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Tibial eminence fractures occur as a result of high amounts of tension placed upon the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). The incidence of these fractures is higher among adolescent girls due to their inherent skeletal immaturity. In such an injury, direct trauma causes an avulsion fracture occurring at the tibial eminence while the ACL is spared. Imaging is used to confirm the diagnosis of a tibial eminence fracture and regardless of the extent of injury, rehabilitation is crucial for a full recovery. The following is a case study of a 17-year-old girl who was involved in a motor vehicle accident. In the accident, she sustained a left lateral tibial eminence fracture, along with soft tissue injuries at the cervical and lumbar spine. Her treatment included passive and active range of motion (ROM), strength training, physical modalities, and proprioceptive training of the injured areas. An improvement was noted post-treatment and after a 5-month follow-up according to subjective reports and objective assessments (ROM and girth measurements).
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Introduction
Although tibial eminence fractures can occur at any age, most occur between the ages of 8 and 14 years. Typically, tibial eminence fractures are associated with falls from bicycles, where an excessive force is exerted on the ACL causing a bony avulsion instead of an acute ACL tear. The ACL inserts into the anterior attachment of the lateral and medial menisci in the recess anterior to the medial
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tibial spine, also known as the anterior intercondylar area. The anterior attachment of the lateral meniscus is located posteriorly to the ACL insertion1 (Figure 1).

One of the most widely used classification methods for a tibial eminence fracture is Meyers and McKeever’s categorization, which delineates different displacement levels of avulsion as well as different management strategies (Figure 2).

Type I is the minimal displacement of an avulsed fragment. This type of injury is treated conservatively by closed reduction, where the tibia is set into place without a surgical incision. The knee is then immobilized in a long-leg cast or a fracture brace set at 10 to 20 degrees of knee flexion. Fixed flexion is recommended due to the fact that full extension may place excessive tension on the ACL and popliteal artery.1 Immobilization is then recommended for approximately 6 weeks depending on the age of the patient, healing rate, and radiological findings.1 Type II classification is the displacement of half or the anterior third of the ACL insertion causing a posterior hinge. Type III is the complete separation of the avulsion site. Type II and III can be treated by closed reduction or an open reduction. In an open reduction incisions are made and wires, pins or screws are used to help immobilize the avulsion site. Orthopedic testing such as positive Lachman’s and anterior Drawer test, indicating ACL instability,3 along with appropriate imaging confirm the diagnosis and success of reduction. Imaging typically entails a full set of knee radiographs including anterior-posterior and lateral views as well as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirming the diagnosis, and proper reduction.1 The selection of appropriate imaging is important as partial ACL ruptures with associated increases in laxity are common sequelae of tibial eminence fractures.1,4

Tibial eminence fractures have excellent prognoses.1 Prolonged immobilization may lead however, to arthrofibrosis and a permanent loss of full extension.1 Formal rehabilitation is crucial as it encourages a faster recovery and prevents the development of secondary complications.1 During immobilization, the patient is given axillary crutches along with strict rules in regards to their weight bearing status. ROM, and strengthening of quadriceps and hamstrings and proprioceptive training are utilized for rehabilitation of the knee post immobilization.

Case study

History
Written consent was obtained from this patient to report the following findings. A 17-year-old female student ambulating across a street was struck by a motor vehicle accident. The precise mechanism of injury is unknown due to the patient’s loss of consciousness upon impact. The patient was then sent to a nearby hospital. While in the hospital, radiographs of her left knee revealed a Type I tibial eminence fracture. The knee specialist performed a closed reduction procedure and placed the patient’s left lower extremity into a long-leg cast. The orthopedic surgeon also recommended weight bearing as tolerated (WBAT), ROM and strengthening exercises for the injured areas. The patient was then referred to a chiropractic rehabilitation clinic ten days after her accident by her
family physician for assessment and treatment of the injured areas. During the initial examination, the patient’s complaints included minor left knee pain, headaches, and cervical and lumbar pain. At the time of examination, the patient’s most significant complaint was her lower lumbar pain. This pain was graded using the visual-analog scale (VAS) and was recorded as an 8 out of 10.3 The patient’s past medical history was unremarkable. She was instructed by her medical doctor to take Advil if her pain increased. Although the purpose of this paper is related to her tibial eminence fracture, minor references are made in regards to the patient’s cervical and lumbar pain. This is done since at the time of injury, the patient’s lumbar pain was the chief complaint.

Findings
During the examination, the patient’s left knee was in a long-leg cast. She was ambulating WBAT, with axillary crutches. Her cervical spine ROM was restricted in lateral bending and rotation by five degrees.5 The patient had decreased external rotation of both shoulders with associated pain. According to the orthopedic examination of the patient possible soft tissue injuries were revealed for the cervical and lumbar regions.3 Neurological testing with respect to dermatomes, myotomes, and deep tendon reflexes were unremarkable.

Treatment
The patient was treated initially for her lumbar and cervical soft tissue injuries. As her knee was in a long-leg cast, lower extremity exercises could not be performed and were delayed. Six weeks later, the cast was removed and the patient began ambulation training with crutches. Her status in this phase of rehab was WBAT. Measurements of the patient’s thigh and calf musculature after the removal of the cast revealed a 2 cm reduction of diameter on her left leg compared to the right. Left knee flexion was measured at 105/135 degrees.6 Along with ambulation training, her treatment regimen included the use of physical modalities such as TENS and heat for pain reduction,7,8 as well as PROM exercises and myofascial release to her knee. Myofascial release was performed on the quadriceps, hamstring, adductor muscles and IT band of the injured knee. To resolve soft tissue adhesions a heat pack was applied for 15 minutes followed by manual myofascial release of restricted areas identified during palpation. After release was achieved, prolonged stretching of the appropriate muscles was performed for 30 seconds followed by the application of ice for 10 minutes. This was performed 2 times a week for a total of six weeks. Following this phase, the patient was instructed by her orthopedic surgeon to begin full weight bearing, ROM and strengthening exercises of the left lower extremity. The patient reported increased lower back and left knee pain following the removal of the cast. For this reason, manipulation, ROM exercises, deep neck flexor and core stability exercises were utilized throughout the treatment duration.9,10 Her treatment frequency was increased to three times a week. As the rehabilitation of tibial eminence fractures follow similarly to ACL protocols,11 other activities such as bicycling, leg presses, elastic tubing exercises, and jogging were also indicated.12 Passive knee flexion and extension with mobilizations were utilized to increase knee ROM.13 Initially closed kinetic chain exercises, such as wall squat and single leg wall squats, with the knee in sub-terminal extension were explored (Figure 3). Quadriceps, hamstring and calf raise exercises were introduced later in the exercise program to stabilize and strengthen the knee.13,14 Quadriceps and hamstring exercises were performed with a 5 lb weight in a lying position initially, progressing to a 10 lb weight in a standing position (Figure 3).

A stationary bike was used as part of her rehabilitation.12 Recent studies have shown the importance of proprioceptive training in an ACL rehabilitation.11,12,13,15 To apply this concept, the patient was instructed to perform two-legged dorsi and plantar flexion motions on a rocker board using the wall for support. Progression was made in subsequent visits by performing the same exercise with the left leg only. In the following weeks, the rocker board was replaced by a wobble board and the patient was instructed to perform all the previous exercises without using the wall for support. In the latter part of her rehab program, balance sandals requiring higher levels of balance and stability were used (Figure 4). To improve the patient’s proprioceptive response, tossing a ball between the therapist and the patient was established in conjunction with the balance sandals (Figure 4).

Follow-up
A comprehensive progress exam was conducted 14-weeks later. Subjectively, the patient reported an 85% im-
Figure 3  Close chain wall squats followed by open chain quadriceps and hamstring training. Advancing from 5 lbs in lying to 10 lbs in standing.
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Improvement in her knee, cervical and lumbar pain according to pre (8/10) and post (1–2/10) VAS scores. Despite the dramatic improvement, the patient still complained of sharp, stabbing, “deep” knee pain after prolonged standing and walking. Objectively, the patient had full ROM in the cervical and lumbar spines and in her left knee.\(^5\,\,^6\) Post-treatment evaluation revealed identical thigh girth measurements. Calf measurements differed only by 1 cm. Cervical and lumbar orthopedic special tests were negative.\(^5\) Minor laxity was noted using the anterior drawer test, while all other special tests of the knee were unremarkable.\(^5\) The patient was instructed to continue with her conservative treatments at a frequency of once a week, as well as home exercises. The patient was also advised to see her specialist due to minor anterior instability as well as the persistent knee pain. An MRI was then ordered revealing a partial tear in the mid-ACL, a partial tear of the proximal MCL, as well as displaced tear of the lateral meniscus. Following this discovery, the patient was then scheduled for an arthroscopic knee evaluation. This scheduled procedure has not yet been performed. According to the orthopedic surgeon, the patient’s prognosis is good since the ACL was only partially torn and therefore may not require reconstruction.

Discussion

Tibial eminence fractures and ACL injuries, in skeletally immature patients, are usually seen in sports medicine

Figure 4 Progression of balance training. The patient is put on a rocker board while holding to the wall. In following visits the patient is asked to move away from the wall and balance with one leg only. Balance sandals and ball tossing is later introduced. A half ball can also be used for balance training.
and pediatric orthopedic practices. The literature on internet-based search engines such as EBSCO, PUBMED and OVID did not reveal any studies relating to the treatment of Type I tibial eminence fracture rehabilitation protocols. Furthermore, aside from the work performed by Rosenberg et al., there were no other studies indicating a step-by-step rehabilitation protocol for tibial spine avulsion fractures. It is important to realize that although a total tear of the ACL is spared in a tibial eminence avulsion, ACL sprains are still very common. Closed kinetic chain exercises without full extension are highly recommended for ACL injuries. As mentioned previously, the avoidance of terminal knee extension during the rehabilitation of ACL sprains is advised as this motion increases the tension placed on the ACL. However, if a medial meniscus injury has occurred without any damage to the ACL, introduction of open kinetic chain exercises takes precedence. MRI has proven to be accurate for the diagnosis of intra- and peri-articular pathology, especially for meniscal pathology, accounting for 86% of the indications for arthroscopy, and ligamentous injuries. MRI, when used in all patients with high clinical suspicion of intra-articular knee pathology instead of direct arthroscopy, can avoid 35% of arthroscopies with sensitivity of 87.3% and specificity of 88.4%. MRI can also be up to 95% accurate in identifying ACL tears. Therefore, advanced imaging in conjunction with regular radiographs, and orthopedic testing may be a preferred approach to completely diagnose ACL and meniscus injuries in a tibial avulsion fracture. A recent study by Ishibashi et al. also agrees with the above suggestion of performing advanced imaging on tibial spine fracture patients. In this study out of 25 patients with tibial spine fractures, 15 adults and 10 children, MRI that was not seen on original radiographs confirmed additional ligament injuries. This study suggests that because tibial spine fractures in adults may be accompanied by concomitant injuries requiring surgical treatment, magnetic resonance imaging is recommended.

Proprioception is also crucial in rehabilitation of most knee injuries. Such exercises require little to no equipment in a chiropractic rehabilitation setting. Other closed kinetic chain exercises that could have been implemented are step-ups, step-downs and 1/4 squats. An affordable and multifunctional half ball could also be used for balance and core stability training (Figure 4). Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) patterns are also suggested to strengthen the rotational component of the knee motion.

A shortcoming of this case was that there was no knee questionnaire aside from the VAS that was completed at the time of evaluation and post-treatment. The Cincinnati knee rating system is a possible questionnaire that could be used for future knee patients. The Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS) can also be used as a functional outcome measure with internal consistency of 0.93 to 0.96 and Test-Retest of 0.94. In addition, there was also no ligamentous stability testing after removal of the cast. This may have helped with earlier identification of the ACL tear. The importance of advanced imaging such as MRI, was apparent in this case since ACL, MCL, and lateral meniscus tears were not diagnosed originally. As the rehabilitation protocols for ACL and meniscus tears are different, future studies may indicate the need for advanced imaging in all type I tibial eminence fracture as a gold standard.

Conclusion
The rehabilitation of tibial eminence fractures may be complicated, due to the high likelihood of associated underlying injuries to other structures of the knee. This particular case study is a good example of a patient with a lateral tibial eminence fracture whose partial ACL and lateral meniscus tear was left undiagnosed. Nevertheless, the original fracture was healed and other soft tissue injuries such as cervical and lumbar sprains and strain were also improved through treatment. Regardless of the diagnosis, low-tech rehabilitation of the knee could be applied in a chiropractic rehabilitation setting. However, future studies are warranted in order to determine proper rehabilitation protocols for uncomplicated and complicated tibial eminence fractures.
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