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Objective: To present a case of a patient with spinal infection (SI) and highlight the chiropractor's role in the prevention or minimization of devastating complications of SI.

Background: Recent literature trends suggest an increasing prevalence of SI. Patients with SI most commonly present with unremitting progressive back pain and may or may not have fever or neurological signs. To avoid negative post-infection sequelae, establishing an early diagnosis and treatment is crucial.

Clinical Features: A 29-year-old female diagnosed with L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement of the right S1 nerve root opted for surgical management. Iatrogenic bowel perforation during her spinal surgery resulted in contamination of the spinal surgical site, and findings in keeping with disco-osteomyelitis with epidural and paraspinal phlegmon formation were visualized on contrast enhanced MRI.

Conclusion: Recent trends of increased spinal infection raise the need for a heightened chiropractic awareness to prompt, early patient evaluation in order to prevent devastating complications of SI.
Introduction:
Spinal infection (SI) can be pyogenic or non-pyogenic in nature and involve any anatomical area around the spine, including but not limited to infection of the disc, vertebral body, paravertebral soft tissues and the epidural space. The most common forms include: discitis, vertebral osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, muscular involvement (psoas abscess or paraspinal abscess), pararvertebral, and epidural abscess. Treatment can vary considerably depending on the type of spinal infection.

Spondylodiscitis, also referred to as spinal or vertebral osteomyelitis (VO) septic discitis, or disk-space infection is a common result of a pyogenic vertebral infection. This infection involves the disc space as well as the adjacent vertebral bodies and accounts for 2-4 % of all bone and joints infections. The most common microorganism responsible for pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis (PVO) is *Staphylococcus aureus*. Non-pyogenic sources of infection include: *Mycobacterium* and a variety of fungal organisms such as *Candida* or *Aspergillus*. Three mechanisms of spinal infection dissemination have been identified. The most common route of spread is via hematogenous dissemination. Direct inoculation from iatrogenic sources is considered to be the next most common route followed by dissemination from an adjacent contamination. This case report focuses on pyogenic spinal infection of the vertebral body and disc space and emphasizes the importance of considering infection within the list of differential diagnoses for progressively worsening low back pain. It will also describe the epidemiology, clinical presentation, radiographic features and typical management of spinal infections and aim to heighten the awareness of SI by the chiropractor to assist in the prevention or minimization of devastating complications.

Case:
A 29-year-old female medical researcher presented to an outpatient orthopedic clinic with a 5-month history of sharp right-sided lower back and posterior thigh pain that extended intermittently to the right foot. Her pain was constant and she found it difficult to find a relieving position. She additionally reported decreased sensation in the calf and lateral aspect of the right foot. She denied any lower extremity weakness or change in bladder or bowel function. There was no history of fevers, weight loss, or night sweats. Her past medical history was otherwise unremarkable.

On her initial examination she ambulated well. Her leg pain was aggravated with the extremes of forward flexion. Her lower back pain was aggravated by extension. Neurological examination revealed an absent S1 reflex on the right. Sensation to light and sharp touch were intact, although the region of her paresthesia approximated the S1 dermatome in the posterior calf and lateral foot. Motor power in the lower extremities was graded as 5/5 bilaterally. Straight leg raise testing was negative.

An MRI of her lumbar spine revealed a L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement of the right S1 nerve root. The patient opted for surgical management and a single level unilateral posterior lumbar decompression and discectomy was performed.

On postoperative day number 1 she developed severe abdominal pain and diffuse tenderness. An abdominal x-ray and CT examination revealed free intraperitoneal gas.
and fluid. She was taken back to the operating room by general surgery for urgent laparotomy for presumed iatrogenic small bowel or rectal injury. Intraoperative findings at the time of the laparotomy included: a loop of proximal ileum that was leaking enteric contents into the abdominal cavity, an iatrogenic hole in the presacral peritoneum through which the L5-S1 disc level could be palpated, and a few fragments of disc material within the intraabdominal cavity. The injured short segment of small bowel was resected. She was started on a course of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics. A swab from the presacral space revealed moderate leukocytosis and growth of Candida albicans. Her antibiotic care was modified under the direction of the Infectious Disease consult service.

Her back pain continued in the postoperative period although she did report some initial improvement. Her abdominal pain resolved. Her antibiotics were discontinued after a three and a half week course of therapy. By the time of her postoperative 6-week follow-up clinic visit, she was experiencing dramatic increases in her back and leg symptoms, accompanied by nausea and vomiting. She denied any fevers or chills. Examination at this time revealed aggravation of pain with all directions of range of motion testing, and continued paresthesia at the lateral aspect of the right foot. Lower extremity motor power remained normal. She was afebrile with stable vital signs. Blood work revealed an elevated white blood cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein. This prompted a follow-up MRI examination of her spine, and CT evaluation of her abdomen and pelvis.

The MRI revealed endplate irregularity at the L5-S1 level. There was abnormal low T1, high T2 signal intensity and enhancement within the L5 and S1 vertebral bodies. Additional abnormal enhancing soft tissue was noted within the L5-S1 disc space, encasing the L5 and S1 nerve roots, anterior to the vertebral bodies at L5 and S1 and within the epidural space (Figure 1a-c). Imaging findings were in keeping with disco-ostemolyelitis with

Figure 1:  a) Sagittal T2 weighted MR image of the lumbar spine demonstrates abnormal high T2 marrow signal intensity within the L5 and S1 vertebral bodies, and abnormal prevertebral and epidural T2 signal. Irregular inferior L5 and superior S1 endplates and loss of L5-S1 disc space are apparent   b) Sagittal T1 weighted image demonstrates corresponding low T1 signal intensity within the vertebral bodies   c) Sagittal contrast enhanced T1 weighted image reveals abnormally enhancing L5-S1 disc space, and prevertebral and epidural soft tissue.
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The patient was restarted on IV antibiotics and symptoms gradually resolved after a second 8 week course of antibiotic therapy. At this point she was referred for physiotherapy for rehabilitation exercises and back education with no leg pain reported, and intermittent back pain. Examination revealed full range of motion, with normal sensation and motor power to the lower extremities. Six-month follow-up MRI examination demonstrated a reduction of both the abnormal vertebral body T2 signal intensity as well as the degree of abnormal epidural and prevertebral enhancement (Figure 2a-b). As she was clinically improved the remaining findings were thought to represent residual of previous infection and post-surgical changes.

Discussion

Epidemiology

Several recent European epidemiological studies examining the incidence of spinal infection suggest an increasing trend of spinal pyogenic infections in adults. These retrospective studies retrieved hospital records of all patients who had an ICD code diagnosis of vertebral osteomyelitis (VO) and reported an incidence range from 0.70 to 2.4 per 100,000. Differences among studies can be partly explained by the different patient populations, with some studies including post-operative cases while others included non-pyogenic cases.

Recent trends also suggest that the diagnosis of both pyogenic and non-pyogenic spinal infection have been steadily on the rise. Most recently, Nagashima et al conducted a 50-year retrospective analysis of patients treated for spinal infection in Japan. There was approxi-
mately a 6.8-fold increase in diagnosed spinal infection from 1996-2005 compared to 1976-1985. According to Nagashima et al, the advancement of highly sensitive imaging modalities, such as contrast MRI and CT guided biopsy, create a heightened ability to diagnose spinal infection. As a result, this likely explains some of the recent increase in spinal infections observed. Other authors identify increasing rates of patients with compromised immune systems and IV drug use as culprits to the recent increase in spinal infection as they are associated with increased vulnerability to opportunistic organisms and consequent infection.

The incidence of spinal infection increases with age. Several studies confirm that those individuals aged 50 to 80 years are the most susceptible. Grammatico et al observed an incidence rate of VO of approximately 9 per 100,000 in males aged 70 to 80 years. His colleagues also note that there is a male to female predominance of 1.5 – 3:1, an observation that is widely accepted. Interestingly, Grammatico et al observed an equal incidence rate in male and females up until the age of 20. At the age of 20 there is an increase in incidence rates in males as they progress in age. The authors speculate that one possible explanation to this observation may be the higher frequency of co-morbidities in men aged > 60 years, however, they did not draw any firm conclusions about this potential association.

The literature suggests that patients with underlying diabetes, cancer, renal failure requiring hemodialysis or those who have had previous surgery appear to be at most risk for developing SI. Other potential risk factors include coronary heart disease, immunosuppressive disorders, liver disease, long term steroid use, IV drug users, severe trauma and advanced age.

**Clinical Presentation**

Spinal infection such as vertebral osteomyelitis appears to have a predilection for the lumbar spine. Approximately 58% of cases noted in Mylona et al’s recent review occurred in the lumbar region. The extensive lumbar spine anastomosing veins and arteries, which favour infectious seeding via the hematogenous route of spread, offer some explanation for this observation. The thoracic spine (30%), cervical spine (11%) and sacrum (0.1%) are all less common sites for spinal infection.

The clinical presentation of patients with early stage spinal infection varies widely among individuals, and the condition can be difficult to differentiate from other diagnoses. Patients in the sub-acute stage may present with vague symptoms often mimicking other common conditions. Atypical symptoms of chronic chest, abdominal, or hip pain may be present and often mask or overshadow the underlying back pain. Back pain and fever are the most common symptoms reported. Back pain at onset has a prevalence ranging from 91 - 100%. The nature of the pain is often unremitting and progressive and may lead to the patient becoming completely bed ridden. Paraspinal muscle spasms are also very common. The back pain may be relieved in recumbency and aggravated by activity, a presentation that can be confused with simple mechanical back pain and can lead to a delay in diagnosis.

Reports on the prevalence of fever at onset varies widely and ranges from 16.2% to 79.6%. The large discrepancy in prevalence rates that exists within the literature is believed to be due to variations in definition of what constitutes a fever as well as poor documentation of antipyretic analgesic use. The presence or absence of fever alone is insufficient to rule in or rule out spinal infection. Neurological impairment is also common, but similar to fever its presence varies widely, ranging from 14.3% to 51.7% of cases.

**Diagnosis**

Establishing an early diagnosis in spinal infection patients is paramount but often difficult to confirm. In fact, McHenry et al noted that only 28% of patients with VO were diagnosed within the first month of onset of symptoms. They also reported that only 24% of attending physicians included VO in their initial differential diagnosis. The importance of establishing a broad differential diagnosis early cannot be overlooked. As with all patients one must always rule out red flags for back pain that could indicate a significant medical illness.

Considering an active broad differential list with repeated careful neurological examination is important. Employing this strategy facilitates early diagnosis and results in prompt appropriate management, both of which are critical in suspected spinal infection.

**Imaging Features:**

When spinal infection is first suspected, baseline conventional radiographs are indicated. Radiographs are
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relatively easy to access, are cost effective and give good visualization of bone tissue, which provide rationale for their use. In their review, Mylona et al noted that 95% of the included studies used radiography as a baseline and revealed radiographic abnormalities in 89% of the cases. However, Quinones-Hinojosa et al urge clinicians to beware of the 2-3 week lag in the initial presentation of radiographic signs of spinal infection. Radiographs during this 2-3 week latent period rarely exhibit diagnostic clues. Typically, the earliest radiographic sign is narrowing of the disc space. Blurring, irregularity and even destruction of the adjacent endplates tends to occur later.

MRI is the imaging modality of choice for early detection and confirmation of spinal infection diagnosis since it closely reflects the pathological changes during the evolution of spinal infection. It has been reported to have 96% sensitivity, 94% specificity with 92% accuracy in correctly identifying spinal infection. Gadolinium contrast-enhanced MRI is considered the imaging gold standard and it has been reported to be capable of detecting spondylodiscitis as early as 48 hours after the initial inoculation. Typical MRI findings include, ill defined hypointense T1 and hyperintense T2 vertebral body marrow signal intensity with loss of the vertebral endplate on both sides of the partially or completely destroyed disc with associated loss of disc height. Paraspinal or epidural soft tissue infiltrations of edema, infection and/or fluid collections are often present.

The final diagnosis is a combination of clinical, imaging and biochemical findings. In the acute/subacute phase conventional radiographs should be ordered. Laboratory analysis, including ESR, CRP, and WBC is often ordered and may, in some cases be normal. Blood cultures should be retrieved preferably during a fever spike to identify the causal microorganism. It should be emphasized, however, that the accuracy of blood cultures to identify such organism varies. MRI and CT imaging should follow, including CT guided biopsy of the vertebral body or disc to successfully identify the causal organism and thus guide an appropriate antibiotic treatment regimen. In the absence of positive cultures of blood, spine biopsy is critical for the etiological diagnosis of spinal infection.

If the diagnosis is delayed, serious complications are common. Delayed time to diagnosis usually results in greater degrees of neurologic impairment. Neurological impairment is more common in infections of the cervical spine. Acosta et al. reported that in the cervical spine there is a 60% chance of neurological deficit versus a 5-20% chance in the thoracic and lumbar spine. This is believed to be due to the decreased cross-sectional diameter of the cervical spinal canal in relation to the spinal cord compared with the thoracic or lumbar spine. The formation of epidural or paraspinal abscess may further compromise the spinal cord, thecal sac or intervertebral foramina which lead to more extensive neurological impairment and the development of these abscesses may require surgical drainage. Treatment can vary considerably depending on the type of spinal infection. For instance, an uncomplicated discitis with an intact neurological system can be treated medically with antibiotics while an epidural abscess causing progressive neurological compromise necessitates urgent surgical intervention.

The mortality rate of spinal infection ranges from 6-20%. Early diagnosis, identification of causative agents, and early initiation of specific treatments dramatically reduces the mortality rate. McHenry and his colleagues examined the largest number of microbiologically diagnosed pyogenic VO cases to date, following these patients for a median of 6.5 years (range 2 days to 38 years). In 255 episodes, 146 (57%) recovered fully and 31% had qualified recovery defined as improvement with persistent pain, motor weakness or paralysis, and/or bowel/bladder dysfunction. The remaining 11% of patients died. For those patients who had qualified recovery, disability caused by pain was the most prevalent long-term poor outcome (66%), followed by motor weakness or paralysis (34%).

Lindholm and Pyllkanen reported that up to 50% of patients with postoperative discitis who had been followed for longer periods, had severe chronic back pain and an inability to work or perform the normal activities of daily living. It is apparent that effective co-management of these post-infection problems must be important considerations for clinicians.

Summary:
Overall, spinal infection is not a common presentation, but can be devastating and the diagnosis of both pyogenic and non-pyogenic types appears to be increasing over time. Any patient with progressively unremitting
back or leg pain with or without fever should receive a comprehensive evaluation for spinal infection. Heightened suspicion should be given to those patients who are elderly males with concomitant chronic illnesses such as immunosuppression, diabetes mellitus Type 2 and IV drug use. In these high-risk patients, clinicians should consider a broad differential diagnosis including spinal infection supplemented with comprehensive repeated neurological testing. Clinicians should also be aware of the high probability of long-term poor outcome and should work in a multidisciplinary fashion to co-manage these patients.
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