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The objective of this review paper is to borrow Virchow’s Triad as a conceptual framework to examine the state of the art in research on thrombosis, specifically in the vertebrobasilar system as a consequence of high velocity, low amplitude spinal manipulation of the cervical spine. A revised Virchow’s Triad is presented which emphasizes the interactions between various risk factors, as a tool for clinicians and researchers to use in their analyses of vertebrobasilar stroke. Endothelial injury, abnormal blood flow and hypercoagulability are discussed.
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Introduction

Rudolf Virchow was a genuine eighteenth century Renaissance man. Born in 1821 to a family of Pomeranian butchers, he obtained his medical degree in 1843 and began studies on a number of scientific disciplines, but focusing on pathology and anthropology; he published significant works on typhus, leprosy and cretinism. A staunch proponent of a democratic Germany, he was elected to the Prussian parliament in 1862, where he remained until his death in 1902. As leader of the opposition against Bismarck’s road of “blood and iron” to unite Germany, Virchow so infuriated Bismarck that he once challenged the good doctor to a duel. Virchow’s insights into human anatomy and physiology enabled him to coin the term “ischemia”, and in 1862 he proposed three causes of thrombosis. These three factors were subsequently termed “Virchow’s Triad” (see Figure 1). Although the details have evolved over the past 140 years, the conceptual principles underlying Virchow’s Triad have remained sound and defensible.

The use of spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) in the
chiropractic, medical and paramedical community is common. The vertebrobasilar system has been inculcated with respect to insults leading to dissection and potential emboli or thrombosis following cervical SMT. The region of the cervical spine predominately involved with vertebral artery (VA) compromise is the atlantoaxial segment, specifically where the VA exits the foramen transversarium of C1 and loops posteriorly to ascend into the foramen magnum. This is due largely to the unique anatomy and kinematics of the atlantoaxial segment, whose function is to ensure adequate rotation of the head while preserving the patency of the vertebral arteries.

The objective of this review is to borrow Virchow’s Triad as a conceptual framework to examine the state of the art in research on thrombosis, specifically in the vertebrobasilar system, as a consequence of high-velocity, low-amplitude spinal manipulation of the cervical spine. An exhaustive review of the literature in this area, especially with respect to the hemodynamic changes in the VA during SMT, is beyond the scope of this manuscript. Instead, the interested reader is directed to the recent book by Terrett, which reviews the literature very comprehensively.

We will also present a “revised” Virchow’s Triad, which emphasizes the interactions between various risk factors, as a tool for clinicians and researchers to use in their analyses of vertebrobasilar stroke.

**Figure 1**
Virchow’s Triad.

**Virchow’s Triad**
As seen in Figure 1, the three branches of Virchow’s Triad are endothelial injury, abnormal blood flow and hypercoagulability. Virchow proposed that each of these three factors could predilect or lead directly to thrombosis, and thus by our extrapolation, to a vertebrobasilar stroke. These factors will be discussed separately below, but with a clinical rather than purely academic emphasis. It is also important to note that these three “legs” of the triad do not stand alone; there is significant cross-talk between them. For example, blood coagulability affects blood flow, and abnormal blood flow can initiate endothelial injury. Endothelial injury in turn affects coagulability and blood flow, and so forth. Thus, Virchow’s Triad describes a set of dynamic processes rather than a static cause-and-effect model.

**Endothelial injury**
Under normal circumstances, the vascular endothelium maintains equilibrium between prothrombotic versus antithrombotic factors, such as the release of procoagulants versus anticoagulants, cell-to-cell adhesion molecules, vasoconstriction versus vasodilation, and long-term effects such as gene expression and apoptosis. An exhaustive list of these factors is stupefying in magnitude, and once again the interested reader is directed to two excellent reviews on this subject. However, physical or biochemical injury to the vascular endothelium can activate both Extrinsic and Intrinsic coagulation cascades, resulting in the formation of a thrombus.

The most common causes of endothelial injury are atherosclerosis, hypertension, and toxic substances such as homocysteine. However, direct physical damage is certainly another distinct possibility. With respect to manipulation of the cervical spine, the pertinent question is: can SMT damage the vascular structures, specifically the VA?

Cervical SMT (cSMT) is a mechanically-controlled, but fast and furious event; approximately 100-150N of force are delivered to the neck in under 200ms (for a biomechanical review of these events, see Herzog). Given these characteristics of cSMT, it might be hypothesized that there is considerable force delivered to the internal structures of the neck. From basic mechanics, we know that an external force delivered to the neck during cSMT must be somehow transferred to the internal...
anatomic structures of the cervical spine. However, to our
knowledge, there has been no research into how this force
might be transmitted into the cervical spine, which struc-
tures absorb the majority of the force, and if any of the
force generated actually affects the VA.

Symons et al.[14] investigated the strains sustained by the
VA during cSMT in fresh cadaveric specimens using
sonomicrometry. They reported an average strain of 6.1%
in the superior, distal loop of the VA as it exits the foramen
transversarium of C1 and enters the foramen magnum dur-
ing a combined lateral break/rotatory cSMT maneuver. In
other words, this distal loop of the VA stretched by ap-
approximately 6% of its resting length during cSMT. This
strain value was well within the range of strains (1–12%)
produced during the passive motion of the neck through its
physiologic range. Furthermore, mechanical testing dem-
strated the first signs of failure of the distal VA loop at
53% strain. A similar value (59%) for the longitudinal
failure strain of the VA has been reported in the forensic
literature.15 Thus, there is an intrinsic 9-fold safety margin
for VA damage during cSMT. The authors concluded that
a single, high-velocity, low-amplitude cSMT thrust is very
unlikely to mechanically disrupt the VA. However, the
above conclusion does not preclude the possibility that
repeated cSMT thrusts at 6% strain may have a cumulative
effect, and may damage the VA over a longer period of
time. Furthermore, the authors only investigated the
effects of longitudinal strain; it is well known that at the
C1/C2 level, the VA undergoes radial, shear, torsional and
compressive strains in addition to simple longitudinal
strain. Nevertheless, a corollary of this conclusion is that if
the VA were damaged by a single, one-time cervical ma-
nipulation, then the same damage would have almost cer-
tainly occurred during the normal, physiologic motions of
the neck.

Abnormal blood flow
When Virchow proposed abnormal blood flow as a throm-
bogenic factor in 1862, he was already aware of the fact
that turbulent blood flow can lead to activation of the clot-
ting process. The question we must answer is: can cSMT
disrupt VA blood flow, either by a mechanical occlusion
of the vessel or by inducing turbulent blood flow, and thus
lead to vertebrobasilar ischemia (VBI)?

Vertebral artery blood flow velocity studies originated
historically on the premise that cervical spine rotation had
a direct mechanical occlusive effect on the VA, and there-
fore vertebrobasilar symptomology was due to a “pinch-
ing” of the artery. Based on anatomic and cadaveric
studies,[16,17] early researchers postulated that instantaneous
blood flow compromise in the VA, via extension/rotation
of the cervical spine, could elicit vertebrobasilar symp-
toms. Tatlow and Bammer, in their postmortem angi-
ographic study at the atlantoaxial joint, noted that the VA
could be occluded upon rotation. Toole and Tucker18 con-
curred with this single premise of contralateral VA occlu-
sion, as did Brown[16] in his classic cadaveric study. In their
in vivo investigation of functional hemodynamic impair-
ment associated with neck motion, Weintraub and Koury19
utilized dynamic magnetic resonance angiography. They
demonstrated that head rotation consistently produced
compression and occlusion of the contralateral VA at the
atlantoaxial segment. They concluded that such compres-
sion and inherent occlusion was a cause of arterial dam-
age, and that the majority of VA impingement was caused
by a downward shift of the contralateral atlas while the
vertebral artery segment was fixed. In a study using MRI,
Dumas[3] also agreed with this anatomic premise of a
stretching effect on the artery. However, the important
question is whether this stretching and kinking of the VA is
sufficient to provoke an ischemic effect?

Blood flow is normally laminar, with the blood compo-
nents stratified so that the plasma is adjacent to the smooth
and slippery endothelium, while the erythrocytes and
platelets travel through the central axis of the bloodstream.
Overall, this arrangement minimizes friction. However,
under certain conditions, the blood flow can become tur-
bulent; this can be defined as blood which moves obliquely
as well as lengthwise through the blood vessel in a manner
similar to eddy currents. Turbulent flow in turn triggers
intravascular biochemical responses that lead to plaque
formation, thrombogenesis, and so forth. Besides simple
mechanical occlusion that causes an instantaneous shut-
off of VA blood flow and hence a stroke, there is the
possibility that cSMT causes a stretch-induced vaso-
pasm[20] of the VA in its atlantoaxial course, and/or the
possibility that cSMT may induce turbulent blood flow.
This can also be classified under the previous heading of
“Endothelial Injury” in Virchow’s Triad – can repeated
cSMT create microtears in the blood vessel and/or turbu-
lence, which then lead to activation of the clotting se-
quela, thrombogenesis or physical dissection, and a
consequent embolic stroke if the clot is dislodged? This question is currently under investigation in our laboratory using a pig vertebral artery model.

Due to its unusual development, the VA is prone to many congenital malformations. Unlike most blood vessels, the left and right VAs arise from six small segmental artery pairs located within the foramina transversarii embryologically, which then anastomose to form a continuous blood vessel prior to birth. Although a congenitally incomplete Circle of Willis is not uncommon, especially where the normal anastomoses exist, obstruction of any one of the four major arteries supplying the brain should not cause VBI. Cervical spine rotation has been reported to provoke transient VBI symptoms in patients with hypoplasia of a VA. Rivett et al. noted in his case study that a patient identified with frank unilateral VA hypoplasia did not manifest any vertebrobasilar symptomology on cervical rotation/extension testing. Frisoni stated that such conditions are not relevant in ischemia after neck rotation, and that a transient rotational obstruction of normal VAs cannot possibly cause ischemic symptomology.

Licht postulated that the change in blood flow velocity during neck rotation was due to a positional change in arterial diameter. This in vivo investigation found no significant change in the volume blood flow in the VA before or after cSMT. Along these lines, but using a systemic hemodynamic approach, Knutson concluded that upper cervical SMT decreased the systolic blood pressure as compared to resting pre-impulse controls. He proposed that this effect was due to a cervicosympathetic reflex, and a reduction in the presor reflex of the arterial smooth muscle. However, it is difficult to test this hypothesis experimentally. Furthermore, these reflex responses tended to be short-lasting and transient, and probably did not persist for long after the cSMT.

Autoregulation alters the diameter of cerebral vessels, and thereby maintains relatively constant blood flow over a wide range of mean arterial pressures. This is probably achieved by a combination of metabolic, myogenic and neurogenic mechanisms. Budgell suggested that autoregulation and compensatory flow through the collateral branches make it unlikely that occlusion of a VA would compromise blood flow to the brain. Ueda et al., in their investigation of unilateral vertebrobasilar occlusion on the inner ear blood flow in the rat, found no significant blood flow changes or perfusion patterns in the cochlea. In contrast, from a mechanical standpoint, Matsuyama et al. reported three cases in which VBI was caused by mechanical occlusion or external stenosis of the Zone III VA. They reported that the VA was stretched and completely occluded at the atlantoaxial level with the cervical spine rotated 45° with a concomitant decrease in blood flow.

In summary, the current literature favors the viewpoint that mechanical occlusion of the VA can disrupt local blood flow, but that this disruption will probably not result in any VBI symptomology. However, the possibility still exists that any turbulent blood flow that may be induced by cSMT might result in deleterious sequelae other than simply reducing brain perfusion.

Hypercoagulability
The final leg of Virchow’s Triad is hypercoagulability or, quite simply, the tendency of the patient’s blood to clot. Rather than discuss this third aspect of Virchow’s Triad in terms of hypercoagulability, we will adopt the more clinical perspective of categorizing all of those factors that predispose a patient towards VBI. This will include pathologic factors unrelated to blood coagulation, such as congenital malformations in the VA. There are two basic reasons for redefining this leg of Virchow’s Triad. First, it allows us to comment on those factors that do not easily fit into any of the other categories. Second, it is highly unlikely that SMT or any other mechanical intervention has a direct effect on coagulability. In contrast, the patient will likely have an underlying predilection towards strokes based on their genetics, risk factors, pathology, pharmaceuticals and other phenomena that would increase their overall risk of VBI regardless of the nature of the precipitating event.

Table 1 presents a list of these factors in the form of a checklist. These factors are listed in no particular order, and do not represent a list of absolute contraindications for cSMT. Instead, we suggest that clinicians may use this list as a set of potential red flags during history-taking. If several of the items are positive in a single patient, we would suggest that the clinician exercise caution in manipulating the cervical spine. For example, cardiomyopathy is listed in Table 1, but someone who has experienced a single heart-attack in the past and is otherwise healthy should not be refused cSMT. However, a hypertensive, obese, forty-
ish female who is a smoker, is on oral contraceptives and presents with migraine headaches and syncope should be approached with great suspicion.

Conclusions
It is now generally accepted that incidents of VBI are caused by thrombosis or physical dissection of the VA. A disruption in VA blood flow caused by cSMT and the consequent decrease in brain perfusion to below the ischemic threshold is not likely because of the following two arguments.

First, in most cases, the Circle of Willis is supplied by four major arteries. Any decrease in brain perfusion will be reflexively compensated for by the remaining collaterals. For example, Refshauge demonstrated that rotation of the cervical spine by as little as 45° caused changes in VA blood flow velocity. She concluded that rotation did diminish blood flow to the brain, but that normally this is not important or is adequately compensated for by collateral circulation. Furthermore, Rivett et al. reported no appreciable symptoms of VBI even when the VA was fully occluded. Common sense also suggests this to be a valid conclusion – otherwise, simply moving one’s neck during normal daily activities could be fatal. Thus, even 100% occlusion of a given VA should not result in VBI.

Second, as previously noted above, the cSMT thrust takes only about 200 ms. In our experience, most chiropractors deliver their cervical manipulations within 5–10 seconds (Symons and Herzog, unpublished observations), including the premanipulative set-up. Since most transient ischemic attacks (TIAs) last between 2–15 minutes and do not produce any substantial sequelae, it can be argued that the complete occlusion of the VA for even a full minute will not result in any appreciable brain damage. Furthermore, some neurologists have actually reported that brief ischemic periods (< 10 min), such as TIAs, actu-

| Table 1 |
| Factors leading to an increased risk of hypercoagulability and/or vertebrobasilar stroke. |
| **GENETIC/LIFESTYLE** |
| ◆ Female Gender |
| ◆ Advancing age |
| ◆ Obesity |
| ◆ Sedentary lifestyle and/or prolonged bed rest |
| ◆ Cigarette Smoking |
| ◆ Factor V Leiden Mutation |
| ◆ Protein S mutation |
| ◆ Protein C mutation |
| ◆ Antithrombin III mutation |
| ◆ any mutation in fibrinolysis pathway |
| **PATHOLOGICAL** |
| ◆ Diabetes |
| ◆ Lupus “anticoagulant” in Lupus Erythromatosus |
| ◆ Sickle Cell Disease |
| ◆ Antiphospholipid Antibody Syndrome |
| ◆ Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria |
| ◆ Fibromuscular Hyperplasia |
| ◆ Marfan’s Syndrome |
| ◆ Ehlers-Danlos syndrome |
| ◆ any congenital malformation of the VA and/or |
| ◆ spine, e.g. Type 1 Neurofibromatosis, Klippel-Feil Syndrome |
| ◆ Cystic Medial Degeneration |
| ◆ Vasculitides, e.g. Takayasu, Kawasaki, Wegener |
| ◆ Migraine headaches |
| ◆ Any cerebrovascular disease, e.g. Transient Ischemic Attacks, previous stroke |
| ◆ Atherosclerosis |
| ◆ Hypertension |
| ◆ any type of cardiomyopathy, including Rheumatic Heart Disease |
| ◆ Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation |
| ◆ Cancer |
| ◆ Syphilis |
| ◆ Any hyperestrogenic state |
| ◆ Any significant tissue trauma, e.g. burns, fractures, surgery |
| **PHARMACEUTICALS** |
| ◆ Oral Contraceptives |
| ◆ Type II Heparin-induced Thrombocytopenia |
ally have a neuroprotective effect – they condition the brain against ischemia when the “big one” hits.  

Given the above arguments, it is perhaps more likely that if a cSMT induces VBI, it does so in the presence of other factors, in which case, we would like to propose a revised Virchow’s Triad in Figure 2. In this figure, circular zones representing the interactions between the patient’s Predisposing Factors, the presence of Abnormal Blood Flow and Physical Damage have replaced the legs of the triad. The Predisposing Factors zone is self-explanatory, and can be derived from Table 1 plus the clinician’s own judgement. The Abnormal Blood Flow zone is related to Predisposing Factors such as atherosclerosis, but is kept separate to remind the clinician of the utility of basic diagnostic testing such as checking the blood pressure and auscultating for bruits. The usefulness of VBI testing by extension/rotation of the cervical spine has been a subject of interest in the literature, but it is not the objective of this review to comment on these studies. The Physical Damage zone represents the characteristics of the actual manipulation itself. Since there is more strain experienced by the VA during cervical rotation than lateral bending, we would suggest the clinician manipulate the neck accordingly, using minimum force required to achieve their therapeutic objective. We would also speculate that a given number of cSMT procedures performed over a short period of time (e.g. daily for 2 weeks) probably has a greater potential to cause VA damage than the same number of cSMTs performed over a longer period of time (e.g. twice per week for 7 weeks). Furthermore, the overlapping areas serve to arouse heightened clinical suspicion whenever patients present with multiple risk factors for VBI that place them in these areas. It is our hope that this may serve as a visual reminder of the Hippocratic phrase: first of all, do no harm.
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CCA joins The Canadian Cochrane Network and Center (CCN/C)

The Canadian Chiropractic Association was recently invited to become an affiliate organization of the prestigious Canadian Cochrane Network and Center.

The Cochrane Collaboration established in the UK in 1992 is an international organization and now has 14 national centers globally. It’s mission is to prepare, maintain and promote the accessibility of systematic reviews of the effects of health care interventions. The Canadian Center is located at McMaster University in Hamilton and Dr. Arne Ohlsson is the Director. There are 16 Cochrane Network sites located in health sciences centers throughout Canada which assist the national office in producing reviews, conducting workshops, identifying priority areas and promoting the dissemination of findings.

Completed reviews are placed on the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and are available from the Cochrane Library. There are approximately 1700 reviews completed or in progress and they are regularly amended.

The Cochrane Back Review Group based in Canada, is obviously directly related to the interests of our profession. The CCN/C expressed their eagerness to work collaboratively with the CCA. The CCA will provide advice to the CCN/C on its strategic directions.
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