère du foyer opératoire, alors que d’un point de vue radiographique, cela ressemblait plus à une fracture par avulsion. Plus tard, la tomographie par ordinateur a confirmé une fracture du tunnel tibial. L’intégrité de la greffe n’étant pas en danger, quatre semaines sans porter aucune charge et un programme différé de réhabilitation postopératoire ont permis la guérison de la fracture. De bons résultats fonctionnels ont été atteints après la gestion conservatrice, avec une perte minimale de l’extension du genou terminal, et une douleur minimale après 22 mois de suivi.

Mots clés: plateau tibial, chirurgie reconstructive du LCA, complication, gestion conservatrice
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Insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau after anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgery

Introduction
Injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) are common in athletic populations, particularly in female athletes participating in soccer. The incidence of ACL injury ranges from 0.06-3.7 per 1000 hours of training and participation in soccer, and accounts for thousands of ACL tears annually. Female soccer players have up to 6-times greater risk of an ACL tear than male counterparts. In light of this, between 50,000 and 100,000 ACL reconstructive surgeries are performed annually in the United States, while the rate of revision ACL reconstruction in Denmark is 4.1% after five years. Post-surgical complications after ACL reconstruction vary widely and include stiffness, wound complications, infection, graft failure, deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, osteonecrosis and peri-articular fracture. Peri-articular fractures involving the tibia plateau are rare, as only a few case reports describe this specific complication.

Post-surgical complications related to ACL reconstruction have important clinical implications. In a retrospective hospital database review from 1997-2006, it was found that of 70,547 ACL reconstructive surgeries performed, 6.5% of cases had subsequent surgery on either knee within one year. Predictors of subsequent knee surgery included female gender, concomitant knee surgery, and operation by lower-volume surgeons, while predictors of subsequent ACL reconstruction included age of less than 40 years, concomitant meniscectomy or other knee surgery, and surgery in lower-volume hospitals. This suggests that while ACL reconstruction is a relatively safe procedure, there remains a noted risk of subsequent operation on either knee, which is increased by a number of predictors, including those who are younger and female. It is therefore important to conduct timely assessment of those presenting with knee complaints after ACL reconstruction surgery to facilitate appropriate management, in aims to minimize the need for subsequent operation.

To our best knowledge, this case report details the first published case of an insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau presenting three weeks after ACL reconstructive surgery. It was a diagnostic challenge, as its clinical presentation mimicked that of an infection or septic arthritis, but appropriate and timely imaging and investigative procedures detected the fracture. The early detection may have also mitigated its severity and allowed for uncomplicated non-invasive management of the fracture. Discussion will surround the incidence, pathogenesis, clinical presentation, imaging and management of this post-surgical complication.

Case Report
A 25 year-old female recreational soccer player sustained a left knee injury while playing soccer. During the game, she received an impact from another player to the medial aspect of her knee as her foot was planted, taking a varus force to the left knee. There was no audible pop or click during this injury, though she was unable to weightbear immediately after and had to use crutches to ambulate. She also reported noticeable swelling at the left knee. The patient did not report any previous injuries to this knee and did not experience any numbness or tingling into the lower extremity. She was otherwise healthy with no known medical conditions. Past medical history was remarkable for a wrist fracture fifteen years ago and a fracture of the right lateral malleolus ten years ago. She was taking oral contraceptive pills and doxycycline for acne, was a social drinker and did not smoke.

The patient immediately followed RICE principles and went to a chiropractic clinic two days after the injury for an assessment. Based on history and physical examination findings, the initial diagnosis was a left lateral collateral ligament (LCL) sprain with a suspected lateral meniscal tear and the patient was referred to a family physician for an MRI. The subsequent MRI found a full thickness ACL tear and suspected partial LCL tear in the left knee and the patient was referred to an orthopedic surgeon for consultation. Prior to the surgical consultation, the patient was treated with tolerable rehabilitation exercises and laser therapy at the chiropractic clinic twice a week for four weeks in aims to minimize muscle atrophy.

At her surgical consultation four weeks later, the patient was able to ambulate with a cane, but experienced recurrent mild dull pain at the anteromedial knee and episodes of instability when the left knee was in extension. Physical examination by the orthopedic surgeon revealed no swelling or effusion within the knee and no tenderness along the joint line. Left knee range of motion was passively full, but mildly lacked terminal flexion with active motion and lacked 5 degrees of genu recurvatum when compared to the right knee. It was difficult to perform ligamentous testing due to patient apprehension, though varus and valgus stability appeared intact. There was a...
grade 1 positive Lachman test and a grade 1 positive pivot shift test with soft end feel. Anterior drawer test, dial testing, examination of other ligaments and lower limb neurovascular examination were unremarkable. While clinical examination did not show prominent laxity, the patient’s left knee ACL deficiency was deemed symptomatic and confirmed on MRI. Due to the patient’s desire to return to sport and her good candidacy for ACL reconstruction using a hamstring autograft, surgery was agreed upon. After ACL reconstruction, the patient had an uncomplicated recovery, and was discharged home by the orthopedic surgeon with pain medication, rehabilitation protocol and a follow-up appointment in 3-5 weeks’ time.

At three weeks post-surgery, the patient began experiencing tenderness at the surgical site, with redness, swelling, warmth and decreased knee range of motion due to pain. There was no preceding trauma, and the patient had not yet returned to sport. She was also closely adhering to her rehabilitation protocol of daily open chain range of motion and light strengthening exercises of the knee within pain tolerance. At the chiropractic clinic, knee radiographs were ordered, which found moderate joint effusion and soft tissue swelling at the medial joint space, and suggestion of cortical offset at the medial tibial epiphysis (Figures 1a and 1b).

Upon further review, these radiographs showed a small

**FIGURE 1a.  AP Knee View**

Significant soft tissue swelling is observed at the medial aspect of the left knee, near the pes anserine attachment. There is evidence of ACL reconstruction with a tibial tunnel (triangle) at the medial tibial plateau and a ligament anchor (Endobutton device) at the lateral femoral condyle (arrowhead). A cortical offset at the medial tibial epiphysis is suggested at the entrance of the tunnel (arrow).

**FIGURE 1b:  Lateral Oblique Knee View**

Moderate joint effusion is detected in the left knee with fullness of the suprapatellar recess and blurring of the posterior portion of the Hoffa’s fat pad. A cortical defect at the proximal tibia (arrow), the tibial tunnel (triangle), and a ligament anchor (Endobutton device) at the lateral femoral condyle are noted (arrowhead).
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triangular shaped fracture fragment well marginated posteriorly and laterally by an oblique lucent line traversing the anteromedial aspect of the tibial plateau. This resulted in the cortical offset noted at the articular surface of the medial tibial plateau. The oblique lucent line is just superomedial to the tibial tunnel created by the orthopaedic surgeon to fix the reconstructed ACL.

Due to the amount of soft tissue swelling and joint effusion noted in radiographs, possible low-grade infection or septic arthritis could not be ruled out at the time, so she was sent to the emergency department for blood tests, which were unremarkable. A follow-up appointment with the orthopedic surgeon was advised.

At her follow-up appointment with the orthopedic surgeon five weeks post-surgery, the patient continued to report pain and persistent warmth around the surgical site. On examination, the patient walked with slightly flexed knee gait, though passively she could extend her knee fully and flex to within 10 degrees of the other side. While she had a moderate-sized effusion at the left knee, her wounds were well healed and she was afebrile. Her quadriceps femoris were contracting but moderately inhibited, and there was noted tenderness proximal to the tibial wound. Lachman test and pivot shift of her left knee were negative.

Computed tomography (CT) of her left knee revealed a 1.5 mm cortical defect into the anterior portion of the medial compartment, underneath the anterior horn of the medial meniscus, with mild joint effusion present (Figures 2a and 2b). The CT images, read by a medical radiologist, confirmed the presence of a subacute, undisplaced intra-articular fracture involving the anteromedial corner of the tibial plateau.}

**FIGURE 2a:** CT Knee – Sagittal Slice
A cortical defect is noted at the anterior portion of the medial compartment, underneath the anterior horn of the medial meniscus, confirming the presence of an intra-articular fracture (arrow). Mild joint effusion is present.

**FIGURE 2b:** CT Knee – Axial Slice
The cortical defect is shown extending into the tibial tunnel by 1.5 mm without displacement (arrow).
of the medial tibial plateau and extending into the tibial tunnel by 1.5 mm. Joint effusion was also noted and the ACL graft was intact.

With the ACL graft integrity intact, non-weightbearing for four weeks with use of crutches, with a delay in the rehabilitation protocol, was prescribed to see if the fracture would heal. A follow-up appointment was given in four weeks’ time. At follow-up, physical examination of her left knee found no swelling or pain on palpation, with only minimal loss of terminal knee extension. A repeat CT scan of the left knee revealed that the tibial plateau fracture was healed, and the patient was allowed to resume her rehabilitation protocol.

At 13 months post-surgical follow-up, the patient reported minimal pain of 1/10 intensity localized to the fracture site at the medial-anterior tibial. On palpation, a small bony abnormality is noted at the fracture site. Full knee range of motion was noted, with the exception of a 5 degree loss of genu recurvatum with the injured knee. The orthopaedic surgeon suspected that the surgical screw was slightly protruding from the tibial tunnel and leading to the residual pain. A lidocaine injection into the medial joint line of the knee was effective in eliminating the pain temporarily. At this point, the patient opted to tolerate the minor degree of pain without pursuing further interventions, and was able to return to certain sports (volleyball and basketball) on a regular basis.

At 22 months post-surgical follow-up, the minimal pain of 1/10 intensity at the fracture site remained, with aggravation of pain only after prolonged sitting (2 hours or more), or long distance running (5 km or more), where the intensity would increase to 3/10. The patient deemed this pain tolerable and continued with her recreational sports without difficulty.

Discussion

Incidence:
Tibial plateau fracture presenting as a complication following ACL reconstruction surgery is rare, with only ten English-language case reports documenting this occurrence.9-18 Thietje et al described a tibial fracture after ACL reconstruction surgery, but as the article was written in German, details could not be obtained.19 Although rare, it is important to be aware of this post-operative complication, as most cases required surgical fixation of the fracture.10-12,15,16 This warrants an in-depth understanding of the pathogenesis and clinical presentation of this post-surgical complication to aid in recognition and management.

Pathogenesis:
Although its pathogenesis is not completely understood, there are contributing factors that predominantly relate to bone strength, tibial tunnel and graft harvest site. It has been proposed that in young healthy individuals with ACL reconstruction, the loss of trabecular cancellous bone is greater than cortical bone loss after the operative procedure. Even though trabecular cancellous bone constitutes only 20% of bone mass, there is a decreased transosseous bone strength as a result of the normal surgical procedure.20-22 While there are no studies that specifically measure bone strength of the tibia following graft harvest or tibial tunnel drilling, biomechanical studies have found that bone defects, such as screw holes, can concentrate stress and decrease bone strength to torsional loading.23,24 For instance, diaphyseal drill holes with a diameter greater than 20% of the bone have been shown to reduce bone strength by 55-90%.23,25-27

This can be further complicated by additional factors. Authors have also suggested that other biomechanical factors, such as motion of the graft within the tunnel and fixation methods/devices, and biological factors, such as graft swelling and use of allograft tissue, may also contribute to tunnel enlargement.28,29 Further, with patellar tendon grafts, authors suggest that the tibial harvest site, combined with the tibial tunnel, may synergistically act as stress-risers to reduce proximal tibial bone strength.9,11,14 In four of the cases of tibial fractures after ACL reconstruction, the patellar tendon autografts were involved.9,11,14,16,18 In our case, the patient suffered a tibial plateau fracture with a gracilis-semitendonosis autograft, which was similar to two other cases found in the literature.13,15 This may suggest that the tibial tunnel plays a larger role as a stress-riser when compared to the harvest graft site.

Clinical Presentation:
The details of previous relevant case reports on tibial plateau fractures after ACL reconstruction are outlined in Table 1. Cases had an age range of 20-61 years, with 4 cases involving females and 8 cases in males. Most cases
used patellar tendon autograft\cite{9,11,14,16,18}, with two cases using gracilis-semitendinosis autograft\cite{13,15}, one case using double strand semitendinosis graft\cite{17} and one case using a freeze-dried Achilles tendon allograft\cite{12}. Most fractures involved either the tibial tunnel\cite{9,13,17}, tibial fixation site\cite{14,18} or graft harvest/donor site\cite{14,16}. The case described by Polyzois et al had femoral and tibial fractures in the opposite direction of the ACL femoral and tibial tunnels, but it should be noted that the injury was preceded by high energy trauma from a road traffic accident, with the patient suffering multiple fractures.\cite{15} All cases presented with knee pain and a degree of swelling, and were preceded by some form of trauma, namely falls or motor vehicle accidents. One case was preceded by minimal trauma of running backwards during soccer, though it cannot be determined whether the onset of fracture occurred before or after the minimal trauma.\cite{17} Three cases involved patients who could not weightbear after the incident.\cite{11,14,18}

In our case, the patient also presented with pain and swelling, though there was no preceding trauma. To our best knowledge, this is the first published insufficiency fracture of the tibial plateau after ACL reconstruction...

### Table 1: Published Case Reports on Tibial Plateau Fractures after ACL Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s), Year</th>
<th>Age, gender, type of fracture, graft</th>
<th>Presentation, trauma, onset after ACL surgery</th>
<th>Imaging confirming fracture</th>
<th>Outcome, follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El-Hage et al., 1998\cite{12}</td>
<td>20 year-old male, intra-articular tibial plateau fracture extending into tibial tunnel, allograft</td>
<td>Pain, swelling after road traffic accident, 18 months</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Open reduction and internal fixation of fracture, full recovery at 7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moen et al., 1998\cite{14}</td>
<td>29 year-old female, tibial fracture at graft harvest site, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, inability to weightbear after minor fall, 6 weeks</td>
<td>X-rays</td>
<td>Protected weightbearing with cast and crutches, outcome not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan and Steensen, 1998\cite{9}</td>
<td>30 year-old male, minimally displaced tibial plateau fracture extending into tibial tunnel, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, swelling after direct trauma from baseball bat, 7 months</td>
<td>X-rays</td>
<td>Non-weightbearing for 4 weeks, full recovery at 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delcogliano et al., 2001\cite{10}</td>
<td>27 year-old male, displaced tibial plateau fracture extending into tibial tunnel, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, swelling after motorcycle accident, 7 months</td>
<td>X-rays</td>
<td>Open reduction and internal fixation of fracture, mild quadriceps weakness at 1 year, early knee degeneration at 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithofer et al., 2004\cite{11}</td>
<td>61 year-old female, bicondylar tibial plateau fracture extending into tibial tunnel, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, inability to weightbear, crepitation after falling down stairs, 7 months</td>
<td>X-rays and CT</td>
<td>Minimally invasive surgical fixation, mild decrease in motion at 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundaram et al., 2005\cite{13}</td>
<td>40 year-old female, undisplaced tibial plateau fracture extending into tibial tunnel, gracilis-semitendinosis</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, hemarthrosis after falling onto knee when walking, 12 months</td>
<td>MRI (not detected with x-rays)</td>
<td>6-week non-weightbearing cast and 6-week weightbearing knee brace, mild decrease in motion at 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaunat et al., 2006\cite{18}</td>
<td>24 year-old male, fracture at tibial fixation site, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, inability to weightbear, hemarthrosis after 4-ft fall, 4 years</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>6-week non-weightbearing cast and 2-week weightbearing knee brace, full recovery at 7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voos et al., 2007\cite{16}</td>
<td>43 year-old female, oblique metaphyseal tibial fracture extending into donor site, patellar tendon</td>
<td>Pain, swelling after falling while jogging on treadmill, 4 years</td>
<td>X-rays and CT</td>
<td>Open reduction and internal fixation of fracture, full recovery at 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyzois et al., 2009\cite{15}</td>
<td>43 year-old male, distal femoral and tibial plateau fracture, gracilis-semitendinosis</td>
<td>Pain, swelling after road traffic accident, 2 weeks</td>
<td>X-rays</td>
<td>Open reduction and internal fixation of fracture, full recovery at 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobbi et al., 2011\cite{17}</td>
<td>41 year-old male, tibial plateau fracture involving medial condyle and extending into tibial tunnel, semitendinosis</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, giving way after running backwards in soccer game, 4.5 years</td>
<td>X-rays and CT</td>
<td>Open reduction and internal fixation of fracture, full recovery at 12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
surgery, presenting only three weeks after the surgical procedure. Other authors have noted that it is important to rule out more sinister causes of swelling, including wound complications and septic arthritis. In a prospective consecutive cohort of subjects with primary ACL reconstruction in England from 2008-2010, it was found that a small percentage subsequently suffered from symptomatic deep venous thrombosis (0.30%), pulmonary thromboembolism (0.18%) or wound complication (0.75%).

Our patient was sent to the emergency department by the chiropractor to undergo blood tests and investigate the possibility of an infection or septic arthritis. This suggests that pain at the surgical site with persistent swelling should heighten the clinician’s suspicion for a post-surgical complication, such as septic arthritis or peri-articular fracture, regardless of whether there was preceding trauma.

**Imaging:**

A brief review of the ACL reconstruction surgery is warranted to further understand the imaging findings on radiographs and advanced imaging. One of the primary ACL reconstruction procedures is the autologous doubled semitendinosis and gracilis tendon graft (also known as four-strand hamstring graft). This procedure involves a femoral tunnel positioned at the lateral femoral condyle and a tibial tunnel positioned through the medial tibial plateau. Various methods are used to secure the graft within the tunnels until bony union occurs, including interference screws and the distal femoral Endobutton device.

Some of the previous case reports describing tibial plateau fractures were detected on knee radiographs though other cases used solely computed tomography. In cases where the fracture was found on CT, it was not reported whether knee radiographs had initially detected the fracture. Sundaram et al noted that their subject’s undisplaced tibial plateau fracture was not detected with knee AP or lateral oblique radiographs and was only found on subsequent MRI. Thus, it was recommended that all acutely painful and swollen knees from trauma, following ACL reconstruction, should undergo an MRI when knee radiographs are read as normal. MRI has been shown to be a sensitive instrument to exclude occult fractures not detected on plain radiographs. In our case, while the initial radiographs detected a cortical defect at the medial tibial plateau, CT was required to determine the precise location and extent of the fracture. This supports the role of advanced imaging in cases where post-surgical fracture is suspected based on clinical presentation.

**Management:**

Treatment of tibial plateau fractures after ACL reconstruction has been variable.

In previous reports, five cases were surgically managed with open reduction and internal fixation, and four cases were managed with 4-6 weeks of non-weightbearing. The surgical cases tended to be associated with moderate-to-high degrees of trauma and more severe fractures, such as displaced fractures. Mithofer et al successfully used minimally-invasive fracture fixation of an intra-articular tibial plateau fracture, which involved the use of indirect fracture reduction and new plate designs, and no ACL revision was necessary.

The distinct characteristic of our case is the early and insufficiency fracture onset. In all previous cases, the subjects were examined in a tertiary care setting, underscoring the importance of referring suspected cases of peri-articular fractures after ACL reconstruction to orthopedic specialists by primary contact providers.

In our patient’s case, early detection of this fracture may have minimized the need for invasive treatment. The patient had pain and swelling only three weeks after her ACL reconstruction, and was assessed at a chiropractic clinic. Prompt investigations ordered by the chiropractor included knee radiographs, which detected a possible infection or septic arthritis, and facilitated an immediate referral to the emergency department. Delayed assessment and diagnosis of the tibial plateau fracture may have led to further progression of the fracture and possible indication for more invasive management, such as surgical fixation of the fracture or ACL revision if the graft was in jeopardy. An appropriate index of suspicion for post-surgical complications is important, particularly in primary care providers focused on post-surgical rehabilitation. For instance, a recent case report describes active post-operative ACL reconstruction rehabilitation combined with soft tissue therapy in a chiropractic clinic that facilitated successful recovery and return to function. Authors emphasized the need to be up-to-date on the most recent evidence in post-surgical ACL rehabilitation, including early active exercise. As highlighted in our patient’s
case, effective management of post-surgical rehabilitation should not only include evidence-based rehabilitation protocols, but should also include an understanding of potential post-surgical complications, including tibial plateau fractures. This facilitates detection and appropriate management in both orthopedic surgeons and primary care providers, including chiropractors.

Summary:
This case report described the occurrence of a tibial plateau fracture presenting three weeks after ACL reconstruction, without any preceding trauma. Discussion surrounded the role of bony defects caused by transosseous tibial tunnels from ACL reconstruction that may have contributed to the post-operative tibial plateau fracture. In this case, early detection allowed for conservative management in the form of four weeks of non-weightbearing and delayed rehabilitation protocol to allow the fracture to heal, avoiding the need for surgical fixation of the fracture. This suggests that all acutely painful knees with persistent swelling, whether preceded by trauma or not, should be carefully investigated by both primary care and tertiary care providers to determine the underlying pathology and rule out peri-articular fractures.
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Introduction: Due to different biomechanical, nutritional, and hormonal considerations, it is possible that chiropractors may employ different therapeutic interventions and recommendations for pregnant patients than non-pregnant ones. The objective of this study was to determine the therapeutic interventions that chiropractors who are members of the Ontario Chiropractic Association in the Greater Toronto Area most commonly provide to pregnant patients.

Methods: An introductory e-mail was sent in October 2011 to 755 members of the Ontario Chiropractic Association within the Greater Toronto Area five days prior to a 15 question survey being distributed via e-mail. Reminder e-mails were sent 13 days and 27 days later. Using descriptive statistics, demographic information was reported along with reported use of different treatments and recommendations for pregnant patients.

Results: A response rate of 23% was obtained. The majority of the respondents (90%) reported using the Diversified technique on pregnant patients, followed by soft tissue therapy (62%) and Activator (42%). The most common adjunctive therapy recommended to pregnant patients was referral to massage therapy (90%). Most of the respondents (92%) indicated that they prescribe stretching exercises to pregnant patients and recommend a multivitamin (84%) or folic acid (81%) to pregnant patients.
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Conclusion: In agreement with previous research on chiropractic technique usage on non-pregnant patients, the majority of respondents indicated treating pregnant patients with the Diversified technique, with other chiropractic techniques being utilized at varying rates on pregnant patients. Most respondents indicated prescribing exercise, and making adjunctive and nutritional recommendations frequently for their pregnant patients.
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**Introduction**

Reducing patient discomfort and musculoskeletal pain during pregnancy and potentially helping facilitate an uncomplicated labour and delivery have been described as the aims of chiropractic care for pregnant patients. The 2005 National Board of Chiropractic Examiners Job Analysis of Chiropractic surveyed American chiropractors and found that on average they indicated that they “rarely” treat pregnant women. However, at least 50% of pregnant women experience back pain at some time throughout their pregnancy, and 50% to 75% experience back pain during labour. Previous studies have indicated that both patients and chiropractors report safe and successful treatment of pregnancy related back pain through chiropractic treatment.

With only a small spectrum of drugs considered safe to use during pregnancy, alternative therapies that have a history of safety and effectiveness for the treatment of musculoskeletal pain may be sought out by this population. Chiropractic manual therapy, exercise, massage and techniques of local anesthesia such as Lidocaine are all alternative treatments that can be sought out by pregnant women. While there is limited evidence to support spinal manipulation and mobilization for the chiropractic care of pregnant patients, there continues to be pregnant women who seek out chiropractic care for treatment of various pregnancy related complaints including, but not limited to, back pain, posterior pelvic pain, costovertebral injuries, carpal tunnel syndrome, lower extremity pain, and headaches.

Chiropractors may employ a variety of different treatment technique systems when treating non-pregnant patients. A survey regarding technique systems used by post-1980 graduates of the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College (CMCC) by Mykietiuk et al, revealed that the technique systems most commonly used in private practice in Ontario were Diversified Technique, followed by Activator®, Thompson, and Active Release Techniques®.

The objective of this study was to determine the most commonly used treatments that chiropractors in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) provide to their pregnant patients. It is possible that the treatments provided to pregnant patients may be different from those offered to the non-pregnant population when accounting for their unique biomechanical, nutritional, and hormonal considerations. The resulting information may be useful for clinicians and chiropractic students who may benefit from learning which treatments and therapies are most commonly employed on pregnant patients by their colleagues and then follow the principles and process of evidence based practice to investigate their potential value for their...
Therapeutic interventions employed by Greater Toronto Area chiropractors on pregnant patients. The information will also be of value to researchers in planning further research on chiropractic treatment of pregnant patients as the most commonly used treatments should likely be among the first and most investigated.

Methods

Subjects
Ethics approval was provided by the CMCC Research Ethics Board (project number 111013). The participants were selected from the 2009 Canadian Chiropractic Association (CCA) digital database as this was the last publicly available CD-ROM based directory. The participants in this study were chiropractors located in the GTA (consisting of the Halton Region, Peel Region, York Region, City of Toronto and Durham Region) and who were members of the Ontario Chiropractic Association (OCA). The GTA was selected as previous surveys have used this population \(^4\) and OCA members were used as this is the largest professional group representing chiropractors in Ontario. There were no limits on type of practice or techniques employed, but the inclusion criteria consisted of actively practicing OCA members in the GTA who see pregnant patients in their practices. Subjects were excluded if they no longer practiced in the GTA or did not see pregnant patients. No compensation was provided for completing the survey. As this was a descriptive survey, there was no allocation to different groups in this study. Participant identities were kept confidential, only visible to study team members and the CMCC Office of Research Administration and response items were reported in aggregate form.

Pilot Study
Prior to distribution of the survey to all eligible chiropractors in the GTA, a brief pilot study was conducted. An initial draft of the survey was completed confidentially by ten clinicians at the CMCC campus clinic in Toronto. This allowed for identification of any problem areas such as unclear, ambiguous, or lengthy questions and allowed for determination of the amount of time for survey completion. Feedback from the pilot study was provided from each individual clinician, and guided necessary changes before distribution of the survey itself. The results from the pilot study group were not reported.

Survey
The survey is available from the corresponding author upon request and consisted of 15 multiple-choice style questions developed by the authors to identify the proportion of responding chiropractors who utilize the treatments of interest on pregnant patients. Face validity was assessed continuously through the development and pilot study periods by the authors and the final survey was deemed to have face validity. Items included questions eliciting demographic information and specific questions regarding chiropractic techniques and other treatments employed when seeing pregnant patients. The survey was administered by e-mail using the e-mail address provided in the 2009 CCA digital database.

Survey Distribution
Prior to the commencement of the survey in October 2011, a preliminary e-mail was sent to the selected chiropractors to notify them of the study. The survey was then distributed five days later. The survey e-mail message consisted of a study information and informed consent sheet. Those who agreed to participate were required to click on an “I Agree” button which linked them securely to the survey via the CMCC Survey Monkey™ account. Thirteen days following the survey launch, a reminder e-mail was sent to the chiropractors who did not complete the survey. An additional reminder was sent 14 days following the first reminder (27 days following the initial distribution). Thus there were a total of four possible contacts distributed (including the pre-notification message) in order to increase the response rate. Participants were given the opportunity to receive the results of this study once it was completed by indicating interest at the end of the survey and providing their e-mail address.

Data Analysis
Aggregated survey responses were provided to the authors from CMCC’s Survey Monkey™ account by CMCC’s Office of Research Administration in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. The data was printed and stored in a secure filing cabinet by one of the authors (KJS). Descriptive statistics were used to analyze this cross-sectional survey using Microsoft Excel.

Results
E-mail messages were sent to 755 e-mail addresses of
chiropractors in the Greater Toronto Area. Of these, 125 of the e-mail messages were returned as undeliverable due to change of clinic e-mail addresses or addresses which no longer existed. As such, 630 e-mail addresses were sent successfully and 143 respondents gave consent to fill out the online survey. Therefore, the adjusted response rate was calculated as 22.7%. However, there were not necessarily 143 responses to each of the questions on the survey as some of the respondents did not complete all of the questions. The questions with the lowest response rates were those pertaining to further training (28 responses, 115 missing a response) and nutritional supplement recommendations (70 responses and 73 missing a response).

Demographics
Approximately 39% of respondents were female and 61% were male. Tables 1 and 2 indicate the chiropractic college of graduation of the respondents and ranges of graduation years, respectively. Table 3 depicts the different geographic regions where the responding chiropractors practice within the GTA. Of the 143 respondents, only 29 (20.3%) indicated that they completed training provided by any of the Canadian recognized Fellowship programs or the International Chiropractic Pediatrics Association (ICPA) as seen in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chiropractic College</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan College of Chiropractic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National University of Health Sciences</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Chiropractic College</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life University</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Chiropractic College West</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Chiropractic College</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Chiropractic College</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer College of Chiropractic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer College of Chiropractic West</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western States Chiropractic College</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-European College of Chiropractic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Further Training</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage of All 143 Respondents (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Chiropractic Pediatric Association (ICPA)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow of the Royal College of Chiropractic Sports Sciences</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow of the College of Chiropractic Sciences</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow of the College of Chiropractic Rehabilitation Sciences</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow of the College of Chiropractic Orthopedists</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Toronto</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Region</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton Region</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham Region</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peel Region</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency of Treating Pregnant Patients
When asked how often they treat pregnant patients, 10.9% of the respondents stated they “Always” treat them, which was defined as several per week, 34.1% stated “Often” (defined as several per month), 54.3% stated “Seldom” (defined as a few per year), and 0.7% stated “Never”.

Techniques Used to Treat Pregnant Patients
When asked which chiropractic technique systems they use when treating pregnant patients, over half of the respondents reported using Diversified technique (89.9%) and soft tissue therapy (61.6%), followed by Activator (42.0%) as shown in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique System</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activator</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Kinesiology</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEST</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox-Flexion Distraction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial Therapies</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversified</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>89.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial Sacral</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonstead</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graston</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan Basic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimmo</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCCA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer HIO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft-Tissue Therapy (ART/MRT)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal Stressology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigenics</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque Release</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster Technique</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Body Modification (TBM)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koren Specific Technique (KST)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinesiotaping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain-counterstrain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise/mobilizations/education</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.
Adjunctive treatments utilized on pregnant patients by respondents (n=125, 18 missing a response).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Response Percentage</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthotics</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral to Massage Therapy</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural Belts (Pelvic Stabilization Belts, Trochanteric Belt, Pelvic Sling, etc.)</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvigo Technique (Maya Abdominal Therapy)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Stockings/Socks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinesio Taping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral to Cranial Sacral Therapist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM practitioner referral</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturopath referral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritionist referral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Body Modification (TBM)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillows</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.
Forms of exercise prescribed by responding chiropractors to pregnant patients (n=130, 13 missing a response).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stretching Exercises</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening Exercises</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Stabilization Exercises</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprioceptive/Balance Exercises</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardio Exercises</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Treatments Used on Pregnant Patients
When asked what additional treatments were used on pregnant patients, most respondents reported referrals for massage therapy (90.4%), with orthotics being the second most common adjunctive treatment at 66.4%, as seen in Table 6.

Exercises Prescribed to Pregnant Patients
When asked which forms of exercise were prescribed to pregnant patients it was found that stretching exercises were prescribed by 91.5% of respondents, and strengthening exercises were prescribed by 66.9% of the respondents as shown in Table 7.

Nutritional supplement recommendations made by chiropractors to their pregnant patients
When asked about nutritional supplements recommended to pregnant patients, a variety of suggestions were made by the respondents, with 84.3% recommending multivitamins and 81.4% recommending folic acid, several other supplements are also indicated in Table 8.

Estimates of Percentage of Patients Returning for Post-Partum care
When asked about the percentage of their pregnant patients who returned for post-partum care, 59.3% of the respondents estimated that at least 80% of their pregnant patients returned for post partum care, as seen in Table 9.

Discussion
To our knowledge this is the first study to investigate which techniques and treatments are used most commonly by chiropractors specifically when treating pregnant patients. Previously, a survey of a small group of chiropractors conducted by Stuber7 found that most of the respondents used spinal manipulative therapy, soft tissue therapy, exercise therapies and patient education on pregnant patients with back and/or neck pain, headaches, or benign vertigo. However, the extent to which different techniques and therapies are actually being utilized on that particular population by chiropractors was not reported.

Chiropractic Technique Usage
The majority of chiropractors from the current survey indicated using Diversified technique when treating preg-
nent patients. This is consistent with the findings of a previous survey of Canadian chiropractors by the National Board of Chiropractic Examiners who indicated that 87.3% of their respondents primarily used Diversified technique to treat their patients, albeit without dividing their patient populations into different subgroups such as pregnant patients. The majority of the respondents in the current survey were educated at the CMCC where Diversified technique is taught exclusively. However, according to the 2005 Job Analysis of Chiropractic in the United States, 96.5% of responding chiropractors use the Diversified technique and 71.5% of patients were treated with this technique, regardless of belonging to any particular subgroups, such as pregnancy.

The second most popular technique reported by chiropractors in this survey when treating pregnant patients was soft tissue therapies (STT) such as Active Release Technique® (ART®) and Myofascial Release Technique (MRT), followed by use of the Activator®. These findings are in line with those of Mykietiuk et al who found that 24% of their Canadian respondents utilized soft tissue therapies, while 15% used the Activator on their general patient populations. Thus from the current survey it would appear that a larger proportion of chiropractors reported using Activator and/or STT on pregnant patients, although this could represent an increase in the use of these techniques across patient groups.

**Exercise Prescription**

Nearly all of the respondents indicated prescribing exercises to their pregnant patients and this appears to follow an evidence-based perspective, and is similar to exercise utilization rates by chiropractors previously reported by the NBCE. As stated by Fast et al, during pregnancy physical activity may prevent or manage chronic conditions such as hypertension, obesity, gestational diabetes, dyspnea and pre-eclampsia. Regular physical activity may help women meet gestational weight gain targets and thus positively influence maternal-fetal outcomes, as well as improving well-being and quality of life.

Approximately two-thirds of our respondents reported prescribing strengthening exercises to their pregnant patients. Although it was not specified for which areas of the body respondents were prescribing these strengthening exercises, while roughly three out of five respondents indicated prescribing core stabilization exercises. Borrgren has suggested that targeting the transversus abdominis muscle specifically during pregnancy to potentially prevent some of the postural alterations in the third trimester, therefore potentially reducing the amount of pain experienced. The PARmed-X for Pregnancy from the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology also supports exercises of the abdomen as being important during pregnancy to promote good posture and prevent low back pain, prevent diastasis recti and strengthen the muscles of labour. The PARmed-X also suggests strengthening exercises for the upper and lower back to promote good posture. The buttocks and lower limbs should be strengthened to facilitate weight bearing and prevent varicose veins. It also advocates Kegel exercises to strengthen the pelvic floor and prevent incontinence. Stretches, proprioceptive/balance exercises, and cardiovascular activity were also reportedly prescribed by respondents to their pregnant patients. The PARmed-X suggests warm up and cool down exercises be done and should include range of motion exercises for all major joints and static stretching for all major muscle groups. Regarding cardiovascular activity while pregnant, some alterations must be made as a result of reduced maximal heart rate reserve during gestation and modified target heart rate zones are provided in the PARmed-X for Pregnancy Guidelines. In a study by Wolfe and Weissgerber it was recommended that pregnant women engage in aerobic exercise regularly for at least 15 minutes, three days per week at the target intensity. Exercise frequency can be increased to four to five days per week and the duration can be increased to 25 to 30 minutes per session at the target intensity to increase maternal physical fitness. To illustrate the need for pregnant women to avoid exercising too frequently or infrequently, the study by Campbell and Matola indicated that women who participate in structured exercise five or more times per week have an increased chance of delivering a low birth weight infant compared with women who exercised three or four times per week. Women who exercised two days or less per week were also at increased risk for low birth weight.

In a recent study by Stafne et al it was found that pregnant women who adhered to a prescribed exercise protocol (consisting of moderate intensity exercise of 45 to 60 minutes in duration, three days per week) tended to have lower weight, had a lower BMI, and reported less evening pain when compared with control women. While the two groups in that study were similar in terms of lumbopelvic...
pain prevalence, the women who adhered to the exercise protocol had less disability compared to the women in the control group, taking less time for sick leave due to lumbo-pelvic pain.

**Dietary and Nutritional Supplement Recommendation**

Requirements for many, but not all, micronutrients increase during pregnancy. Chiropractors frequently provide nutritional and dietary recommendations to patients. As a component of prenatal care micronutrient supplementation may reduce maternal morbidity and mortality directly by treating a pregnancy-related illness, or indirectly by lowering the risk of complications at delivery. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of supplementation programs, notably of iron and folate, has tended to focus on infant outcomes, perinatal mortality, preterm delivery, and low birth weight. In the current survey multivitamins and folic acid were the most commonly recommended nutritional supplements to pregnant patients by respondents. This high percentage of respondents prescribing folic acid is in line with recommendations by the World Health Organization. The WHO states that folate dietary allowances during pregnancy increase substantially, by 147%. This increase is necessary in order to build or maintain maternal stores and to meet the needs of rapidly growing maternal and fetal tissues. The administration of folic acid in the periconceptional period reduces the number of births with neural tube defects by 75%; thus, folic acid administration is recommended as standard prenatal care by the International Nutritional Anemia Consultative Group. The maximum dosage for folate is 1000 µg/d.

Vitamin E and Vitamin A were each recommended to pregnant patients by approximately one in seven of this survey’s respondents. The Food and Agriculture Organization/WHO recommended that the daily allowance for vitamin A be 20% higher for pregnant women than for non-pregnant, non-lactating women because of the extensive cell proliferation and development of the fetus. One explanation for Vitamin E being prescribed less than other vitamins and supplements could be due to discrepancies in the literature. According to Ladipo Vitamin E supplementation is not needed in pregnancy because most diets containing plant oils, fruit, and vegetables should provide an adequate supply of Vitamin E, and pregnancy adds no further Vitamin E requirements or needs. Conversely, the National Research Council stated that Vitamin E is needed for fetal growth and they recommended that Vitamin E intake increase by 25% in pregnancy.

Additional nutritional supplement recommendations reportedly made by chiropractors to their pregnant patients in this survey, albeit at much lower rates, included eicosanoids (EEA’s), combination fetal development and clearing remedies, Omega-3 and Omega-6 fatty acids, probiotics, vitamin B-50 complex, vitamin D, pre-natal vitamins, magnesium, vitamin B, and bioflavonoids. Referrals of pregnant patients to other health care professionals were also indicated by respondents such referrals as to the patient’s other primary health care provider, or to a naturopathic practitioner, nutritionist, or registered dietitian for dietary advice.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization’s recommendations, the dietary allowance of Vitamin D for pregnant women increases 300% to account for the calcium deposition and bone mineralization occurring in the fetus. Conversely, other sources, such as the Institute of Medicine have indicated that vitamin D supplementation is likely not necessary during pregnancy except perhaps in high-risk population groups, for example, in women whose clothing limits exposure to direct sunlight and in women who live in northern latitudes with few hours of daylight. They also note that vitamin D can be toxic to both the mother and fetus if given in large doses during pregnancy, although the intake at which this occurs is uncertain because inter-individual sensitivity to excessive intake varies. They recommend women take smaller, daily doses compared to a few large doses to decrease the risk of toxicity. Vitamin B6 and B12 deficiency rarely occurs (other than in strict vegetarians) so the FAO/WHO has not recommended additional requirements for pregnant women.

**Adjunctive Treatment Recommendations**

The most common adjunctive treatment recommended to pregnant patients was massage therapy, followed by recommendations for orthotics and trochanteric belts. According to the 2005 NBCE Job Analysis of Chiropractic, the rates of massage therapy utilization by responding chiropractors were 84.9%, compared with 81.8% for orthotics. Additional recommended products included compression socks, kinesiotaping and pillows. Respondents also indicated referring their pregnant patients.
to Traditional Chinese Medical (TCM) practitioners, naturopaths, nutritionists, or other chiropractic technique therapists at varying, albeit low, frequencies. Pregnant patients often present with a variety of conditions and the need for gynecological consultations, thus it is paramount that chiropractors and other health professionals work collaboratively.

Post-Partum Chiropractic Treatment
According to this survey, nearly 60% of respondents estimated that at least 80% of their pregnant patients return for chiropractic care after delivery. Post-partum patients are still undergoing hormonal and anatomic changes to return to the pre-pregnancy stage. Postpartum health is under-addressed by researchers, clinicians, and women themselves as approximately 90% of women report at least one health problem soon after delivery. Conditions such as low back pain, urinary stress incontinence, fecal incontinence, urinary frequency, depression and anxiety, hemorrhoids, frequent headache and migraines cause distress for new mothers. Up to one third of women have reported back pain lasting up to three months after childbirth. Chiropractors can assist post-partum women with musculoskeletal dysfunction and educate new mothers regarding new physical and mental challenges in order to cope and manage appropriately.

Limitations
There were several limitations to this study. Surveys were only sent to chiropractors who were members of the OCA within the GTA, thus it is possible that the results are not representative of the wider population of chiropractors across the country, particularly as the majority (85%) of respondents were graduates of CMCC. This is higher than the actual percentage of Canadian chiropractors who are CMCC graduates, which has decreased over recent years due to an influx of graduates from chiropractic educational institutions in the United States and internationally. Current data provided by the OCA indicated that 76% of their members province-wide were CMCC graduates (personal communication with KJS September 18, 2012). The large percentage of respondents who were CMCC graduates, which has decreased over recent years, may or may not necessarily be representative of the wider population of chiropractors. Some technical difficulties were encountered when initially distributing the survey as well as the first reminder, which required two attempts when sending each e-mail message, and unfortunately that may have affected the response rates. We may have been able to increase the response rate by attempting a telephone call to those chiropractors whose e-mail messages were returned as undeliverable; however due to time constraints we were unable to do so. Finally, our results were only presented descriptively; future research could look for bivariate and multivariable associations between particular demographic variables and different treatment-related variables.

One strength of this study was that while the survey was only distributed to members of the OCA within the GTA, there was a good distribution of respondents across the GTA. In an attempt to improve response rates, this survey employed pre-notification, which Russell et al found to have a non-significant trend towards a higher response rate in previous surveys of chiropractors. Several e-mail messages were distributed to recipients and the response rate for this survey was within ranges previously reported in the literature for previous surveys of chiropractors, albeit well below the mean of 54% reported by Russell et al. Russell et al found a significant association between the number of mailings of a survey and response rate by chiropractors, where surveys with fewer than three mailings had a significantly lower average response rate than those where three or more mailings were employed. We utilized four mailings (pre-notification, an initial mailing and two reminders) and offered survey results to respondents, a technique that has also been found to increase response rates and yet we still had a low response rate and this does potentially threaten the validity of our findings. One potential factor that may have been detrimental to our response rate was that this was an online study, which is a
Convenient and cost-effective means of surveying potential participants but can have lower response rates than paper based surveys. Furthermore, it has been noted that health professionals are becoming increasingly resistant to completing surveys, with one reason being that some health professionals have simply instituted policies against completing surveys. It has also been found that response rates tend to be higher in surveys that are personalized, have a deadline for completion, have some form of incentive, indicate that others have responded, or are not anonymous, and applying these principles may have helped increase our response yield.

Conclusions
This study represents the first known investigation into specific treatment usage by chiropractors on their pregnant patients. The chiropractors who responded appear to employ many different treatment techniques and modalities on their pregnant patients, many at a frequency similar to those reportedly used on non-pregnant patients. It is recommended that future studies employ a larger sample and include a larger population of chiropractors to obtain a representative sample of the profession and also investigate the stages of pregnancy that different interventions are employed. Further research into the utilization, safety, and effectiveness of chiropractic care during the pregnancy and post-partum periods is still necessary.
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Straight Back Syndrome: positive response to spinal manipulation and adjunctive therapy – A case report
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Straight Back Syndrome (SBS) has been recognized for over 50 years. Not to be confused with flat back syndrome in the lumbar spine, SBS patients present with an obvious loss of the thoracic kyphosis accompanied by apparent heart symptoms. The main purpose of this article is to describe a patient diagnosed with SBS, whose symptoms were successfully managed using spinal manipulative therapy as well as ancillary modalities. The use of diagnostic and laboratory tests are essential to differentially diagnose cardiac disease from SBS. Genesis and incidence of this condition is also discussed as well as roentgenometric analysis. A suggested diagnostic algorithm is presented as well.
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Introduction
Straight back syndrome (SBS) is a thoracic deformity characterized by loss of the normal upper thoracic spinal kyphosis. This deformity leads to a reduced antero-posterior diameter of the chest causing a compression or “pancaking” of the heart and great vessels so as to appear enlarged. This is accompanied by a leftward displacement of the heart, resulting in cardiac murmurs1, chest pain and tracheal compression2. Mitral valve prolapse (MVP) has been reported in 64% of patients.2 Misdiagnosis of straight back syndrome as pericardial absence has also been cited.3 A study investigating the relationship between SBS and MVP showed echocardiograms to be normal in 36% of patients diagnosed with SBS; however, 58% of these patients demonstrated mitral valve prolapsed.4 Despite the fact that this syndrome has been recognized for over 50 years, it is not commonly considered as a differential diagnose and thus the incidence is unknown.5 However, given that this
syndrome is often associated with heart symptoms, it is important that health care practitioners are made aware of SBS and consider it as a differential diagnosis in a patient presenting with symptoms that can appear to be cardiac in nature. This is especially true in cases of atrial septal defect which can resemble the symptom picture of SBS. This particular study describes the case of a patient with thoracic pain, who presented to a chiropractor also with cardiac symptoms but was ultimately diagnosed with and treated for symptoms related to SBS.

Case Report
All written consents, Research Ethics Board (CMCC) approvals were obtained from the patient prior to publishing this manuscript.

History
A 38 year old Caucasian, non-smoking male, presented to a chiropractic clinic with complaints of intermittent left arm paresthesia and left-sided chest pain and tightness rated at 3-4/10 (0=no pain, 10=most pain) and unrelenting. He also reported chronic, intermittent, daily mid-thoracic pain and tightness over the past year. Symptoms of mid-thoracic pain were verbally rated at 7/10. Shortness of breath was also reported once per month. Chronic daily fatigue has also been an issue over the past year following his recent divorce. Trauma or prior surgeries were denied. Occupational factors (sitting, computer work) aggravated his arm and chest symptoms which seemed to abate during his off-time. There did not appear to be any association between his arm pain and cervical stiffness, but his mid-thoracic and chest symptoms often occurred together.

His employment required him to work night shifts for the past six years. Between 2007 and 2009, the patient underwent a number of medical diagnostic procedures, including blood work, echocardiogram, cardiac stress test, echocardiography and electrocardiogram (ECG) which were all deemed within normal limits. He attended a walk-in clinic and was diagnosed with “thoracic strain” and was prescribed Naproxen, physiotherapy and massage therapy. Treatments were ongoing for approximately six weeks and included upper back stretches and ultrasound applied to the upper trapezius. Unfortunately, all interventions had no effect on managing his thoracic, arm or chest symptoms.

Social History
No family history of cardiovascular or MSK disorders was reported. He had not been taking any vitamins, minerals or nutriceuticals and reported having little time for any form of exercise as he was caring for his two young children.

Physical Assessment / Examination

a. Vitals
Blood pressure was recorded at 118/77, pulse 71. He was 170 cm and weighed 69 kg.

b. Prior Medical Testing
A sleep study showed obstructive apnea with oxygen desaturation and sleep fragmentation. X-ray report of the cervical spine stated cervical lordotic reversal, apex at C4-5 with mild joint / foramina narrowing. The C5-6 level was similarly involved. Mild thoraco-lumbar scoliosis, convex to the right was also reported.

c. Posture
Examination showed moderate s-shaped scoliosis and anterior head carriage. He exhibited mild pectus excavatum (Figure 1). There was moderately limited but pain-free active cervical mobility with posterior joint dysfunction located at C5-6. An obvious reduction of the thoracic kyphosis (Figure 2) was noted. There was reduced bulk of the rhomboid muscles bilaterally resulting in a concave-
appearing upper thoracic spine. Active left and right lateral flexion of the thoracic spine showed an obvious loss of global movement throughout, with focal mobility at the T8-9 region only (“bent-stick” motion pattern: absence of segmental lateral flexion above and below an apex at T8-9).

d. Palpation
Rhomboid and levator scapulae muscles were reduced in girth, palpably ropy, taut and tender. Deep palpation of the latter provoked local and referred pain into the upper border of the trapezius. Motion and static palpation revealed severe loss of thoracic zygapophyseal/joint function from T1-9. Exquisite tenderness was also noted with posterior-anterior facet challenge at these levels. No referral was elicited on para-spinal examination. Costo-vertebral articulations were limited in their “bucket handle” movement in this region with focal pain on direct palpation of the non-articulating tubercle of the first rib.

e. Orthopedic Testing
All orthopedic tests including Kemp’s, cervical compression, thoracic compression and thoracic outlet provocation were unremarkable. Neurologically, no obvious motor changes were seen in the upper extremities; however there was loss of sensation over the right C5 dermatome at the deltoid tuberosity.

Current Radiographic Assessment
Suspicion of SBS following initial physical examination led to chest and thoracic x-ray examination. It confirmed the moderate, s-shaped scoliosis. There was obvious loss of thoracic kyphosis (Figure 3). Chest AP was unremarkable for heart silhouette widening/pancaking (Figure 4). There are a number of roentgenometric procedures that have been used to determine the degree of thoracic kyphosis and alteration of the retro-sternal and retro-cardiac spaces. The method chosen in this case was documented by Davies, whereby a measurement is made from the anterior body of T8 to the posterior aspect of the sternum. The range of normal thoracic kyphosis varied from 12.68cm to 13.59cm. When reduced values are noted, the “straight back” nomenclature is applied. A second method used involves measuring the horizontal distance from T8 to a vertical line connecting T4 to T12. AP values measured in the lateral chest projection were 9.5cm and therefore the SBS diagnosis was confirmed. (Figure 3).

Management
The patient was diagnosed with chronic, idiopathic SBS. Treatment focused on symptomatic relief of chest tightness and constant thoracic symptoms. A pre-modulated bipolar electrotherapeutic current (80-120hz) was applied to the rhomboids and upper trapezus muscles. Spinal manipulative therapy from T3-8 was performed in a supine manner (Anterior Thoracic procedure) for a period of 8
weeks, 2-3 times per week (total of 18 visits), followed by a simple, active pectoralis muscle stretch involving shoulder extension with scapular retraction (3 sets of 20 sec. inter-scapular contraction, 10 sec. rest). The patient was instructed to perform this 3 times per day to improve flexibility.

Results
The patient experienced considerable reduction of symptoms at the 6 week mark monitored using the Vernon – Mior neck pain / disability scale and Rolland Morris inventory. Values dropped from a rating of 8 to 2 and from 12 to 1 respectively. Absence of anterior chest pain was noted with reported reduction of thoracic stiffness rating of 7/10 to 2/10. Arm symptoms became less frequent (daily report to twice monthly) but upper trapezius tightness remained, requiring continued manipulation to the upper thoracic spine. Follow-up reporting at 6 months and re-examination at 23 months post treatment confirmed continued absence of chest symptoms including tightness, pain and shortness of breath.

Discussion
A review of the literature was conducted using search words: straight back syndrome, flat back syndrome, mitral valve prolapse using EBSCO, MEDLINE and Index to Chiropractic Literature data bases. Fifteen relevant articles were found, none more recent than 2007, the majority of which were published at least 20 years ago.

Rawlings9 first proposed the straight back syndrome (SBS) in 1960 in the American Journal of Cardiology and described it as the absence of the normal dorsal curvature in the thoracic part of the spine resulting in the reduced antero-posterior diameter of the thorax. At that time, it was considered a form of ‘pseudo-heart disease’ but current perspective is more often associated with valvular heart disease.10

Diagnosis of straight back syndrome has changed slightly over the years. In 1956 De Leon et al.4 first proposed the diagnostic criteria as follows: the antero-posterior diameter “a” is defined as the distance from the anterior border of T8 to the posterior border of the sternum on the lateral radiograph and the lateral diameter “b” is defined at the level of the diaphragm on the frontal radiograph. SBS is diagnosed when a/b is 1/3 or less.

In 1980, Davies et al.1 modified the diagnostic criteria to evaluate a larger proportion of the chest diameter. They proposed that the lateral chest radiograph should be used to measure the distance, ‘a’ from the middle of the anterior border of T8 to a vertical line connecting T4 (top of anterior border) and T12 (bottom of anterior border). SBS is diagnosed when ‘distance a’ is smaller than 1.2cm.

As in Datey15, the 2006 diagnostic criteria used by Yochum and Rowe11, includes a lateral chest projection is taken and the distance between the posterior sternum and the anterior surface of the T8 body is measured. A measured average sagittal diameter of less than 13cm in males and less than 11cm in females may indicate the presence of SBS. It is important to ensure that SBS is not confused with flat back syndrome, which is defined as the loss of the normal lordotic curvature of the lumbar spine most commonly associated with spinal fusion surgery.12 Above all, it may be important for all health practitioners to consider SBS as a differential diagnosis for patients presenting with a chest complaint and to understand that such presentations are not always cardiovascular in nature. We have proposed a diagnostic algorithm (appendix 1) to heighten clinicians’ awareness to this syndrome. Such yellow flags that are illustrated include: decreased antero-posterior chest dimensions, pectus excavatum and straightening of the thoracic spine. X-ray finding may include a pancaking cardiac silhouette appearing as cardiomegaly and prominence of the pulmonary artery.

There is much debate as to the etiology of straight back syndrome. It has been suggested that it is an autosomal dominant condition and antigenic determinants may be located on chromosome 6.1 Though it had previously been suggested that the deformity occurs in intrauterine life, making it a congenital condition13, other recent discussions tend to support that straight back syndrome may be a postnatal acquired condition5. Present literature remains unclear as to the cause of this syndrome.

Straight back syndrome usually comes to attention due to systolic cardiac murmurs detected during routine examination.3 However, this is not always the case. In one study, half the patients diagnosed with SBS were asymptomatic; therefore it is not plausible to only rely on incidentally found systolic cardiac murmurs for the diagnosis of this syndrome. Other common cardiac findings associated with SBS are: pulmonic ejection murmurs, palpable left para-sternal systolic impulses, loud delayed sounds of tricuspid valve closure, and exaggerated respiratory split-
ting of the second heart sound.\textsuperscript{11} Palpitations and chest pain may be noted.\textsuperscript{14} In some rare cases, SBS has been associated with tracheal compression and respiratory failure.\textsuperscript{5,14} These symptoms are thought to be caused by compression of the heart and great vessels.\textsuperscript{5}

Upon radiographic examination of patients with SBS, the PA chest view may demonstrate an unusual downward angulation of the anterior rib ends and the heart may appear displaced toward the left and appear enlarged.\textsuperscript{11} However, the most significant and diagnostic finding is thought to be the straightening of the dorsal spine, visible on inspection, which is thereafter confirmed by palpation and a lateral radiograph of the thoracic spine which can be evaluated using the diagnostic criteria elaborated by Yochum and Rowe.\textsuperscript{10,14} Electrocardiogram is normal in most cases, solidifying that SBS is not an actual organic cardiac complaint but rather a pseudoheart condition.\textsuperscript{9} In one study, echocardiograms were normal in 36\% and abnormal in 64\% of patients.\textsuperscript{4}

As previously stated, straight back syndrome has been associated with valvular defects. One study showed a strong association between SBS and mitral valve prolapse. In this study, 58\% of patients with SBS were found to also have mitral valve prolapse (MVP).\textsuperscript{10} Davies et al.\textsuperscript{1} found that 67\% of subjects with SBS had clinical or echocardiographic evidence of mitral valve prolapse while only 17.5\% of subjects without SBS had evidence MVP. Muraki et al\textsuperscript{15} studied the mechanism responsible for mitral valve prolapse in patients with SBS and concluded that antero-posterior flattening of the left ventricle predisposes to asynchronous motion of the papillary muscles at end-systole, causing leaflet malcoaptation (malalignment) resulting in mitral regurgitation. In contrast, Chen et al.\textsuperscript{13} found that straight back syndrome does not increase the familial occurrence of mitral valve prolapse. They postulated that both SBS and MVP might be features of a more generalized disorder. These two features may occur together in the same individual or they may be dissociated. This evidence shows that though not all patients presenting with straight back syndrome have mitral valve prolapse, there is, however, an increased chance of its presence. Therefore, in the interest of caution, some authors suggest patients be investigated for associated mitral valve prolapse if a diagnosis of SBS is suspected.\textsuperscript{1,10} Even if complicated with MVP, specific treatment for SBS is generally not required.\textsuperscript{5} Others, like Yochum and Albers\textsuperscript{16} contend that the clinicians’ familiarity with this syndrome could prevent unnecessary and expensive testing as well as having the psychological benefit to the patient that they do not have organic heart disease.

Summary
This manuscript described the successful management of a patient with musculoskeletal symptoms related to SBS. Although SBS has gained increased recognition since its initial reporting by Rawlings in 1960, further research is still required in order to comprehend the scope of this syndrome and to guide health practitioners towards its proper diagnosis and, in some cases, management of its associated musculoskeletal issues. Further studies will help provide a greater understanding and appreciation of its overall incidence as well as its correlation with MVP. The incidence of SBS is unknown owing partially to its under-diagnosis within the clinical realm. As successfully shown in this case study, patients with SBS may not require any form of invasive treatment and often benefit from conservative care for symptomatic relief. Here, it consisted of chiropractic manipulative therapy, soft tissue treatment, and active exercise. Above all, it is important for the chiropractic practitioner to rule out cardiogenic causes by way of medical referral prior to any treatment regimen for patients presenting with a chest complaint.
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Appendix 1: Diagnostic Algorithm comparing mitral Valve prolapsed to Straight Back Syndrome

PRESENTING SYMPTOMS
- Chest, arm pain, dorsalgia
- Occasional respiratory complaint

PHYSICAL ASSESSMENT

OBSERVATION
- Decreased antero-posterior chest dimensions
- Pectus Excavatum
- Low body weight
- Straightening of the spine

PALPATION/AUSCULTATION
- Palpable pulmonary artery pulsation
- Ejection systolic murmurs in pulmonic area
- Palpable impulse in left lower sternal border
- Accentuation and delay in tricuspid valve closure
- Exaggerated inspiratory splitting of the second heart sound
- Increase in amplitude of aortic and pulmonary closure.
- May or may not reveal a cardiac murmur.

OBSERVATION
- Asthenic body habitus
- Low body weight or body mass index (BMI)
- Straight-back (thoracic)
- Scoliosis or kyphosis
- Pectus excavatum
- Hypermobility (articular)
- Marfan, Ehlers-Danlos syndromes

AUSCULTATION
- Mid-to-late systolic click
- May or may not be followed by a high-pitched, mid-to-late systolic murmur at the cardiac apex.
- Valsalva maneuver results in an early click close to S1 and a prolonged murmur.
- In the supine position, especially with the legs raised for increased venous return, left ventricular diastolic volume is increased, resulting in a click later in systole and a shortened murmur.

REPORTED SYMPTOMS
- Symptoms of autonomic dysfunction: easy fatigability, dizziness, and atypical chest pain

SPECIAL IMAGING

CHEST X-RAY
- Pancake appearance simulating cardiomegaly
- Lovoposition of heart
- Prominence of the main pulmonary artery
- A measured sagittal dimension of <13cm in males and <11cm in females may indicate the presence of straight back syndrome

- Heart size is increased
- Leaflets are hypertrophied

- May be negative
- Right bundle branch block in V1 and small terminal r waves in aVR lead.

ECHO
- - ECHO is the gold standard for cardiac pathology including MVP
- - Thickening of the mitral leaflets >5 mm and leaflet displacement >2 mm indicates classic mitral valve prolapse

- Usually normal
- - Can show nonspecific ST-segment and T-wave abnormalities especially in leads II, III, & aVF.

ECG
- Usually normal
Myxopapillary ependymoma as a cause of back pain in a young male – A case report
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Objective: Primary spinal cord tumours are rare causes of low back pain but can be a significant cause of morbidity if undiagnosed and untreated. The following is a case of a young male patient presenting with low back pain and radicular symptoms caused by myxopapillary ependymoma.

Clinical Features: A nineteen year old male presented to an orthopaedic surgeon with a long history of back pain. He was initially diagnosed with soft tissue injuries and discharged. He began to experience erectile and bowel dysfunction two years later and was re-referred to the orthopaedic surgeon by his family physician but was lost to follow-up. The patient did not present to the surgeon until two years after his symptom profile changed. At that point, MRI examinations revealed a large myxopapillary ependymoma extending from T12 to L4 that was confirmed by a pathologist.

Intervention and Outcome: The tumour was surgically resected with subsequent adjuvant radiotherapy. After one year, the patient required continued catheterization and had poor anal tone. His back and leg complaints were almost normal. Follow-up MRI examinations revealed no disease progression or new spinal lesions at 4 years after the initial diagnosis.

Conclusion: The clinical presentation of primary spinal cord tumours is non-specific and can easily be missed. In cases of chronic back pain, signs and symptoms should be carefully examined.
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symptoms should be regularly monitored for changes indicative of progressive neurological compromise such as sensory, motor and bowel/bladder dysfunction. If there is deterioration of clinical signs and symptoms, a spinal tumour should be considered in the list of differential diagnoses. Delayed diagnosis and treatment of these rare causes of back pain could lead to poor outcomes; therefore, a referral to a surgeon should be done immediately with proper follow up to ensure continuity of care.

**KEY WORDS:** Spinal cord tumour, ependymoma, back pain, case reports

**Introduction**

Low back pain caused by neoplasia accounts for less than 1% of cases of back pain but can be a significant cause of morbidity if undiagnosed and untreated. Primary spinal cord tumours represent approximately 15% of all neoplasms of the central nervous system (CNS). These tumours pose a diagnostic challenge for practitioners since the symptoms are often non-specific with the most common initial symptom being pain. Spinal cord tumours are classified according to their anatomical location and are separated into three broad categories: intramedullary, intradural extramedullary, and extradural. Intramedullary tumours are predominantly gliomas (astrocytomas and ependymomas), extramedullary tumours are most commonly peripheral nerve sheath tumours or meningiomas, and extradural lesions are usually metastatic. The most common primary spinal cord tumour in adults is ependymoma. Spinal cord tumours can significantly compress and displace the spinal cord, nerve roots or surrounding structures, impacting the neurologic status. Therefore, early recognition of the signs and symptoms of primary spinal cord tumours allows for early treatment, potentially minimizing neurologic morbidity and improving outcome. The following is a case of a young male patient presenting with low back pain and radicular symptoms caused by myxopapillary ependymoma.

**Case History**

A 19 year old male student presented to an orthopaedic surgeon with complaints of a 3 year history of back pain. He was referred to the surgeon by the family physician. He attributed the onset to being unexpectedly struck in the kidney area, after which he had ongoing recurring back pain. He was physically active but noted increasing pain in his lower back with some vague radicular discomfort into his proximal thighs more towards the end of the day. Systems review was unremarkable. His lumbar spine range of motion was slightly restricted in forward flexion with a mild list to the right. Straight leg raising was to 90° bilaterally with no evidence of nerve root tension signs. Sacroiliac and hip joint dysfunction tests were negative. On neurological examination of the lower limbs, deep tendon reflexes were 3+ but symmetric, his muscle strength was graded 5/5 and he had normal sensation. Palpation revealed L4/5 and L5/S1 local tenderness.

Conventional radiographs and a CT scan showed a spina bifida occulta of S1 but no pathology that would account for his symptomatology. The patient was diagnosed with soft tissue injuries and prescribed NSAIDs and analgesics to be taken on an as needed basis and discharged with no further follow-up scheduled.

Approximately one year later he visited his family physician because he needed a form to be filled out for a motor vehicle accident. When he was asked about his
low back pain, he stated that it was episodic but was not troubling him that much overall since he was managing with home exercises, and Diclofenac (Voltaren).

Another year later, at the age of 21, the patient returned to his family physician with similar episodic low back pain, but at this time reported intermittent erectile dysfunction and subjectively stated that he needed to bear down harder in order to have a bowel movement. At this point, he was re-referred to the orthopaedic surgeon; however, he did not follow up with the surgical consultation.

Another two years had elapsed before the patient returned to his family physician for reasons unrelated to his back pain (he had recently broken-up with his partner and was going through a custody battle and experiencing depression). At this point, he was 23 years old. When asked about his low back and the surgical consultation, he stated that he was never contacted by the orthopedic clinic and did not think much about it. He reported that he continued to experience the erectile and bowel dysfunction so was again referred to the orthopaedic surgeon.

Four months later, the patient was seen by the orthopaedic surgeon. At this consultation, he presented with back pain radiating into his legs with weakness and numbness in his legs and bowel, bladder and erectile dysfunction. He ambulated independently with a limp. His deep tendon reflexes were absent bilaterally whereas they were 3+ four years earlier. The remainder of the physical examination was unremarkable. An MRI examination revealed a large heterogenous, T1 hypointense, T2 hyperintense, enhancing spinal canal mass that invaded multiple neural foramina bilaterally and eroded the posterior one third of the L1 vertebral body. There was posterior vertebral body scalloping extending from T12 to L4 with expansion of the spinal canal (Figures 1 and 2). Pathologic examination confirmed a neuroepithelial neoplasm, favouring myxopapillary ependymoma.
An orthopaedic surgeon and neurosurgeon performed a conjoint procedure that involved T12 to S2 laminectomies, excision of the intradural spinal tumour and a spinal duraplasty. Adjuvant radiotherapy was recommended since the tumour could not be completely resected. Initially post surgery, he had significant bowel, bladder and erectile dysfunction. After one year, he still needed catheterization and had poor anal tone but his back and leg complaints were almost totally alleviated. Multiple bi-yearly follow-up MRI examinations revealed marrow changes secondary to radiation therapy. At 4 years after his initial diagnosis, the most recent post-operative MRI examinations revealed no disease progression or new spinal lesions.

Discussion
Spinal ependymal tumours are glial tumours derived from ependymal cells in the spinal cord and represent 40-50% of primary spinal cord tumours. The myxopapillary subtype of ependymomas (MPE) occurs mostly in the thoracolumbar region and is the most common form of ependymoma in the lumbar spine, accounting for 13% of all spinal ependymomas and 90% of tumours in the conus medullaris. It is considered a benign tumour since it is usually encapsulated and anatomically isolated from direct access to lymphatic or other routes of dissemination. The key pathological characteristics of MPE include other primary spinal cord tumours and metastases, or possibly disc herniation depending on the symptoms.

Diagnosis of MPE is best accomplished with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). MRI findings typically include an intradural mass that tends to be hypointense or isointense with the spinal cord on T1 weighted images, hyperintense on T2 weighted images and will have intense homogenous enhancement after the administration of intravenous contrast material. On average, the lesions affect 2 to 4 vertebral body levels. Confirmation of the diagnosis cannot be made until the excised tumour tissues are examined pathologically.

Treatment for MPE mainly involves surgical excision of the tumour. If the capsule ruptures or the tumour is not confined to the filum terminale, the mass could infiltrate and adhere to the cauda equina and/or conus medullaris or disseminate via the cerebral spinal fluid. Therefore, adjuvant radiotherapy is recommended when en bloc excision (removal of the entire tumour as one piece) cannot be accomplished. However, the efficacy of radiation therapy has not been established and can result in adverse effects such as radiation myelopathy and residual dysuria. The 5-year survival rate of spinal ependymomas ranges from 57-100%, and 10-33% of patients will experience local invasion of the tumour or recurrence. Metastasis is rare in MPE but there have been several reported cases. A good prognosis is correlated with both a greater extent of resection and a high preoperative functional status. Longer symptom duration prior to treatment is correlated with poorer functional outcomes; therefore, early detection of the tumour is also associated with a better prognosis.

In the chiropractic literature, three cases of spinal ependymomas have been published in two articles. One patient presented with chronic (six months duration) back pain whereas the other two presented with acute exacerbations of back pain. The clinical presentations were variable but each had an unusual characteristic: one had atrophy and weakness of the left hand; the second had bowel and bladder dysfunction; and the third experienced a disproportionate amount of pain relative to the mechanism of his injury. Ependymomas in the cervical spine (in the first case) and lumbar spine (in the latter two cases) were revealed via MRI and all cases had good outcomes after surgical excision of the tumour. The subtypes of ependymomas were not discussed in these three cases.

In the present case, it is unlikely that the initial presentation of chronic low back pain was associated with the ependymoma. It could be argued that since MPE is a slow growing tumour, it could have begun to develop at that time. However, he did not report any red flags, the physical examination did not reveal any gross abnormalities and
the conventional radiographs and CT scan were negative. Although his reflexes were 3+ in the lower extremities initially, this is not necessarily indicative of disease. If the original low back pain was indeed of a soft tissue nature as opined by the orthopaedic surgeon, then this case reinforces the necessity to regularly re-evaluate patients for changes in signs and symptoms.

The patient’s symptom profile changed between the ages of 20 and 21 when he reported erectile and bowel dysfunction to the family physician. No physical examination was performed during that visit so it cannot be determined when signs of neurological deterioration would have appeared. He was referred back to the orthopaedic surgeon but unfortunately, no appointment occurred. Treatment may have been further delayed had he not returned to the family physician for unrelated reasons 2 years later. At the time of the second surgical consultation, the patient had weakness in his legs, bowel and bladder dysfunction, erectile dysfunction and night pain. Physical findings further revealed a change from 3+ reflexes bilaterally to absent reflexes.

This delayed diagnosis perhaps contributed to his slow healing and residual bladder and anal tone dysfunction after treatment. This highlights the importance of follow-up by the primary contact provider to ensure proper management of the case. If the patient had seen the specialist closer to the time of initial symptom change, surgical resection could have been performed sooner, perhaps resulting in a better clinical outcome.

**Conclusion**

Myxopapillary ependymomas are the most common primary tumours in the region of the conus medullaris and filum terminale. The clinical presentation of these tumours is non-specific with lumbar and radicular pain being the most common symptoms. In cases of chronic back pain, signs and symptoms should be regularly monitored for changes indicative of progressive neurological compromise such as sensory, motor and bowel/bladder dysfunction. If there is deterioration of clinical signs and symptoms, a spinal tumour should be considered in the list of differential diagnoses. Delayed diagnosis and treatment of these rare causes of back pain could lead to poor outcomes; therefore, a referral to a surgeon should be done immediately with proper follow up to ensure continuity of care.
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Interexaminer reliability of cervical motion palpation using continuous measures and rater confidence levels
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Introduction: Motion palpators usually rate the movement of each spinal level palpated, and their reliability is assessed based upon discrete paired observations. We hypothesized that asking motion palpators to identify the most fixated cervical spinal level to allow calculating reliability at the group level might be a useful alternative approach.

Methods: Three examiners palpated 29 asymptomatic supine participants for cervical joint hypomobility. The location of identified hypomobile sites was based on their distance from the T1 spinous process. Interexaminer concordance was estimated by calculating Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) and mean absolute differences (MAD) values, stratified by degree of examiner confidence.

Results: For the entire participant pool, ICC [2,1] = 0.61, judged “good.” MAD = 1.35 cm, corresponding to mean interexaminer differences of about 75% of one cervical vertebral level. Stratification by examiner confidence levels resulted in small subgroups with equivocal results.

Discussion and Conclusion: A continuous measures...
**KEY WORDS**: motion palpation, fixation, cervical spine, concordance
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**Introduction**

Motion palpation (MP) in one form or another is integral to most chiropractic techniques, and is found within the core curriculum at virtually every institution where manual therapy procedures are taught and practiced. Given its ubiquity and strategic importance in training programs, the intraexaminer and interexaminer reliability of MP have been extensively studied and summarized in systematic and annotated reviews. In their review of 44 MP studies, Haneline et al reported that only 8 showed high levels of reliability, and that only 2 of these 8 studies could be judged to be of high quality. MP has been found to be of high quality, while Hestbaek and Leboeuf-Yde concluded “The esteem chiropractors have for motion palpation in particular has not been substantiated by scientific data.”

Cooperstein et al hypothesized that the design methods of previous interexaminer MP reliability studies may have lacked optimal agreement. All such studies, despite some differences, shared the method of analyzing agreement on a segmental basis. That is, the examiners tested and compared impressions for each spinal level considered separately.

Some of the earlier studies reported the results in terms of percentage agreement, but as Haas pointed out, this does not correct for chance agreement. All the more recent studies have assessed concordance using the kappa statistic, which does indeed correct for chance agreement. Sim and Wright have described several factors that can influence the magnitude of kappa, including prevalence and bias, and discussed ways of interpreting the magnitude of obtained kappa values.

Assessing agreement level by level may not reflect the conceptual model that some doctors in clinical practice use when asked to compare opinions for a specific patient on the location of hypomobility. For example, asked to evaluate the cervical spine of a patient with a “stiff neck,” we suspect some doctors would attempt to identify the most hypomobile level in the neck. The levels they found could then be judged to be either relatively close to or distant from one another. Then, we could conclude the doctors had closely agreed upon, almost agreed upon, or simply disagreed about the location of the most hypomobile segment. Cooperstein et al studied the interexaminer reliability of thoracic MP using this conceptual model and the statistical method best adapted to this type of analysis, the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC). Although the kappa statistic performs calculations on discrete paired observations, ICC performs calculations on continuous data at the group level.

Cooperstein et al also reasoned that many participants in previous MP studies (often done using largely asymptomatic students) may have lacked a significant hypomobile location, forcing examiners to opine “fixated” or “not-fixated” at each level including cases where they were simply not sure of their findings. To take this into account, examiners in their thoracic study were asked to rate their confidence in the finding each time they palpated a participant. Then, in analyzing the results, examiner agreement could be calculated among several subsets of study participants, stratified by the degree of doctor confidence. Without stratification by doctor confidence, interexaminer reliability was “poor”: ICC[2,1] = .3110 (95% CI, 0.0458, 0.5358). In contrast, when both examiners were very confident, interexaminer agreement was “excellent”: ICC[2,1] = 0.8266 (95% CI, 0.6257, 0.9253). The objective of our present study was to apply Cooperstein’s thoracic spine methodology to the cervical spine, for which, to our knowledge, no data using continuous analysis and stratified confidence ratings have been previously reported.
Methods
This study required and received approval from the Institutional Review Board at our college. All participants were required to provide informed written consent prior to being enrolled in the study. The participants were a convenience sample of asymptomatic chiropractic students who volunteered to participate during a technique laboratory class. Participants with reported cervical pain greater than 2/10 or intolerance to the palpation procedure for any reason were excluded. There were no other exclusion criteria. Participants (n=29) were mostly male (n=19), mean 27.1 years of age, mean weight 71.2 kg, and mean height 172.3 cm. The mean pain level was 0.8 on an 11-point numeric pain scale, as established by participant-completed questionnaires. No potential participants were excluded.

The 3 examiners used in this study were licensed chiropractors, two with more than 20 years of clinical experience and one with approximately 3 years of experience. The participants were instructed not to speak to the examiners during the examination process and were unaware of the palpatory results. The sequence of examiners was randomized for each participant by means of an (unblinded) research assistant drawing color-coded slips of paper from an envelope to prevent order effects. Each examiner was masked as to other examiners’ findings.

Participants were first placed prone in order to permit a research assistant to mark the skin at the location of the T1 spinous process. Participants were then re-positioned supine to permit MP by the examiners between the C1 and C7 levels. To do so, the examiners used the lateral aspect of their index fingers to apply over-pressure at end-range to the lateral aspect of the cervical articular pillars, using a mostly posterior to anterior and somewhat lateral to medial vector. This created extension, ipsilateral lateral flexion and contralateral rotation to the side of contact. This would be described as an end-feel method of MP1,15, judging the quality of motion at end-range with pressure applied to one vertebra. The described over-pressure was equivalent to having taken each joint to end-range, left and right, as if to perform a traditional chiropractic adjustment commonly known as the modified rotary break, or more generically as the “supine proximal lateral index-transverse/articular pillar move.”

After identifying the most hypomobile spinal site, the first examiner silently pointed out (by touching it) the location to a research assistant, who placed a small adhesive backed marker on the participant’s skin at the indicated location. The examiner also whispered to the research assistant whether he was “very confident” or “not confident” in the finding of hypomobility. The research assistant then recorded the distance in centimeters from this marker to the mark on the T1 spinous process with a soft measuring tape, and also recorded the examiner’s confidence rating. The marker was then removed and then the second and third examiners repeated the procedure, allowing approximately 2 minutes between observations.

Figure 1 illustrates our method for computing the distances between the examiner’s locations for hypomobility.
and O-C6, we used trigonometry to calculate distances a and b along the line D-C4. Line D-C4 is drawn 1.5 cm lateral to point O, based on a measurement taken from a dry spine. These calculations enabled us to transform the entire dataset of measurements taken from the spinous process of T1 to laterally situated hypomobile locations, to the actual vertical distances between examiners’ findings. This heuristic calculation required three simplifying assumptions: (a) each level in the cervical spine was 1.8 cm in height (even though vertebral height increases somewhat heading caudally); (b) the vertical length of the neck was uniform among participants; and (c) the skin marks were along a hypothetical line 1.5 cm from a hypothetical interspinous line.

We determined the spread of hypomobile findings across the range of C1-C7. We used the ICC statistic [2,1] (a two-way ANOVA model) to calculate group concordance and the root mean square error (RMSE) for all 27 analyzable participants, for all 3 examiners. We also calculated concordance among the 3 pair-wise combinations of examiners: 1&2 (n=29), 1&3 (n=27), and 2&3 (n=27). In each of the 4 datasets analyzed, the calculations were performed in three ways: for the sample as a whole (unstratified by doctor confidence), for a subset in which all examiners were confident in their findings, and for a subset in which at least one of the examiners lacked confidence. Thus, a total of 12 ICC and RMSE values were calculated. In addition, for each of these same 12 subsets we also calculated the mean absolute difference (MAD), the standard error of the mean (SEM), and 95% confidence intervals.

Results
Although 29 participants satisfied the inclusion criteria, two data points were not recorded for examiner 3 (one failure to record the distance from T1 to the hypomobile location, and one failure to record the confidence rating). As a result, some of the interexaminer reliability and MAD calculations (involving examiners 1&2) are based on n=29, and the others (any involving examiner 3) on n=27. Likewise, the calculations based upon the entire participant pool are based on n=27. Some mild erythema was often induced during examination, but was so dispersed that each examiner’s identification of the most hypomobile location was judged to have been effectively masked from the other examiners.

Figure 2 is a histogram providing the approximate distribution of hypomobility findings for all examiners and all participants. Since the examiners did not attempt to nominate specific levels, but rather distances from a fixed point, we produced this histogram by distributing the actual measured locations into 7 bins within the C1-7 range. Since doing so required some simplifying assumptions, the histogram must be seen as a heuristic attempt to capture the results rather than representing exact numbers of findings at each segment. We assumed a uniform scale for the cervical spine with equally spaced segments; that at least one of the examiners’ calls included C1; and that the vertical length of each participant’s neck was uniform. Subject to these limits on interpretation, the hypmobile findings approximated a fairly smooth bell curve skewed to the left, with the peak frequency of hypomobile calls made at the the approximate level of C5.

The overall agreement on side of hypomobility was 44%. For examiners 1&2 kappa= −.39, p=.09; for examiners 1&3, kappa= −.28, p=.17; and for examiners 2&3, kappa= −.19, p=.39.

The percentage of participants for which at least one examiner lacked confidence was 28%, 17%, and 11% for examiners 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

Table 1 summarizes the data for subgroups 1-4 based on examiner comparisons: (1) all examiners combined;
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In each subgroup, data are reported for 3 participant subsets: a non-stratified subset, a subset where all examiners were confident, and a subset where at least one examiner was not confident. The Shapiro–Wilk test was run on all subsets to confirm the populations were normally distributed prior to calculating reliability estimates.

Stratification by examiner confidence levels resulted in a series of relatively small subgroups for analysis. For the entire unstratified participant pool, ICC [2,1] = 0.61; the root mean square error (RMSE) indicated that accuracy of measurement was within 1.22 cm. The MAD in examiners’ identification of the most hypomobile segment was 1.35 cm, 95% confidence interval: 1.12, 1.57 cm. Assuming an approximate 1.8 cm per cervical level\(^1\), both the RMSE and MAD calculations suggest a mean interexaminer difference of about 75% of a vertebral level, clinically equivalent to having identified the same motion segment as being hypomobile.

Discussion
Possible explanations for the general poor reliability of previous motion palpation studies have included poor interexaminer spinal level localization leading to possible misrepresented discrepancies.\(^5,17\) Some investigators avoided the spinal level numeration problem by having the examiners denote particular skin marks (applied beforehand by a research assistant) to represent the level of fixation, rather than attempting to number the vertebral levels felt to be fixated. Our study avoids the numeration problem by recording the hypomobile location as a distance from a landmark rather than as a spinal level in the usual sense of the term.

Our study allowed the examiners to determine the most hypomobile cervical location and rate their findings by degree of confidence. Using the typical scale for classifying ICC values (below 0.40 = poor, 0.40-0.59 = fair, 0.60-0.74 =good, above 0.75 = excellent)\(^14\), interexaminer agreement for all participants, unstratified, was “good.” Although the ICC values obtained in the present study were somewhat lower than the highest ICC reported in the aforementioned thoracic study\(^12\), the results are generally comparable. MAD, the average of examiners’ differences, was 1.35 cm, equivalent to having identified the same motion segment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Group description, sample size</th>
<th>Stratification state</th>
<th>Mean absolute difference</th>
<th>MAD 95% confidence interval</th>
<th>Standard error of mean</th>
<th>Intraclass correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAD, cm</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>All ex, n=27</td>
<td>non-stratified</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ex, n=14</td>
<td>confident</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ex, n=13</td>
<td>not confident</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;2, n=29</td>
<td>non-stratified</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;2, n=16</td>
<td>confident</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;2, n=13</td>
<td>not confident</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;3, n=27</td>
<td>non-stratified</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;3, n=16</td>
<td>confident</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 1&amp;3, n=11</td>
<td>not confident</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ex 2&amp;3, n=27</td>
<td>non-stratified</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 2&amp;3, n=21</td>
<td>confident</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 2&amp;3, n=6</td>
<td>not confident</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ex=examiner; \(\sigma_e\) = root mean square error (RMSE), cm
Our study was underpowered, resulting in subgroups that were exceedingly small. Thus, there was inadequate power to statistically determine significant differences in reliability among the confidence strata. Walter et al developed a method for estimating the required sample size for ICC calculations, given the expected ICC, the lowest ICC that would be acceptable, and the number of raters. For example, using 3 examiners, had we been willing to accept ICC=0.4 (“fair”), and expecting ICC=.7 (comparable to a previous similar study, we would have needed a sample size of 20. For comparisons using 2 examiners, the required sample size would have been n=33.

Study designs that permit analyzing continuous group data rather than discrete paired observations may provide an increased ability to discern interexaminer agreement, as does (to some degree) allowing the examiners to rate their level of confidence in their findings. The methods used in most if not all previous MP studies asked the examiners to judge each spinal level as either moving normally or being hypomobile, and analyzed the data using the kappa statistic. Judging agreement by how near the identified locations are to one another, as we did, may be a more subtle and clinically relevant an assessing of agreement. It may better mirror how many (but certainly not all) manual therapists detect hypomobility in typical clinical settings: the palpatorexamines the spine for hypomobile sites that are relatively near the area of the patient’s complaints.

Among the four dozen MP studies discussed in an annotated review of MP, Potter et al were one of only two groups of investigators who used a most-hypomobile-segment paradigm similar to ours, and they also used ICC for the purposes of analysis. Since theirs was an intraexaminer study, unlike ours, and furthermore considered other examination findings in addition to MP to determine agreement, we cannot directly compare the results of their study with our own. Ghoukassian et al also used a most fixated level protocol in an osteopathic study assessing the reliability of a percussive MP method developed by Johnston. Even though they could have used ICC for the purposes of analysis, the investigators organized their data so as to use the kappa statistic, and doing so found negligible interexaminer agreement. When their data is reformatted so as to enable analysis using ICC, what becomes apparent is clinically relevant (although not high) agreement. Since the data in the Ghoukassian study were presented such that they could be analyzed using either discrete or continuous statistical methods, in effect we have a direct head-to-head comparison whereby continuous analysis showed arguably more agreement than discrete analysis. An article re-analyzing this osteopathic study using continuous statistical methods is now in press. It demonstrates that a head-to-head comparison of two measures of reliability, operating upon the same dataset, found greater reliability using continuous as compared with discrete analysis. However, this outcome may not be generalizable.

Interexaminer motion palpation studies generally assess agreement on the spinal level of hypomobility, but infrequently report the direction or side of restriction. Indeed, many by design constrain the examiners to study posterior to anterior glide only, or confine examination to one side only. In our study the overall agreement on the side of hypomobility was only 44%, although paradoxically, we found strong agreement on the spinal location of maximum hypomobility. Since the palpatory procedure involved applying mostly posterior to anterior pressure on the articular pillar and transverse process, the hard end-feel that the examiners perceived may have related more to vertebral resistance to extension (i.e., extension restriction) rather than axial rotation or lateral-to-medial translation. Under that assumption, the side contacted resulting in the judgement of extension hypomobility may have been perceptually unimportant.

We have no reason to think our results on side-specificity differ from those of other MP investigators, most of whom did not report their data on side-specificity. A cervical MP study by Cooperstein et al reported good examiner agreement on which participants in their study exhibited fixation, but not on the side. There is some limited information available addressing cervical clinical outcomes as related to the side of intervention.

Awareness seems to be growing that the kappa statistic has not been very useful in demonstrating examiner concordance in level-by-level study designs, and in fact needs to be made more useful by dint of using expanded definitions of agreement. For example, Abbot et al, after checking each lumbar level individually for instability, collapsed the data into just 2 levels, to which they then applied kappa statistics: “For analysis of clinical examination data, both clinical and radiographic data were then collapsed into two regions, corresponding to upper lum-
bar and lower lumbar. This was decided a priori, and con-
sidered necessary because there is considerable evidence
that therapists are not sufficiently accurate in identifying
specific segmental levels by palpation, although they are
typically within one level (up or down) and are generally
reliable at locating again a segment they had previously
located.” Heiderscheit et al28 also realized that their pros-
spects for finding segmental agreement using the kappa
statistic was poor: “To account for potential segment level
identification inaccuracies, an expanded definition of
agreement was also used. Using this expanded definition,
agreement with regard to the localization of findings was
present if it was reproduced during the second examina-
tion session and located in the exact same spinal level or
in a neighboring level (± 1 spinal segment)”. Our study
did not have to expand the bins to detect agreement. Examiners needed only to have been
near each other in identifying the most hypomobile seg-
ment to be judged in agreement; and the closer they were,
the higher the level of agreement. Our study was not de-
signed to show that identifying the most fixated location
is more clinically important than identifying a discrete
level of care, nor address the clinical issues related to
spinal manipulation based on any particular examination
protocol. Rather, we attempted to show that examiners
can be demonstrably concordant in identifying the most
fixated location in the cervical spine, even though most
prior cervical MP studies showed an unacceptable degree
of agreement when assessing cervical motion level by
level.

**Limitations of the study**

- Although we randomized colored slips of paper
to determine the order of examiners for each
participant, we did not record the examiner or-
der. This precluded determining if there were
order effects based on which examiner was first,
second, or third.
- The research assistant may have erred to some
degree in placing the marker at the spot indicated
by the examiners and in performing the
measurements. In addition, the research assist-
ant was not blinded to the examiners’ site design-
ations.
- Since MP may alter the participant’s joint move-
ments (either increasing or decreasing end-
range movement capability), using 3 examiners
rather than the usual 2 might not have been a
good design choice. It may have reduced the
independence of the observations beyond what
occurs using only 2 examiners.
- Lack of confidence in the examiners’ rating of
the most hypomobile motion segment might
have come about in 2 different ways: an exam-
iner might not have found any motion segment
significantly hypomobile or an examiner may
have found multiple segments significantly but
indistinguishably hypomobile.
- The finding that the highest ICC recorded in
this study occurred in a subgroup where at least
one examiner was not confident was counter-
intuitive and remains unexplained. That stated,
examiner confidence levels appeared to have a
modest impact in the study overall.
- Our study was underpowered, resulting in sub-
groups that were exceedingly small. Thus, there
was inadequate power to statistically determine
significant differences in reliability among the
confidence strata. Small sample sizes29 may
increase the variability of examiners’ observa-
tions.
- The study participants were largely asymptom-
atic, thus not reflective of symptomatic patients
seeking care, jeopardizing the external valid-
ity in a manner that has been previously criti-
cized5,11; lack of participant homogeneity30 may
increase variability. On the other hand there is
some evidence that using more symptomatic
participants does not appreciably change the
outcome.31
- Without a reference standard we cannot con-
firm that there actually were any hypomobilities
present in our study.

**Conclusions**
The palpators in this study of cervical end-feel MP exhib-
ited good interexaminer agreement, with findings gener-
ally within one level of each other, despite having used indus-
try-standard methods that previous studies had found
mostly unreliable. Examiner confidence levels seemed to
have a modest impact on the reliability of cervical spine
MP, but the study did not have enough power to address this clearly. There may be benefits to repeating the study on a sample of symptomatic patients.

With so many previous studies having been performed in educational institutions that used mostly asymptomatic and minimally-symptomatic participants, it is not surprising that the protocol of examining the spine segment by segment became established, and propagated in clinical situations even where more symptomatic participants were available. After all, minus a significant participant complaint, a level-by-level approach may have seemed more appropriate compared with the more targeted approach we suspect is used by field doctors, who might be expected to seek the most fixated or otherwise symptomatic segment lying within the field of primary complaint. Our clinical protocol of identifying the most hypomobile level may better represent the practice of some but not all clinicians using MP.

Investigators who perform research in educational institutions often use a convenience sample of minimally symptomatic students, often a relatively small sample, usually due to research infrastructural limitations. These investigators understand it would have been better to use a larger sample of more heterogeneous participants, and know in advance their study will never achieve a high score using rating instruments like QAREL for reliability or QUADAS for validity studies. Institutional investigators in such circumstances do need to make it clear that their studies have limited external validity, and readers should be cautious to not over-interpret their results.

The better reliability seen in our study compared with most previous motion palpation studies is not attributable to any improvements to the end-feel palpatory method, nor do they confirm a better method for identifying the most appropriate spinal site of care. We are not aware of any studies that report different outcomes for care based on examining every spinal level as compared with flagging the most relevant location within a patient’s area of primary complaint. Therefore, these results do not call for clinicians to adopt new patient assessment methods or change their record-keeping protocols. They do suggest that researchers might consider designing their study protocols and research methods to explore reliability using the “most clinically relevant spinal site” protocol that some clinicians use. In fact, our results raise the possibility that the present inventory of mostly discrete (certainly for MP) reliability studies may underestimate clinically relevant examiner agreement, thereby unduly discouraging further research and clinician interest in such research. It may be possible to repeat many other interexaminer reliability studies, including studies of examination procedures other than MP (thermography, x-ray line marking, etc.) with similar design modifications that may more meaningfully assess examiner agreement than the mostly discrete analysis that has been used up until now.

We should not allow the confidence module of this study, given that it addressed an important clinical issue, to obscure our central finding. The interexaminer reliability for all 3 examiners, and for all participants, was good.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Palmer Center for Chiropractic Research for its support.

References

Interexaminer reliability of cervical motion palpation using continuous measures and rater confidence levels

Frequency of use of diagnostic and manual therapeutic procedures of the spine currently taught at the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College: A preliminary survey of Ontario chiropractors. Part 2 – procedure usage rates
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Objective: The purpose of this study was to determine which diagnostic and therapeutic procedures of the spine are most commonly utilized by chiropractors practicing in Ontario, based on a list of currently taught procedures at CMCC. In Part 1 of this study (published previously), the demographics and practice patterns of the respondents were presented. Part 2 of this study (presented here) reports on the utilization rates of spinal diagnostic and therapeutic procedures by the respondents.

Methods: The study consisted of a paper-based survey that was sent to 500 randomly selected Ontario chiropractors who responded confidentially. Survey questions inquired into demographic and practice style characteristics as well as the frequency with which spinal diagnostic and therapeutic procedures were performed.

Results: There were 108 respondents to the survey, giving a response rate of 22.4%. Frequency of use of diagnostic procedures fell into three broad categories: (i) those tests that are almost always performed, (ii) those tests that are almost always performed by two-
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Introduction

Chiropractors are taught numerous diagnostic and therapeuic procedures during their undergraduate education and clinical internship. These procedures are principally directed towards the cervical, thoracic, lumbar and pelvic regions (the spine) and peripheral joints, although students are also taught how to assess other structures (eyes, ears, heart and so on) as well. Two previous studies sought to determine if the diagnostic and therapeutic procedures taught during the undergraduate programme at the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College (CMCC) are required to be used by fourth year students during their internship when providing patient care under the direct supervisions of chiropractic clinicians. In general, these studies reported a relatively high degree of vertical integration of procedures between the undergraduate and clinical internship with respect to the cervical, thoracic and lumbopelvic spine but a very low degree of vertical integration with respect to assessment procedures of the cranium. This study took those investigations one step further by attempting to ascertain if the diagnostic and therapeutic procedures currently taught to students are subsequently utilized for patient care after graduation.

The overall purposes of this study were to: (i) characterize practice patterns and demographic information of a pseudo-random sample of Ontario chiropractors; (ii) determine which diagnostic tests of the spine and (iii) which therapeutic procedures of the spine were utilized by a pseudo-randomized sample of Ontarian chiropractors as well as how often (i.e. at what frequency) they were being used. The first objective (characterization of practice patterns and demographic information) has been accepted for publication. We present here the second and third objectives of this study; namely, which diagnostic and therapeutic procedures currently taught to chiropractic students are used by a pseudo-randomized sample of Ontario chiropractors and how often (i.e. at what frequency) these tests were being used.

Methods

The methods employed in this study have been described in detail elsewhere, and modelled after two previously published studies on this topic. Briefly, this study received approval from the CMCC Research Ethics Board (project #112019) and funding from the CMCC Division of Graduate Education and Research. Subjects were mailed a cover sheet and consent form, a paper-based survey and an addressed postage paid envelope to return the survey. The survey consisted of demographic questions, questions regarding practice patterns, and several tables that listed and described all of the spinal diagnostic/examination procedures and manual mobilization and spinal manipulative therapies currently taught in the college’s curriculum, ascertained by auditing courseware of...
technique, orthopaedic and clinical diagnosis courses. A six-point scale was provided for subjects to indicate the frequency with which they perform each procedure. Response options were “never used”, “rarely used”, “sometimes used”, “often used” and “almost always used” as well as “no clinical cause to use this test”. The survey was based on previous published studies on this topic by one of the authors12, and further pre-tested on a single independent external subject.

The surveys were distributed to a systematically pseudo-randomized sample of 500 licensed Ontario chiropractors selected from the directory of the College of Chiropractors of Ontario (CCO) (the licensing body of that province). Subjects were included if they were a practicing chiropractor registered with the CCO who was involved in patient care and signed the informed consent form for participation. Confidentiality was assured and participants could respond anonymously. Descriptive statistics were employed to determine the overall frequency with which the different procedures were performed, along with determining the results of the demographic and practice pattern questions and a response rate. The six options available to respondents used in the survey (see above) were collapsed into four categories to facilitate response pattern analysis. These categories were: ‘Never/Rarely’ (N/R) used, ‘Sometimes’ used (ST), ‘Almost Always/Often’ (AA/O) used and ‘Have’t Had a Patient to Use it on’ (HH-P).

Results

Diagnostic Examination Procedures

Cervical Spine (Table 1)

All respondents (100%) reported they AA/O perform cervical ranges of motion, and almost all respondents reported they perform joint play (96.3%) and static palpation (95.4%) during examination of the cervical spine. Over 80% of respondents reported AA/O performing motion palpation (84.3%) and Kemp’s test (82.4%). Roughly half of respondents indicated they AA/O perform Cervical Compression (63%), Distraction (58.3%), Jackson’s (50.9%) and Spurling’s (46.3%) tests, while the Valsalva’s and Doorbell tests were AA/O performed by slightly less than half of respondents, although the results for these tests increase substantially if combined with the responses from respondents who reported that they ‘sometimes’ perform them.

Conversely, over 85% of respondents reported they N/R performed Naffziger’s test. Houle’s test, a test that purportedly screens for patients at-risk of experiencing a verteobasilar stroke during cervical manipulation6, was never used by 70.4% of respondents. Other tests commonly N/R used included Cervical Flexion-Rotation, L’Hermittes, Upper Limb Tension and Soto-Hall. The Rotary Chair and Dix-Hallpike tests, used to differentially diagnose dizziness as either cervicogenic vertigo or benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) respectively7, were both N/R used by 70.4% of respondents, with roughly 7% indicating that they never had opportunity or cause to perform these tests (see Table 1). Other tests commonly N/R used included EAST, Adson’s and Wright’s tests, used to diagnose Thoracic Outlet Syndrome as well as Kernig’s and Brudzinski’s tests, used to identify meningecal irritation.8

The majority of respondents indicated they AA/O conduct motor (85.3%), reflex (83.3%) and sensory (75%) neurological testing. However, only 10.2% indicated they AA/O perform Hoffman’s test.

Thoracic Spine (Table 2)

A high percentage of respondents reported they AA/O perform static palpation (96.2%), joint play (95.3%) and ranges of motion (93.4%) testing when assessing the thoracic spine, although only roughly two thirds perform Adam’s test. Many respondents indicated they AA/O perform a straight leg raise, motion palpation, Kemp’s, and rib springing. Other than Valsalva’s maneuver and Doorbell testing, most of the other tests on the questionnaire were never or rarely used, including Beevor’s test or Beevor’s sign, chest expansion test, Upper Limb Tension Testing, passive scapular approximation, Slump test, skin rolling, L’Hermitte’s, Soto-Hall, apparent or true leg length testing, and Kernig’s or Brudzinski’s tests. In this study, 56.1% of chiropractors reported they N/R performed chest percussion.

Lumbopelvic Spine (Table 3)

Respondents reported they AA/O perform a number of tests for the lumbopelvic spine, including static palpation (99.1%), joint play (96.3%), straight leg raise (95.3%), ranges of motion (95.3%), PSIS joint challenge (91.4%),
motion palpation (86.9%), gait analysis (85%), Kemp’s (82.2%), crossed straight leg raise (79.2%), and Sacral Thrust (79%).

A number of tests were reportedly used AA/O by roughly one-half to two-thirds of the respondents, including the Patrick’s FABER (Figure 4), Ely’s, heel and toe walking, psoas palpation, Braggard’s, Yeomans’s, Hibb’s, Thomas test, Valsalva’s, Minor’s sign, Gillet’s (SI motion), tandem gait, and Bowstring’s.

A number of tests were N/R used, including Schober’s, Waddell’s tests, FAIR, Thigh Thrust, Gaenslen’s, spinous percussion, Ober’s, Bowstring, Distraction, and Trendelenburg test. In this study, 61.3% of respondents never assessed lower limb pulses and 49.5% of respondents never performed abdominal percussion.

With respect to neurological testing, 90.6% of respondents indicated they AA/O performed motor testing, 84% AA/O assessed reflexes, and 74.5% assessed lower limb sensation. The plantar reflex was used AA/O by 53.8% of respondents. Conversely, 84.9% of respondents N/R assessed ankle-leg index, 81.9% N/R performed Herron-Pheasant’s test and N/R assessed 53.8% muscle girth.

**Cervical Spine – manual therapeutic procedures**

(Table 4)
The most commonly used cervical mobilization (cMOB) were long axis distraction (AA/O used by 76.2% of respondents), segmental rotation (65.7%), global lateral flexion (61.9%), segmental lateral flexion (60%), segmental extension (54.2%) and global rotation (49.5%). All listed mobilizations were used to some extent.

The cervical spinal manipulative procedures AA/O used by respondents in this study for the cervical spine were the Supine Rotary Cervical manipulation with Lateral Flexion (81%) and the Supine Rotary manipulation (74.3%). The next most commonly used procedures were the Lateral Break, Lateral Atlas, Seated and Prone cSMT. A number of other cSMT were N/R used by respondents, these were predominately the ‘muscle adjustments’.

**Thoracic spine – manual therapeutic procedures**

(Table 5)
With respect to thoracic spine mobilizations (tMOB), respondents reported AA/O or N/R using long axis distraction (50%), iliotransverse (42.5%), iliocostal (41.5%) and seated procedures (43.4%) in almost equal numbers.

The most commonly reported thoracic spinal manipulative therapies (tSMT) used AA/O were the Anterior (80.2%), Cross-Bilateral (74.5%), Carver (71.7%), Combination (65.1%) and Modified Anterior (61.3%). The other tSMT listed (Thumb Move, Reinforced Unilateral and First Rib) were AA/O or ST used by more than half of respondents. The only tSMT N/R used by a large number of respondents was the Lateral Recumbent Rib (67.9%).

**Lumbar spine – manual therapeutic procedures**

(Table 6)
With respect to lumbar mobilizations (L-MOB), long axis distraction was used AA/O by 61.3% of respondents and iliomammillary mobilization was used AA/O by 49.1% of respondents

The lumbar spinal manipulative procedures most frequently reported as being AA/O used by respondents in this study were the Lumbar Roll (81.1%), followed by the Lumbar Pull (68.9%), Lumbar Push (56.5%) and ‘Bonyun’/Long Axis Distraction (54.5%). A number of other lumbar spinal manipulative therapies (L-SMT) were frequently reported as N/R, notably the Reverse Roll (84%), Seated (75.5%) and Disc Opening (61.3%) procedures.

**Pelvic spine – manual therapeutic procedures**

(Table 7)
With respect to mobilizations of the pelvis, respondents reported to AA/O use the sacral pump, knee-chest, and iliofemoral, although it should be noted that 50% of respondents reported they N/R use the iliofemoral mobilization procedure.

The pelvic spinal manipulative procedure most frequently reported as being AA/O used by respondents in this study was the PSIS contact (‘upper SI’) spinal manipulative procedure (85.8%). Other pelvic spinal manipulative therapies (P-SMT) were AA/O used less frequently. In descending order these were Ischial contact (‘lower SI’), Prone SI, and Sacral base. Respondents reported to AA/O or N/R use the Sacral Apex manipulative procedure at almost the same frequency (roughly 39%). The Sitting Iliac Flexion procedure was N/R used by 85.8% of respondents, lateral (or side posture) pelvic therapy was N/R used by 61.3% of respondents and the Supine Iliac Flexion procedure was N/R used by 59.4% of respondents.
Discussion
Three distinct categories of tests can be discerned from the results of this survey. There appears to be one group of tests that respondents to this survey ‘Almost Always’ or ‘Often’ use. These mainly consist of segmental joint play, static and motion palpation, ranges of motion and neurologic testing of the different spinal regions. Triano et al reported there was good evidence for some of these tests when used to identify the site of care (the clinical target of manipulation). The second category of tests identified in this survey was more condition-specific and AA/O used by roughly one half to two-thirds of respondents in this survey. For example, cervical compression tests (Kemp’s, Jackson’s or Spurling’s test) or nerve tension tests of the lumbar spine (Braggard’s or Bowstring’s test) may be required to be used on some – but not all – patients presenting to a chiropractor’s office depending on the presence of referred or radicular pain.

The third category of tests identified from the current survey are those that are ‘Never’ or ‘Rarely’ used by the majority of respondents. These include Naffzinger’s or L’Hermitte’s tests in the cervical spine, true and apparent leg length testing in the thoracic spine and Schober’s or Ankle-leg index testing in the lumbar spine.

Overall, the level of vertical integration reported by respondents in this study with respect to diagnostic and therapeutic procedures of the spine was lower than the level of vertical integration reported from clinical faculty from CMCC1,2, especially of the thoracic spine.

Study Limitations
The most notable limitation of this study was its very low response rate of only 22.2%. This low response rate and the pseudo-randomized sample reduce confidence in the generalizability of our findings. Furthermore our study included graduates of CMCC and other institutions, so it is possible that those educated at institutions other than CMCC may not have been taught some of the tests and techniques in the CMCC curriculum. Our decision to use a pencil-and-paper survey distributed by mail rather than an electronic survey may have contributed to the poor response rate. Future studies could perhaps garner a higher response rate using an on-line survey.

We chose not to set parameters around what constituted ‘almost always’ versus ‘sometimes used’ or ‘often used’, instead relying on respondents to interpret what these meant. Future studies could provide definitions of these terms (ie ‘almost always’ implies the test is used on more than 90% of patients) for respondents.

Conclusions
This study reported on the frequency of use of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures currently taught at CMCC by a group of pseudo-randomized Ontario chiropractors, most but not all of whom were CMCC graduates. The most commonly used diagnostic procedures for the cervical, thoracic, and lumbopelvic spine were joint play, static and motion palpation, neurological testing and ranges of motion. A number of other orthopaedic tests were less commonly used, and a number of tests were either rarely or not used at all, particularly in the assessment of the thoracic spine. With respect to therapeutic procedures of the spine, many mobilization and manipulative procedures are commonly used, with the exception of the ‘muscle’ manipulations of the cervical spine.
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Table 1.
Cervical spinal diagnostic examination procedure usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 3 Often / Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cervical spine Ranges of Motion</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>100 (108)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Play</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>96.3 (104)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Palpation</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>95.4 (103)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Palpation</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
<td>84.3 (91)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical compression</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
<td>24.1 (26)</td>
<td>63 (68)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>25 (27)</td>
<td>23.1 (25)</td>
<td>50.9 (55)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurling’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>30.6 (33)</td>
<td>22.2 (24)</td>
<td>46.3 (50)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemp’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>11.1 (12)</td>
<td>6.5 (7)</td>
<td>82.4 (89)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>22.2 (24)</td>
<td>19.4 (21)</td>
<td>58.3 (63)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>25.9 (28)</td>
<td>26.9 (29)</td>
<td>46.3 (50)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doorbell</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>32.4 (35)</td>
<td>21.3 (23)</td>
<td>45.4 (49)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limb tension</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>63 (68)</td>
<td>22.2 (24)</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soto-Hall</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>60.2 (65)</td>
<td>21.3 (23)</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
<td>5.6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>74.1 (80)</td>
<td>16.7 (18)</td>
<td>7.4 (8)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adson’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>45.4 (49)</td>
<td>30.6 (33)</td>
<td>22.2 (24)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>50 (54)</td>
<td>27.8 (30)</td>
<td>20.4 (22)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>55.6 (60)</td>
<td>24.1 (26)</td>
<td>18.5 (20)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernig’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>54.6 (59)</td>
<td>15.7 (17)</td>
<td>22.2 (24)</td>
<td>7.4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brudzinski’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>50 (54)</td>
<td>19.4 (21)</td>
<td>19.4 (21)</td>
<td>11.1 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’Hermittes</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>61.1 (66)</td>
<td>20.4 (22)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percussion</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>57.4 (62)</td>
<td>24.1 (26)</td>
<td>15.7 (17)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsalva</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>25.9 (28)</td>
<td>26.9 (29)</td>
<td>47.2 (51)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhomberg’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>38 (41)</td>
<td>25.9 (28)</td>
<td>31.5 (34)</td>
<td>4.6 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary Chair</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>70.4 (76)</td>
<td>18.5 (20)</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
<td>7.4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dix-Hallpike</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>70.4 (76)</td>
<td>14.8 (16)</td>
<td>8.3 (9)</td>
<td>6.5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical flexion-rotation</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>64.8 (70)</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
<td>19.4 (21)</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naffziger’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>85.2 (92)</td>
<td>4.6 (5)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>7.4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>15.7 (17)</td>
<td>75 (81)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>10.2 (11)</td>
<td>85.2 (92)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflex</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 (5)</td>
<td>11.1 (12)</td>
<td>83.3 (90)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>67.6 (73)</td>
<td>16.7 (18)</td>
<td>10.2 (11)</td>
<td>5.6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houle’s</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>70.4 (76)</td>
<td>10.2 (11)</td>
<td>14.8 (16)</td>
<td>4.6 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.
Thoracic spine examination maneuver usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 3 Often / Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam’s</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>17 (18)</td>
<td>65.1 (69)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic spine Ranges of Motion</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>93.4 (99)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint play</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>95.3 (101)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion palpation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>12.4 (13)</td>
<td>79.2 (84)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static palpation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>96.2 (102)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slump</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>67.9 (72)</td>
<td>13.2 (14)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemp’s</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21.7 (23)</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>69.8 (77)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest expansion</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>73.6 (78)</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>10.4 (11)</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive scapular approximation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>66 (70)</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>25.5 (27)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doorbell</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>38.7 (41)</td>
<td>23.6 (25)</td>
<td>37.7 (40)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsalva</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>28.3 (30)</td>
<td>27.4 (29)</td>
<td>44.3 (47)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernig’s</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>15.1 (16)</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brudzinski’s</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>51.4 (55)</td>
<td>17.8 (19)</td>
<td>20.6 (22)</td>
<td>10.3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’Hermittes</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>64.5 (69)</td>
<td>20.3 (22)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>10.3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limb tension</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>68.2 (73)</td>
<td>17.8 (19)</td>
<td>12.1 (13)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight leg raise</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>11.2 (12)</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
<td>84.1 (90)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soto-Hall</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>62.6 (67)</td>
<td>16.8 (18)</td>
<td>15.9 (17)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternal Compression</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>57.9 (62)</td>
<td>17.8 (19)</td>
<td>23.4 (25)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib springing</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>26.2 (28)</td>
<td>22.4 (24)</td>
<td>51.4 (55)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True leg length</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>59.8 (64)</td>
<td>16.8 (18)</td>
<td>23.4 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent leg length</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>62.6 (67)</td>
<td>12.1 (13)</td>
<td>24.3 (26)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percussion</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>56.1 (60)</td>
<td>23.4 (25)</td>
<td>19.6 (21)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin rolling</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>64.5 (69)</td>
<td>17.8 (19)</td>
<td>14 (15)</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beevor’s sign</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>72.3 (78)</td>
<td>15.9 (17)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beevor’s test</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>84.1 (90)</td>
<td>8.4 (9)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar reflex</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>39.3 (42)</td>
<td>23.4 (25)</td>
<td>36.4 (39)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td>% CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</td>
<td>% CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</td>
<td>% CATEGORY 3 Often/ Almost Always (n)</td>
<td>% CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar static palpation</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99.1 (106)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion palpation</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>5.6 (6)</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>86.9 (93)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint play</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>96.3 (103)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine Ranges of Motion</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>95.3 (102)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>5.6 (6)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>85 (91)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel / Toe walking</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>15.9 (17)</td>
<td>16.8 (18)</td>
<td>66.4 (71)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem gait</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>38.3 (41)</td>
<td>17.8 (19)</td>
<td>42.1 (45)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemp’s</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>82.2 (88)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schober’s</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>86 (92)</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>3.7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trendelenburg</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>37.4 (40)</td>
<td>24.3 (26)</td>
<td>38.3 (41)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillet</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>44.9 (48)</td>
<td>9.3 (10)</td>
<td>45.8 (49)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>57.9 (62)</td>
<td>26.2 (28)</td>
<td>15.9 (17)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsalva</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>22.4 (24)</td>
<td>24.3 (26)</td>
<td>53.3 (57)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percussion</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>48.6 (52)</td>
<td>24.3 (26)</td>
<td>25.2 (27)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor’s sign</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>28 (30)</td>
<td>18.7 (20)</td>
<td>51.4 (55)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>13.2 (14)</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>74.5 (79)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>90.6 (96)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflex</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>8.5 (9)</td>
<td>84 (89)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar reflex</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>23.6 (25)</td>
<td>21.7 (23)</td>
<td>53.8 (57)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight leg raise</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>95.3 (101)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossed straight leg raise</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>8.5 (9)</td>
<td>79.2 (84)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braggard’s</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>19.8 (21)</td>
<td>17 (18)</td>
<td>63.2 (67)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowstring</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>41.5 (44)</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>40.6 (43)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaenslen’s</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>55.7 (59)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>28.3 (30)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>37.7 (40)</td>
<td>8.5 (9)</td>
<td>53.8 (57)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle girth</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>53.8 (57)</td>
<td>30.2 (32)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb pulses</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>26.4 (28)</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle-leg index</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>84.9 (90)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
<td>8.5 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABER</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>18.9 (20)</td>
<td>68.9 (73)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh thrust</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>55.7 (59)</td>
<td>14.2 (15)</td>
<td>29.2 (31)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>41.5 (44)</td>
<td>22.6 (24)</td>
<td>34.9 (37)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psias palpation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>17.1 (18)</td>
<td>18.1 (19)</td>
<td>64.8 (68)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ober’s</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>45.7 (48)</td>
<td>25.7 (27)</td>
<td>28.6 (30)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>56.2 (59)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>27.6 (29)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ely’s</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>18.1 (19)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>67.6 (71)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibb’s</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>26.7 (28)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>59 (62)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeoman’s</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>22.9 (24)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>61.9 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herron-Pheasant</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>81.9 (86)</td>
<td>10.5 (11)</td>
<td>2.9 (3)</td>
<td>4.8 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciatic notch tenderness</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>22.9 (24)</td>
<td>15.2 (15)</td>
<td>61.9 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinous percussion</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>49.5 (52)</td>
<td>24.8 (26)</td>
<td>24.8 (26)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral thrust</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6.7 (7)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>79 (83)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSIS challenge</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.8 (5)</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
<td>91.4 (96)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.
Cervical spinal manual therapy procedure usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Mobilization or manipulation</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 3 Often / Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Long Axis Distraction</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>9.5 (10)</td>
<td>76.2 (80)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>40.4 (42)</td>
<td>20.2 (21)</td>
<td>39.4 (41)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental lateral flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>27.6 (29)</td>
<td>12.4 (13)</td>
<td>60 (63)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global lateral flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>23.8 (25)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>61.9 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental rotation</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19 (20)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>65.7 (69)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global rotation</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>33.3 (35)</td>
<td>17.1 (18)</td>
<td>49.5 (52)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental extension</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>32.4 (34)</td>
<td>13.3 (14)</td>
<td>54.2 (57)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental forward flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>61 (64)</td>
<td>11.4 (12)</td>
<td>27.6 (29)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 8</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>66.7 (70)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>18.1 (19)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary occiput</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>46.7 (49)</td>
<td>17.1 (18)</td>
<td>36.2 (38)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral occiput</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>51.4 (54)</td>
<td>24.8 (26)</td>
<td>23.8 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occiput flexion</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>76.2 (80)</td>
<td>13.3 (14)</td>
<td>10.5 (11)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occiput extension</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>72.4 (76)</td>
<td>13.3 (14)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral atlas</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>30.5 (32)</td>
<td>24.8 (26)</td>
<td>44.8 (47)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toggle recoil</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>81.9 (86)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>12.4 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine rotary cervical</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19 (20)</td>
<td>6.7 (7)</td>
<td>74.3 (78)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine rotary with lateral flexion</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>13.3 (14)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>81 (85)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral cervical</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>27.6 (29)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>58.1 (61)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prone cervical</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>48.6 (51)</td>
<td>14.3 (15)</td>
<td>36.2 (38)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated cervical</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>49.5 (52)</td>
<td>21 (22)</td>
<td>39 (41)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedside cervical</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>68.6 (72)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalene</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>77.1 (81)</td>
<td>15.2 (16)</td>
<td>7.6 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semispinalis</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>90.5 (95)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenius</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>86.7 (91)</td>
<td>9.5 (10)</td>
<td>3.8 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternocleidomastoid</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>82.9 (87)</td>
<td>9.5 (10)</td>
<td>7.6 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5.
**Thoracic spinal manual therapy procedure usage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thoracic Spinal Procedures</th>
<th>Mobilization or manipulation</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 3 Often/ Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic Long Axis Distraction</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>41.5 (44)</td>
<td>7.5 (8)</td>
<td>50 (53)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliotransverse</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>33 (35)</td>
<td>24.5 (26)</td>
<td>42.5 (45)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliocostal</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>40.6 (43)</td>
<td>17.4 (18)</td>
<td>41.5 (44)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated forward flexion, extension, rotation, lateral bending</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>38.7 (41)</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>43.4 (46)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-bilateral</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>9.4 (10)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>74.5 (79)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced unilateral</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>36.8 (39)</td>
<td>20.8 (22)</td>
<td>42.5 (45)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>10.4 (11)</td>
<td>71.7 (76)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb move</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29.2 (31)</td>
<td>23.6 (25)</td>
<td>47.2 (50)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>20.8 (22)</td>
<td>14.2 (15)</td>
<td>65.1 (69)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First rib</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>36.8 (39)</td>
<td>19.8 (21)</td>
<td>43.4 (46)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>15.1 (16)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>80.2 (85)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified anterior</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>22.6 (24)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral recumbent thoracic rib</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>67.9 (72)</td>
<td>12.2 (13)</td>
<td>18.9 (20)</td>
<td>0.9 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.
**Lumbar spinal manual therapy procedure usage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lumbar Spinal Procedures</th>
<th>Mobilization or manipulation</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 3 Often/ Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar Long Axis Distraction</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>26.4 (28)</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliomamillary</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>37.7 (40)</td>
<td>13.2 (14)</td>
<td>49.1 (52)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar roll</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>13.2 (14)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>81.1 (86)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar push</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>30.2 (32)</td>
<td>13.2 (14)</td>
<td>56.6 (60)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar pull</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>16.0 (17)</td>
<td>15.1 (16)</td>
<td>68.9 (73)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonyun</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29.2 (31)</td>
<td>16.0 (17)</td>
<td>54.7 (58)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated lumbar</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>75.5 (80)</td>
<td>19.8 (21)</td>
<td>4.7 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc opening</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>12.3 (13)</td>
<td>26.4 (28)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse roll</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>84.0 (89)</td>
<td>6.6 (7)</td>
<td>9.4 (10)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Pelvis manual therapy procedure usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pelvic Procedures</th>
<th>Mobilization or manipulation</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 1 Never / Rarely (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 2 Sometimes (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 3 Often/Almost Always (n)</th>
<th>% CATEGORY 4 Haven’t had a patient to cause them to use it (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iliofemoral</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>50 (53)</td>
<td>15.1 (16)</td>
<td>34.9 (37)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral pump</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>25.5 (27)</td>
<td>24.5 (26)</td>
<td>50 (53)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee chest</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>37.7 (40)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>46.2 (49)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine iliac flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>59.4 (63)</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>22.6 (24)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting sacroiliac flexion</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>85.8 (91)</td>
<td>2.8 (3)</td>
<td>11.3 (12)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral pelvis</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61.3 (65)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>33 (35)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior superior iliac spine contact upper sacroiliac joint</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>8.5 (9)</td>
<td>5.7 (6)</td>
<td>85.8 (91)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischial contact lower sacroiliac joint</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17.9 (19)</td>
<td>17 (18)</td>
<td>65.1 (69)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral base</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29.2 (31)</td>
<td>18.9 (20)</td>
<td>51.9 (55)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral apex</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>38.7 (41)</td>
<td>21.7 (23)</td>
<td>39.6 (42)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prone sacroiliac joint</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>22.6 (24)</td>
<td>15.1 (16)</td>
<td>62.3 (66)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction
Fractures of the scapula are relatively uncommon. Fractures specific to the scapular body comprise 35-65% of these fractures.1-4 Currently, 99% of all isolated scapular body fractures are being treated non-operatively with an immobilizing sling or brace and some form of manual therapy with an 86% success rate.5 Scapular fractures have been a subject of investigation since Desault’s treatise of 1805.6 Scapular fractures constitute only 1% of all fractures, 3% of shoulder-girdle injuries, and only 5% of all shoulder fractures.7 The infrequent occurrence of scapular fractures has been attributed to its protection anteriorly by the rib cage and thoracic cavity, a thick covering of soft tissue including eighteen muscular origins and insertions, and a wide range of mobility that allows for considerable dissipation of traumatic forces.8,9

Scapular fractures occur most frequently in the 3rd and 4th decades of life with 64-90% occurring in men.3,10,11 While isolated body fractures are most frequently caused by falls from different heights,12 the most common cause of scapular body fractures in multi-trauma patient groups are road traffic accidents.2,13

The Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) outcome measure used in these case studies is a 30-item, self-report questionnaire designed to measure the physical function and symptoms in patients with any or several musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb. The questionnaire (www.dash.iwh.on.ca) was jointly developed by the Institute for Work and Health and the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) and was designed to help grade the disability experienced by people with upper-limb disorders and also to monitor changes in symptoms and function over time. Testing has shown that the DASH performs well in both these roles.14

The purpose of this paper is to describe both the identification and conservative management of three cases of comminuted scapular body fractures which presented at three different chiropractic centers.

Case Reports

Case 1

History
A 43 year old male manual laborer presented to a chiropractic community outreach clinic with right shoulder pain eight days after slipping on ice and striking his right shoulder on cement blocks.

Physical Examination
This patient was able to remove his shirt but expressed mild discomfort with arm movements. Considerable contusion was evident overlying the inferior angle of the scapula and posterolateral thoracic wall. Decreased shoulder ranges of motion were accompanied by pain to palpation of the acromioclavicular (AC) joint evoking clinical suspicion of an AC joint sprain or separation. Crepitus was elicited over the acromion process and at the superior aspect of the shoulder during active abduction and external rotation. Shallow breathing and decreased normal lung sounds on inspiration and expiration in the right apical as well as upper anterior and posterior regions were observed with no significant signs of respiratory distress.

Diagnostic Imaging
Chest and shoulder radiographs were obtained and revealed comminuted scapular fracture with isolation and antero-medial displacement of the GH joint, separation at the superior aspect of the acromioclavicular joint, displaced fractures of ribs 3, 4 and 6-9 on the right, pneumothorax, and passive/relaxation atelectasis (Figure 1A).

Management
The patient was transferred to the emergency department of a local hospital, where blood testing and a metabolic panel were interpreted as normal. The patient was then prescribed analgesic medication, a sling, and an orthopedic referral. The orthopedic consultant recommended against surgery, but advised the patient to continue immobilizing the shoulder in the sling for 6 weeks and then follow up. Approximately 3 weeks post injury, he presented back to the chiropractic community outreach clinic for treatment. Management consisted primarily of myofascial release of the trapezius and cervicothoracic paraspinal musculature, as well as diversified chiropractic adjustments to the cervical spine and instrument assisted (activator) adjustments as needed and tolerable in the thoracic spine. Treatments were administered approximately once per week for 16 weeks.

Outcome
Four months after the initial injury, follow up radiographs
Figure 1A: Right lateral scapular “Y” view demonstrating antero-medial displacement of the glenohumeral joint and comminuted scapular fracture (white arrow) eight days after injury. The visceral pleural line (black arrows) is retracted and there are no vascular markings beyond the pleural line – evidence of a right-sided pneumothorax.

Figure 1B: Serial radiograph four months after the injury showing a reduction in displacement of the fracture fragment (white arrow) composed of the inferior scapular body and angle with rounding of the fracture margins. The pneumothorax has resolved.

were taken and demonstrated a reduction in the displacement of the fracture fragment composed of the inferior scapular body and angle (Figure 1B). Re-examination at this time indicated some improvement in both active and passive right shoulder ranges of motion and normal shoulder orthopedic testing, but reduced muscle strength of the rotator cuff and deltoid musculature (4/5) and continued mild edema, bruising and tenderness overlying the scapula. However, with continued treatment, two months later, this patient was pain free, with near normal ranges of motion of the shoulder and strength of the rotator cuff musculature. Despite the temporary disability leave he was given by his orthopedist initially, this patient continued with light duty throughout his treatments and was able to return to work fully afterward with only slight soreness at the end of the day. On follow up, three years after the initial injury, this patient completed the Disabilities of the Shoulder, Arm and Hand (DASH) outcome questionnaire which indicated disability of less than 2%. 14
Case 2

History
A 53 year old Caucasian male businessman presented to the emergency room with right posterior shoulder pain sustained when an unexpected acceleration of a boat caused him to lose his balance and fall backward – striking his right scapula directly on the gunwale of the boat. Radiographs (Figure 2A) and CT images (Figure 2B) of the chest and shoulder were performed revealing a comminuted fracture of the right mid and lower scapular body with irregular fracture planes and multiple distracted, angulated and foreshortened fracture fragments as well as additional linear fractures through the base of the acromion and coracoid processes. The acromioclavicular and glenohumeral joints were intact with no dislocation and there was no evidence of pneumothorax or pleural effusion. The patient was fitted for a brace, prescribed analgesic medication to alleviate his symptoms and referred to an orthopedist. During this visit one week later, in agreement with the ER orthopedist, he was advised against surgical intervention due to the delicate muscular envelope of the scapula and scheduled for re-checks two and six weeks later. Additionally, an MRI was scheduled demonstrating a partial thickness tear of the supraspinatus tendon, fluid in the subacromial/subdeltoid bursa compatible with shoulder impingement and moderate degenerative changes at the acromioclavicular joint encroaching upon the supraspinatus muscle tendon complex.

The patient presented for a chiropractic evaluation three weeks following the incident to begin conservative management of his injuries. At that time, the patient had already resumed working, discontinued his analgesic medication, and was beginning to feel more comfortable with his arm out of the brace.

Physical Examination
Initial examination revealed limitations with active shoulder range of motion in all directions (flexion 100°, extension 15°, abduction 20°, adduction 15°, external rotation

Figure 2A: External rotation view of the right shoulder on day of injury. Comminuted scapular fracture (black arrow) and old 6th and 7th posterior rib fractures from a previous injury that have healed with deformity (white arrows).

Figure 2B: Axial CT scan through the right mid-scapular region also obtained on the day of injury demonstrating numerous comminuted fracture fragments of the scapular body (arrows).
0°, internal rotation 40°). Passive ranges of motion were limited only in extension, abduction, and external rotation to 40°, 90° and 0° respectively. Neurologic examinations were unremarkable, with normal deep tendon reflexes, and no motor or sensory loss with the exception of an inability to test deltoid or bicep strength on the right due to pain. Peripheral upper extremity pulses were symmetrical and of normal amplitude. At this time the DASH outcome questionnaire score indicated an 81% disability.14

Management
This patient was treated eighteen times over an eleven week period. Therapy began with early progressive passive range of motion and Codman exercises and progressed to active movements under supervision as tolerated. Complementary therapies included electric muscle stimulation, therapeutic ultrasound and class IV laser treatment. After 4 weeks of treatment, therapy was focused on rehabilitating the rotator cuff with progressive isometric resistance, therapeutic bands, strengthening parascapular musculature, and preventing soft tissue adhesions using manual release methods and instrument assisted techniques. Diversified chiropractic manipulations of the cervical spine were administered for joint restrictions as indicated and mobilizations of the ribs and thoracic spine were also performed to tolerance.

Outcome
Nineteen weeks after the initial injury, this patient demonstrated pain free active ranges of motion in the shoulder with only slight crepitations at the scapulothoracic interface and a DASH score reduced to 5% disability.14 In a two year follow-up discussion by telephone the patient reported 100% mobility had been maintained with mild residual pain present at times only with sleeping on the injured side.

Case 3

History
A 23 year old right-handed Caucasian male construction laborer was involved in a high speed motor vehicle collision. He was the driver of a mid-sized sedan that was struck from the passenger side in an intersection by another sedan estimated to be traveling at 75 MPH, causing his car to flip over seven times. At impact, his shoulder restraint was broken and he was thrown into the back seat of the car where he was wedged between the back seat and the rear windshield. He did not lose consciousness at any time after the collision. The fire department used hydraulic spreaders (Jaws of Life) to extricate him from his wedged-in position. He was transported by helicopter Mercy flight to the emergency department where radiographs and CT scans were performed that revealed a fractured scapula. He remained in the hospital overnight and was released the next day with an arm sling and analgesic medication (Darvocet). He consulted with an orthopedic specialist two weeks after the collision where he obtained more radiographs and an MRI examination (not shown). The patient was advised that there was no nerve or muscle damage and that surgery was not necessary and would not improve his outcome.

Diagnostic Imaging
Radiographs of the right shoulder obtained at the hospital immediately after the injury (Figure 3A) revealed a complete vertical fracture of the neck and axillary border of the scapula with a 2 cm lateral displacement of the entire glenoid process and a triangular fracture fragment that projected inferiorly and laterally into the axilla. The GH joint was intact with no evidence of dislocation. Axial CT (Figure 3B) and reconstructed, surface-rendered 3-D CT images (Figure 3C) revealed that the glenoid fragment was internally rotated approximately 20 degrees, as well as an additional minimally displaced comminuted fracture of the scapular body. No evidence of pneumothorax, hemothorax, rib or spine fracture was present.

Medical Management
After examination, the orthopedic surgeon prescribed analgesic medication and fitted the patient with a shoulder sling for immobilization and advised against surgery. The patient complied with this advice and the pain gradually decreased over time. After being on disability for one year, this patient was unable to return to work in construction because his job was no longer available. However, he did resume work as a security officer at that time.

Chiropractic Management
Nine months after the initial injury, the patient presented to a chiropractic college health center seeking chiropractic manual treatment and musculoskeletal rehabilitation for
Figure 3. Case 3:

**Figure 3A:** An initial radiograph obtained on the day of injury reveals a complete vertical fracture of the neck of the scapula and axillary border of the scapula with a 2 cm lateral displacement of the entire glenoid process and a triangular fracture fragment that project inferiorly and laterally into the axilla (white arrow).

**Figure 3B:** Axial CT bone window image at the glenoid level reveals the internally rotated glenoid fragment (black arrow) as well as an additional minimally displaced comminuted fracture of the scapular body (white arrow).

**Figure 3C:** Three-dimensional surface-rendered CT image viewed from the posterior aspect, clearly illustrates the significant displacement of the triangular fragment arising from the glenoid neck and axillary border of the scapula (white arrow), as well as the comminuted fracture of the scapular body (black arrows).

**Figure 3D:** A serial radiograph of the shoulder obtained in abduction and external rotation 6 years after the injury reveals complete healing of the fractures with significant malunion consisting of a 4 cm long triangular subglenoid fragment (white arrow) and a persistent defect in the axillary border of the scapula (*).

**Figure 3E:** Clinical photographs obtained 6 years after the initial injury reveal restriction of active internal rotation, extension and adduction of the right shoulder resulting in a 7.5 cm discrepancy between the right and left side on the inferior Apley’s scratch test. Note that the right hand cannot reach as high as the left.
continued pain in the right scapula and decreased ranges of motion of the right shoulder. The deep muscle pain at presentation ranged from 1 on a scale of 10 while at rest and became sharp rated 5/10 at its worst with internal and external rotation or abduction. Additionally, the pain was aggravated by lifting objects overhead and rotation of the shoulder in a throwing motion. Active stretching and rest provided pain relief. The pain did not radiate into his upper extremity and did not disrupt sleep except when he rolled onto his right side while recumbent.

**Physical Examination**

The patient was 69” tall, 185 lbs., right handed and appeared physically fit. Vital signs, gait, neurologic examination and ambulation were all normal. Active range of motion of the right shoulder was full and pain free in combined flexion and adduction. Active external rotation was 100% restricted and provoked pain at the posterior axillary fold, and combined internal rotation, extension and adduction (Apley’s inferior scratch test) of the right shoulder revealed significant restriction on the right compared with the left with a 20 cm discrepancy from side to side. The active range of abduction of the right shoulder was full but provoked pain over the supraspinatus muscle. The right supraspinatus press test was mildly positive for pain. Myofascial trigger points and adhesions were detected in the right rhomboid, deltoid, infraspinatus, supraspinatus, teres minor, subscapularis, latissimus dorsi, upper trapezius, levator scapulae and cervical spine musculature. These findings were most pronounced in the right infraspinatus muscle which was significantly atrophic compared with the left.

This patient was diagnosed at the chiropractic college health center with post-traumatic myofascial parascapular trigger points, myofascitis, muscular adhesions and weakness in the right shoulder musculature involving principally the infraspinatus muscle. He was seen seven times over a 2 month period. Treatment consisted of trigger point therapy, progressive isometric resistance using therapeutic bands, manual muscle release methods, instrument assisted techniques aimed at preventing soft tissue adhesions, and passive progressive mobilizations to increase range of motion. The patient was also placed on a muscle strengthening regimen at his gymnasium three times per week to strengthen the parascapular musculature. Diversified chiropractic manipulation and mobilization of the cervical spine, thoracic spine and ribs were administered on each visit to address joint restrictions as indicated.

**Outcome**

The patient reported a significant increase in muscle strength after 2 months. The active range of motion in external rotation improved from 100% restriction to 80% restriction in two months. The inferior Apley’s scratch test improved somewhat from the 20 cm pre-treatment discrepancy to a 14 cm post-treatment discrepancy. At the conclusion of the seven visits, he continued to have mild pain which was graded at 2 of 10 with occasional exacerbations as high as 5 after vigorous workouts. He reported that the pain was still occasionally severe enough to awaken him at night as a result of rolling onto his right shoulder. After the two month treatment period, the patient was then lost to follow-up.

Six years after the initial injury, the patient was contacted and he reported for follow-up evaluation and radiographic examination. At this point, he was continuing to exercise and reported that the pain was much less frequent, and was provoked only by activities such as throwing a ball, absorbing body contact in rugby, and lying on his right side. Follow-up radiographs revealed that the fracture of the scapular neck had healed with significant malunion in which a 4 cm long triangular subglenoid fragment remained unattached to the axillary border of the scapular body. While the margins of this projection of bone were rounded and smooth, it projected into the axillary soft tissues (Figure 3D). Examination revealed significant generalized atrophy of the muscles of the right shoulder girdle compared to the left. Ranges of motion were all full and bilaterally symmetric except the inferior Apley’s scratch test which exhibited a 7.5 cm discrepancy in ranges of motion from right to left (Figure 3E). He completed a DASH outcome questionnaire that revealed a 23% disability.\(^{14}\)

**Discussion**

Scapular fracture diagnoses are commonly missed or delayed owing to the extent of associated injuries over-shadowing the scapula, chest trauma CT scans not covering the entirety of the scapula, unusual mechanisms of injury, low levels of consciousness, or because pain is being controlled by corticosteroid injection for other injuries.\(^{15}\)
Scapular fractures have proven to be particularly significant due to their anatomic proximity to vital structures including the head, lungs, cervical spine and brachial neurovascular structures.\textsuperscript{2,3} It has been observed that 81-96% of individuals with scapular fractures have associated injury.\textsuperscript{1,16,17} The most common associated injuries in these patients are rib fractures.\textsuperscript{11,18} However, other, more serious injuries can include hemothorax, pneumothorax, pulmonary contusion, skull fractures, permanent cord injuries, brachial plexus injuries, complex regional pain syndrome, Horner’s Syndrome and subclavian, axillary or brachial artery injury.\textsuperscript{1,3,10} As a result, the mortality rate of individuals with scapular fractures, although not usually direct, has been reported to range from 2% to as high as 14.3%.\textsuperscript{10,11,13}

While the vast majority of scapular fractures are managed quite successfully without surgery, most agree that surgical management should be considered for severely displaced injuries – most commonly: (1) significantly displaced fractures of the glenoid cavity (glenoid rim and glenoid fossa), (2) significantly displaced fractures of the glenoid neck, and (3) double disruption of the superior shoulder suspensory complex (SSSC) in which one or more elements of the scapula are significantly displaced.\textsuperscript{9}

Currently, 99% of all isolated scapular body fractures are being managed non-operatively with an 86% success rate.\textsuperscript{2} Because of its abundant blood supply, with appropriate care, scapular fractures can heal rapidly under conservative management.\textsuperscript{8} However, when treating these fractures, there are consequences that can occur even with the current physiotherapy techniques that should be considered. For example, some patients with displaced scapular body fractures may experience a snapping or grating sensation at the scapulothoracic interface with movement through ranges of motion.\textsuperscript{1} Persistent soft tissue irritation secondary to bone irregularity, callus formation of displaced fragments (as seen in Case 3), and heterotopic bone formation are also possibilities, which in some cases may require excision of the mass or irregularity for pain relief.\textsuperscript{4,19} Other causes of patient dissatisfaction after scapular healing can include prolonged weakness, pain, crepitations or a disfiguring bump, most common in multi-trauma patients.\textsuperscript{12} Although nonunion is rare, when a patient complains of persistent shoulder pain after conservative treatment, this possibility must be considered.\textsuperscript{20,21}

Following fracture union, in our experience, adjunctive manual muscle procedures such as myofascial release, trigger point therapy, and instrument assisted techniques can be performed to relieve spasms and prevent soft tissue adhesions amongst the rotator cuff muscles, levator scapulae, paraspinals, deltoids, rhomboids, latissimus dorsi and trapezius. Furthermore, mobilization of costovertebral articulations and chiropractic manipulations of the appropriate cervical and thoracic motion segments were useful in facilitating biomechanical adaptation and symptomatic manifestations in each case presented here as well as in a case report by Deltoff et al.\textsuperscript{22}

All three patients in this case series received conservative management for their injuries. The first patient who received 16 weeks of treatment completed a DASH questionnaire at 3 years post injury that revealed functional improvement to 2% disability. The second patient who scored 81% disability 3 weeks after his initial injury, received 11 weeks of treatment, and at nineteen weeks had improved to 5% disability. The third patient with a more significantly displaced, comminuted fracture that resulted in healing with malunion scored a 23% disability on the DASH questionnaire completed 6 years after his initial injury.

Conclusion
Research indicates that the vast majority of patients with comminuted scapular fractures can be treated with an immobilizing sling or brace and some form of manual therapy and will heal completely within 6 weeks.\textsuperscript{9} Although uncommon for such cases of scapular fracture to present in chiropractic offices, these three cases suggest that chiropractic management can help these individuals to achieve symptomatic relief, progressive functional improvements and in most cases, little need for long-term follow-up care.
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